Pyridinediols as HCI Receptors; Formation of an Unusual Pyridine HCI Complex in Conjunction with the Preparation of Lewis Acidic Titanium(iv) Centres

Jilles J. H. Edema,*^a Rob Libbers,^a Arwin M. Ridder,^a Richard M. Kellogg,*a Fre van Bolhuis,a Huub Kooijman b and Anthony L. Spek^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands b Bijvoet Research Center, Vakgroep Kristal-en Structuurchemie, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8,3584 CH Utrecht, The Ne th e rla n ds

Reaction of pyridinediols 1 with TiCl₄ affords in one case an unusual dehydration-HCI complexation; in conjunction with these results, reaction of **1** with HCI indicates a strong affinity of these diols for (Lewis) acids.

Pyridinediols **lla-e** are special cases of metal receptors. The combination, in one ligand, of two hydroxy groups and the pyridine ring nitrogen atom makes these systems suitable, either as free ligands or as dialkoxy ligands for the complexation of a variety of metals including Mo, Fe, Co and Zn. The Mo^{1d-g} and $Zn^{2a,b}$ complexes have been used as models for biomimetic studies of enzymes such as zinc alcohol dehydrogenases, carboxypeptidases $2c$ and oxygen transferases.

Alkoxo ligands stabilise metals in a rather broad variety of oxidation states.3 Therefore, within the field of catalytic organic synthesis, alkoxides have been used to support a number of metal mediated stereoselective asymmetric reactions of which the Lewis acid based ene reactions^{4h,i} and catalysed Diels-Alder reactions $4a-g$ are important examples.

An important advantage of ligand system **1** is its facile preparation^{1,2} and the possibility of introducing chiral building blocks $2c$ by simply choosing a suitable ketone.

Following our interest in the coordination chemistry of **1** with Lewis acidic metals, 5 we tried to prepare the corresponding Ti^{IV} complexes according to a procedure reported by Flamini et al.^{6a}

This method involves the reaction of Tic14 with **2** equiv. of alcohol $[eqn. (1)]$ and results in the almost quantitative formation of $[Ti(OR)_2Cl_2]$ with concomitant evolution of HCl. If necessary, an additional amount of base $(e.g. NEt₃)$ may be added to neutralise the HCl. In our case, the reaction of TiCI4 with **la,b** provided cleanly the corresponding $[Ti(L)Cl₂]$ 2a,b in yields ranging from 43-56% [eqn. (2)] as

Fig. 1 Perspective drawing of **3c**. Selected bond distances (\hat{A}) and angles $\binom{6}{1}$. H(14)-N(1) 0.92(1), H(14)...Cl 2.15(4), O(1)-H(15) 0.86(5); $N(1)$ -H(14) \cdots Cl 173(4), O(1)-H(15) \cdots Cl 160(4).

was demonstrated by spectroscopic and analytical methods. $5a$ ⁺

However, the tetraphenyl derivative 1c did not, upon reaction with TiCl_4 , give the expected Ti^1 ^V alkoxide. Instead, a crystalline solid **3c8** was isolated in modest yield (32%), analysis of which established the absence of titanium. Unlike the relatively simple and symmetrical ¹H NMR spectra of the TiIV complexes **2a,b** that of **3c** indicated the absence of symmetry.

An X-ray diffraction analysis \ddagger of the crystals revealed the

 \ddagger *Crystal data* for **3c**: $C_{33}H_{28}NOCl_2$ plate-shaped crystal (0.1 \times 0.5 \times 0.6 mm), triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$ (no. 2) with $a = 5.721(2)$, $b =$ 9.107(2), $c = 25.170(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 88.52(2)$, $\beta = 86.14(2)$, $\gamma = 79.45(2)$ °, $V = 1286.1(6)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.265$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 516$, $\mu(\text{Mo-Ka})$ $= 1.7$ cm⁻¹, 9984 reflections measured, 5903 independent (0.81 < 1 < 27.5°, $R_{\text{int}} = 0.042$, ω -20 scan, $T = 298$ K, Mo-K α radiation Zr-filtered, $\lambda = 071073$ Å) on an Enraf-Nonius CAD 4 diffractometer. Data were corrected for Lorentz polarization; no significant linear decay of the reference reflections $\left($ <1%) during 31.5 h of X-ray exposure time was observed: no absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by automated Patterson methods (SHELXS86). Refinement on *F* was carried out by full-matrix least-squares techniques (SHELX76); final $R = 0.061$, $R_w = 0.049$, $w^{-1} = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.000094F^2]$, $S = 0.85$ for 332 parameters and 2681 reflections with $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement on calculated $(C-H = 0.98 \text{ Å})$ positions riding on their carrier atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters; the H-atoms were refined with an overall isotropic thermal parameter of 0.064(2) A?. Weights were introduced in the final refinement cycles. A final Fourier map showed no residual density outside -0.30 and 0.29 e A⁻³.

detailed structure of **3c.** Instead of the expected TiIV complex, the HCl salt of singly dehydrated *!c* has been formed. The molecule, as depicted in Fig. 1, is constituted by a planar pyridine backbone with the alkenyl fragment lying in the same plane $[\angle$ (pyridine, alkenyl) 16.3(2)^o]. The two phenyl substituents of this fragment are severely twisted with respect to this plane [angles 79.9(2) and $31.1(2)^\circ$ for the rings containing $C(22)$ and $C(28)$, respectively] in order to minimize steric interaction.

The hydroxyethyl moiety points out of the pyridine plane and is bonded to the Cl⁻ ion *via* a hydrogen bridge $[H(15)\cdots]$ 2.22(5) Å, O(1)-H(15) \cdots Cl 160(4)^o]. The Cl⁻ ion is furthermore hydrogen bonded to H(14) of the protonated pyridine nitrogen atom $[N(1)-H(14)\cdots Cl 173(4)^\circ]$.

 $TiCl₄$ rather than HCl alone appears to be involved in the mechanism for the formation of 3c. Reaction of 1a-d with dry HC1 leads to the formation of the corresponding HC1 salts *5* without observable H_2O elimination. The ¹H NMR spectra are rather simple indicating a symmetrical bonding of HC1 in these pyridinium salts. The molecular structure of the HCl derivative **5d** has been determined by X-ray crystallography

i- *Experimental procedure* for the preparation of **3c.** A solution of 13 g (27.6 mmol) of 1c in 250 ml CH_2Cl_2 was treated with 1.2 equiv. of $TiCl₄$. The mixture was stirred for 1 h. A solid formed which was collected and recrystallized from MeOH-CH₂Cl₂ to yield 4.3 g (8.8) mmol) of **3c** as white crystals, m.p. 188-193 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) 4.27 (s, 2H), 5.67 (br, OH), 6.33 (d, lH), 7.23-7.45 (m, 22H), 7.92 (s, 138.26 (s), 145.37(s), 145.40(s). Satisfactory C, H and C1 analyses were obtained. NH); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 44.65 (t), 77.42(s), 126.04 (d), 129.36(d),

Fig. 2 Perspective drawing of 5d. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°). $H(1)-N(1)$ 0.97(1), $H(01)\cdots$ Cl 2.2137(9), $H(02)\cdots$ Cl 2.286(1), $H(N1)\cdots C12.149(1), O(1) - H(01) 0.97(5); O(1)\cdots C1\cdots O(2) 113.27(7), O(1)\cdots C1\cdots N(1) 56.10(7), O(2)\cdots C1\cdots N(1) 57.27(7), H(01)\cdots C1\cdots H(02)$ 121.58(5), H(01) \cdots Cl \cdots H(N1) 56.17(5), H(N1) \cdots Cl \cdots H(02) 65.42(3).

and is illustrated in Fig. 2.8 The molecule attains a pseudo C_2 -symmetry in the solid state, placing the bulky adamantyl groups in a *trans* fashion. The deformation from idealized C_2 -symmetry may be due to crystal packing effects. In analogy with the structure of 3c, the chloride ion is strongly bonded to the pyridinium ion *via* hydrogen bridges [H(01)···Cl 2.2137(9), H(02) \cdots Cl 2.286(1), H(N1) \cdots Cl 2.149(1) Å).

In order to achieve complete dehydration to the corresponding dienes 4 [eqn. (3)], neat H_3PO_4 has to be used at elevated temperatures.^{2b} Initial formation of a 1c-TiCl₃.HCl species may occur owing to the competing reaction of the HC1 formed with the $1c-TiCl₃$ complex. Elimination of the $Ti(OH)Cl₃$ fragment would result in the generation of 3c $[eqn. (4)].$

However, it remains to be established why this partial elimination occurs with lc but not with **la, b-d.**

Part of the crystallographic work was supported **(A.** L. **S.)** by the Netherlands foundation of Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

Received, 11th November 1992; Corn. 2106023J

References

- 1 *(a)* E. M. Kaiser, G. J. Bartling, W. R. Thomas, **S.** B. Nichols and D. R. Nash, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1973,38,71; *(b)* J. Buechi, W. Broger, G. Schmidt, *Helv. Chim. Acta,* 1965, **48,** 275; (c) J. Quick, C. Mondello, M. Humora and T. Brennan, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1978, **43,** 2705; *(d)* J. M. Berg and R. H. Holm, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1986, 107,925; *(e)* J. M. Berg and R. H. Holm, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1986, 107, 917; (f) J. M. Berg and R. H. Holm *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1986, 19, 363; (g) J. M. Berg and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 1768.
- 2 *(a)* B. Kaptein, G. Barf, R. M. Kellogg and F. Van Bolhuis, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1990, *55,* 1890; *(b)* B. Kaptein, PhD Thesis, Groningen, 1989; (c) **A.** M. Ridder, R. Libbers, J. J. H. Edema, R. M. Kellogg and **A.** L. Spek unpublished results.
- 3 *(a)* G. Wilkinson, R. D. Guillard and **J.** A. McCleverty, in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry,* Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987, vol. 3; *(b)* R. C. Mehrotra, *Adv. tnorg. Chem. Radiochem.,* 1983, 26, 269.
- 4 *(a)* K. Mikami, M. Terada, Y. Motoyama and T. Nakai, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry,* 1991,7,643; *(b)* K. Tomioka, *Synthesis,* 1990,541; (c) J. K. Whitesell, *Chem. Rev.,* 1989,89, 1581; *(d)* E. J. Corey and **Y.** Matsumura, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1991, **32,** 6289; *(e)* Y. Hayashi and K. Narasaka, *Chem. Lett.*, 1989, 793; (f) K. Narasaka, M. Inoue and T. Yamada, *Chem. Lett.,* 1987, 2409; *(g)* K. Narasaka, M. Inoue and N. Okada, *Chem. Lett.,* 1986, 1109; *(h)* K. Mikami, M. Terada and T. Nakai, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1989,111,1940; *(i)* K. Mikami, E. Sawa and M. Terada, *Chem. Lett.,* 1988, 1609.
- *5 (a)* J. J. H. Edema, R. Libbers, **A.** M. Ridder, R. M. Kellogg, **A.** L. Spek and W. J. J. Smeets, *Inorg. Chem.*, in the press; *(b)* C. Huijbregts, J. J. H. Edema, **A.** M. Ridder and R. M. Kellogg unpublished results.
- 6 *(a)* A. Flamini, D. J. Cole-Hamilton and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem.* SOC., *Dalton Trans.,* 1978, 454; *(b)* K. Watenpaugh and C. N. Caughlan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, 5, 1782.

[§] *Crystal data* for **5d**: C₂₇H₃₈NO₂Cl, plate-shaped crystal (0.2 × 0.5 × 0.15 mm), orthorhombic, space group $Pca2_1$ (no. 29) with $a =$ $30.177(1)$, $b = 7.061(2)$, $c = 11.250(1)$ Å, $V = 2397.1(8)$ A³, $Z = 4$, D_c $= 1.225 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $F(000) = 952$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.79 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 4345 reflections measured, 2547 independent ($1 < \theta < 32^{\circ}$, ω -20 scan, $T =$ 298 K, Mo-K α -radiation Zr-filtered, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) on an Enraf-Nonius CAD 4 diffractometer. Data were corrected for Lorentz polarization: no significant linear decay of the reference reflections (< 1%) was observed during data collection; no absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods. Refinement on *F* was carried out by full-matrix least-squares techniques with final $R = 0.055$, $R_w = 0.061$, $w^{-1} = 1/[\sigma^2(F)]$, $S = 1.73$ for 349 parameters and 2547 reflections with $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement on calculated (C-H = 0.97 Å) positions riding on their carrier atoms. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters; the H-atoms were refined with an overall isotropic thermal parameter of $B = 4.0 \text{ Å}^2$. Weights were introduced in the final refinement cycles. **A** final Fourier map showed no residual density outside -0.32 and 0.57 e A⁻³. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters for compounds *3c* and **5d** have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.