Ring Expansion and Rearrangement Reactions of N-Heteroarylmethyl Radicals

Jill F. McLellan, Hamish McNab* and Thomas W. Muir

Department of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, UK EH9 3JJ

Flash vacuum pyrolysis of *N*-(*p*-chlorophenoxymethyl)azoles (*e.g.* 2 and 3) gives ring expanded products (*e.g.* 4 and 8) formed *via N*-heteroarylmethyl radicals (*e.g.* 1 and 6); the mechanism of ring expansion generally involves a novel 'walk'-rearrangement of the radical centre.

There is considerable current interest in ring-expansion reactions of carbon-centred radicals, generated in solution under standard reductive conditions. We describe here a gas-phase variant of these processes which leads to oxidative ring-expansion of *N*-heteroarylmethyl radicals 1 *via* novel 'walk' rearrangements of the intermediates.

The radicals 1 were generated (along with the *p*-chlorophenoxyl radical) by flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) of the corresponding N-(4-chlorophenoxy)methyl derivative 2 at 700 °C. The precursors 2† were themselves obtained in *ca*. 60% yield by alkylation of the parent heterocycle using α ,4-dichloroanisole under basic conditions in dimethyl sulfoxide solution.² ¹³C-Labelled dichloroanisole was obtained by the method shown in Scheme 1.^{3,4}

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $^{13}CH_3I$, K_2CO_3 , dimethylformamide; ii, PCl_5 , heat

FVP of the indolyl derivative 3 at 700–800 °C (10^{-2} Torr‡) gives rise to *p*-chlorophenol (90%) as expected, and to quinoline 4, (66%) as the only ring-expanded product. No isoquinoline 5 could be detected. The expansion process is therefore initiated exclusively by attack of the *N*-indolylmethyl radical 6 at the 2-position of the indole ring (Scheme 2 route a). Well-precedented attack at the 7a-position by a neophyl-type rearrangement^{5,6} (Scheme 2 route b) which would give rise to isoquinoline, clearly does not occur in this case. The mechanism was confirmed by pyrolysis of a 13 C-labelled derivative (highlighted atoms in Scheme 2), and, as predicted, the majority of the label (>90%) is found at C-2 of the quinoline (13 C NMR).

Although ring-expansion of the *N*-pyrrolylmethyl radical 7 similarly gives pyridine (8; 59%), the detailed mechanism of the rearrangement as revealed by the labelling experiment (¹³C NMR), differs significantly from the *N*-indolylmethyl

[†] All new compounds were characterised by their spectra and by elemental analysis.

case. Surprisingly, the label is distributed over all positions of the pyridine ring, with the majority located at the 3-position. This result may be explained by a 'walk'-rearrangement of the initial radical (Scheme 3).§ The occurrence of such a rearrangement is consistent with the results of an EPR study of the hydrocarbon analogue 9, in which exocyclic cleavage (bond p-leading to the 'walk') is known to be favoured over endocyclic cleavage (bond q- leading to ring expansion).8 In the pyrrolyl case, ring expansion by route c (Scheme 3) is apparently most favourable, and indeed it is known that drastic pyrolysis of N-alkylpyrroles under radical-chain conditions^{9,10} often gives 3-substituted pyridines as the major ring-expanded product.

§ A 1.5-shift of the N-substituent prior to radical formation could give a similar labelling pattern, but this is known to require much higher temperatures in our apparatus.7

A similar mechanism via the relatively disfavoured orthoquinonoid intermediate 10 can explain residual label (<10%). found at the 3-position in the indolylmethyl experiment.

Ring expansion of the N-azolylmethyl radicals 11 and 12 produced contrasting results (Scheme 4). Thus the N-pyrazolylmethyl radical 11 expands regiospecifically to give pyrimidine 13 rather than pyridazine 14 and this is probably due to the cleavage of the particularly weak N-N bond of the diaziridinyl intermediate 15. These results confirm that 11 is a viable intermediate in the pyrolysis of dipyrazolylmethane, 11 from which pyrimidine has also been obtained. In contrast, the N-imidazolylmethyl radical 12 yields both pyrimidine 13 and pyrazine 16 in 3.7:1.0 ratio.

We are grateful to the University of Edinburgh for a vacation scholarship (to T. W. M.).

Received, 22nd February 1993; Com. 3/01052J

References

- 1 (a) P. Dowd and S.-C. Choi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 6129, and references therein; (b) J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington and J. Robertson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 1404; (c) W. Ellwood and G. Pattenden, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32,
- 2 H. Heaney and S. V. Ley, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1973, 499.
- 3 cf. J. I. G. Cadogan, C. L. Hickson and H. McNab, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 1885.
- H. Gross and W. Bürger, Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. V, 1973, 221.
- J. I. G. Cadogan, C. L. Hickson and H. McNab, Tetrahedron, 1986, **42**, 2135, and references therein.
- H. McNab, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 543.
- H. McNab and M. E.-A. Murray, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1988, 333.
- (a) R. Sustmann and F. Lübbe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 6037; (b) R. Sustmann and F. Lübbe, Chem. Ber., 1979, 112, 42
- J. M. Patterson, C. F. Mayer and W. T. Smith, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 1511.
- 10 A. Pictet, *Ber. Deut. Chem. Ges.*, 1905, 38, 1946.
 11 J. D. Pérez, G. I. Yranzo, M. A. Ferraris, R. M. Claramunt, C. López and J. Elguero, Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 6429.