## Synthesis of ABC Taxoid Ring Systems via a Convergent Strategy
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Intermediates 4 and 5 have been coupled via a Shapiro reaction to afford compound 6 which has been further elaborated to the $A B C$ taxoid systems 11 and 12 via a McMurry pinacol cyclization to 11 followed by oxidation to 12.

In previous communications ${ }^{1,2}$ we reported a projected strategy for a convergent synthesis of taxol 1 and stereoselective constructions of the taxol $A^{1}$ and $C^{2}$ ring systems. We now disclose the realization of the coupling and ring closure steps of this strategy and the construction of an $A B C$ taxoid
skeleton. In the present model study, the Shapiro ${ }^{3}$ reaction and the McMurry ${ }^{4}$ titanium-mediated coupling reaction were utilized as the key operations to join and cyclize the two fragments, respectively, forming the requisite eight-membered ring of the taxoid skeleton. Even though the elegant


Taxol: 1
studies of Kende et al. ${ }^{5}$ and Pattenden et al. 6 in this field were not so inviting due to the low yield of cyclization and the formation of an olefin rather than a pinacol in the McMurry step, we were prompted to reinvestigate this strategy by the recent McMurry disclosures ${ }^{4}$ on modifications of the titaniummediated coupling reaction to yield pinacol systems. Furthermore, we intended to facilitate ring closure via preorganization of the acyclic precursor using an acetonide ring.

Scheme 1 summarizes the construction of the $A B C$ taxoid systems 11 and 12 illustrating the present strategy. $\dagger$ Thus, the hydroxy ketone $2^{1}$ was protected as its methoxyethoxy methyl (MEM) ether 3 (95\%) and then converted to hydrazone 4 in $70 \%$ yield under standard conditions. ${ }^{7}$ Reaction ${ }^{3 b}$ of 4 with n-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at $-78-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of aldehyde $5 \ddagger$ furnished a mixture of diastereoisomeric alcohols in $83 \%$ total yield (ca. 2:1 ratio) from which the major isomer 6 was isolated by chromatography. The stereochemistry of 6 was proved by X-ray crystallographic analysis of a subsequent intermediate (vide infra). Vanadium-catalysed epoxidation of 6 by the method of Sharpless ${ }^{8}$ led stereoselectively to epoxide 7 in $91 \%$ yield. Regioselective opening of the epoxide in 7 using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ resulted in the formation of 8 ( $96 \%$ yield) which was converted to its acetonide 9 in $77 \%$ yield. Sequential and selective protecting group manipulations followed by oxidation using $\operatorname{Pr}_{4}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NRuO}_{4}-\mathrm{NMO}^{9}$ furnished the dialdehyde 10 in $41 \%$ overall yield. Finally, intramolecular McMurry coupling reaction at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under high dilution conditions gave the $A B C$ ring system 11§ (ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers,
$\dagger$ All new compounds exhibited satisfactory spectral and analytical and/or exact mass data. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically homogeneous materials.
$\ddagger$ Aldehyde 5 was conveniently prepared from butadiene and diethylfumarate in $50 \%$ overall yield by the following sequence: (i) Diels-Alder reaction, ${ }^{10}$ (ii) diisobutylaluminium hydride reduction, (iii) hydrogenation, (iv) monobenzylation and (v) pyridinium dichromate oxidation.
§ Selected physical properties of compounds. The following data was obtained from an inseparable mixture of stereoisomeric diols 11a and 11b (ratio $c a .11$ ): 11: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30$ (silica, $50 \%$ diethyl ether in light petroleum); IR (neat): $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3429,2928,2857,1631,1454,1372$, $1243,1218,1040 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR selected peaks ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ; $\delta 5.00$ (bd, J $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.67 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.52 (d, J $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H, 2-H), 4.32 (bd, J4.1 Hz, 1 H, 9b-H), 3.95 (d, J $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 1.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (FAB): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right): 483.1511$ found 483.1511.

For 12: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.48$ (silica, $50 \%$ diethyl ether in light petroleum); IR (neat): $\mathrm{v}_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2980,2856,2708,1722,1671,1615,1455,1373 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ; $\delta 10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}), 2.38-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ allylic CH$)$, $2.09-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, allylic CH), 1.72-1.57(m,5 H), $1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, allylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.50-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.10-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS (FAB) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right): 481.1355$, found 481.1349
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Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, 1.3 equiv. of $\operatorname{Pr}^{i}{ }_{2} \operatorname{EtN}, 1.2$ equiv. of MEMCl, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$ (ii), 1.0 equiv. of $2,4,6-$ triisopropylbenzenesulfonylhydrazide, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h} 70 \%$; (iii), 1.2 equiv. of $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\mathrm{LL}}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ then $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 1.2$ equiv. of 5 , THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$ (ca. $2: 1$ mixture); iv, 1.2 equiv. of $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\mathrm{t} O O H}$, 0.014 equiv. of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{acac}_{2}, \mathrm{PhH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \% \mathrm{v}, 2.0\right.$ equiv. of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, diethyl ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ then $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; vi, 2.0 equiv. of 2,2-dimethoxypropane, 0.2 equiv. of $\mathrm{CSA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, $77 \%$; vii, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; viii, 1.2 equiv. of $(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.3$ equiv. of 4-DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ix, 1.2 equiv. of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ then $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathbf{x}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; xi, $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TPAP}, 1.5$ equiv. NMO, 4 A molecular sieves, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}(41 \%$ overall yield from vii); xii, 8.5 equiv. of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$-(DME) $)_{1.5}, 25$ equiv. of $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{DME}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$ of $11,25 \%$ of 13 ; xiii, excess of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$. Hacac $=$ pentane-2,4-dione; $\mathrm{CSA}=$ camphorsulfonic acid; TPAP $=$ tetrapropylammonium perruthenate; $\mathrm{NMO}=4$-methylmorpholine N -oxide; 4-DMAP $=4$-dimethylaminopyridine; $\mathrm{DME}=1,2$-dimethoxyethane.
unassigned stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ ) in $40 \%$ yield, together with the isomeric by-product $13{ }^{5}(25 \%)$. Oxidation of 11 with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ led to the enediol $12 \S$ in $90 \%$ yield. The indicated stereochemistry in all compounds (racemic) in Scheme 1 was confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis $\mathbb{T}$ of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.

It is envisioned that compounds 11 and 12 could be converted to more advanced taxoids and that this strategy could be applied to the total synthesis of taxol 1 itself.
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