Anodic Oxidation of Di-*tert*-butyl Disulfide: a Facile Method for the Preparation of

N-tert-ButylamidesDriss Elothmani, Quang Tho Do, Jacques Simonet and Georges Le Guillanton*

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Organique (associé au CNRS), Université Catholique de l'Ouest, B.P. 808,

49008 Angers, France

The electrochemical oxidation of di-*tert*-butyl disulfide is shown to correspond to a one electron process; at 1.3 V, one carbon–sulfur bond is cleaved yielding two intermediate species: the *tert*-butyl cation and the radical Bu^tS–S⁺; a Ritter reaction occurs with the cation when the solvent is a nitrile and the cation dimerizes into a tetrasulfide; at a more positive potential (1.9 V) the two carbon–sulfur bonds are cleaved giving Bu^{t+} and sulfur.

The electrochemical oxidation of organic disulfides, mainly diaryl disulfides, has been extensively investigated during the last two decades. Literature in the field is sometimes contradictory. Some authors believe that the oxidation process proceeds by a one electron transfer mechanism,¹⁻⁴ possibly leading to oligomers,⁴ whilst other authors believe that a two electron transfer process is operative.⁵ However, the anodic reaction can be understood as a symmetrical splitting of the –S–S– bond⁶ and the postulated intermediate Ar–S⁺ can react with nucleophiles (alkenes) to give products^{1–5} as shown in eqn. (1).

Ar-S-S-Ar
$$\xrightarrow{-2e^-}$$
 2Ar-S⁺ $\xrightarrow{Nu^-}$ Products (1)

Surprisingly, the behaviour of di-*tert*-butyl disulfide I has never been studied and it appears that the well known stability of both the *tert*-butyl radical and *tert*-butyl cation may induce different types of oxidative cleavage; this idea prompted us to investigate this kind of target molecule.

Voltammetry of I, run in acetonitrile containing tetraethylammonium or lithium perchlorate (0.1 mol dm⁻³) at a platinum working microelectrode exhibited an irreversible one electron step [+1.3 V νs . aq. saturated calomel electrode (SCE)] whatever the sweep rate value (up to 10³ V s⁻¹). A second irreversible step (located at +1.9 V) appears by use of the recurrent sweep method, which has been identified as the di-*tert*-butyl tetrasulfide peak (Fig. 1).

Table 1 Physical characteristics and s	pectral data of <i>tert</i> -butylamides	prepared from di-tert-butyl disulfide
		1 1 2

<i>tert-</i> Butylamides	Working potential /V vs. SCE	Solvent	M.p./°C	Yield (%)	IR v/cm ⁻¹ (KBr or film)	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , SiMe ₄) δ
Bu ^t NHCOMe	1.3	MeCN	94 (lit. ^{7,8} 97)	93	v _{NH} 3280 v _{C=O} 1655	1.36 (s, 9 H, Bu ^t), 1.95 (s, 3 H, MeCO), 6.80 (s, 1 H, HN)
Bu ^t NHCOPh	1.5	PhCN	132 (lit. ⁷⁻¹⁰ 132)	96	ν _{NH} 3310 ν _{C=0} 1627	1.48 (s, 9 H, Bu ^t), 6.00 (s, 1 H, HN), 7.25-7.90 (m, 5 H, Ph)
Bu ^t NHCO-			````		00	
CH_2Ph	1.7	PhCH ₂ CN	108 (lit. ⁷ 115)	93	v _{NH} 3280 v _{C=O} 1635	1.30 (s, 9 H, Bu ^t), 3.46 (s, 2 H, CH ₂ CO), 5.16 (s, 1 H, HN), 7.28 (s, 5 H, Ph)
Bu ^t NHCO-			. ,			
CH ₂ CO ₂ Et	1.4	EtO ₂ CCH ₂ CN	Red oil	78	$v_{NH} 3310$ $v_{C-O} 1035$ $v_{C=O} 1735$ and 1650	1.30 (t, 3 H, CH ₂ CH ₃ , J7 Hz), 1.36 (s, 9 H, Bu ^t), 3.23 (s, 2 H, COCH ₂ CO), 4.20 (q, 2 H, OCH ₂ Me, J7 Hz), 6.86 (s, 1 H, NH)



Fig. 1 Voltammograms of di-*tert*-butyl disulfide (9.17 \times 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³) in MeCN-tetraethylammonium perchlorate 0.1 mol dm⁻³. Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹. (a) Without substrate, (b) first sweep, (c) second sweep, (d) third sweep, (e) fourth sweep.

During potentiostatic microcoulometry, at a working potential of +1.3 V, a second peak appears and grows in size when treating one mol of electron per mol of I and then progressively vanishes until two mol of electron have been consumed when the potential has moved to +1.9 V (see Fig. 2).

Macroscale electrolyses were carried out in an H-type cell in a solution of acetonitrile-LiClO₄ (0.1 mol dm⁻³) using a platinum working electrode ($A = 16 \text{ cm}^2$). Using di-*tert*-butyl disulfide at a working potential of 1.3 V, two main products have been isolated and fully characterized: di-*tert*-butyl tetrasulfide[†] (96%) and *N*-*tert*-butylacetamide (93%) (yields are calculated according to the stoichiometry of Scheme 1). The formation of this latter compound is explained by a Ritter reaction between the intermediate *tert*-butyl cation and the nitrile group of the solvent. The tetrasulfide results *via* dimerization of the Bu^tS-S[•] intermediate radical, according to Scheme 1.



Fig. 2 Evolution of voltammograms during the course of coulometry of di-*tert*-butyl disulfide $(9.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ in MeCN-LiClO₄ 0.1 mol dm⁻³. Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹. First at fixed potential of 1.3 V: (a) 0 C, 0.0 F mol⁻¹; (b) 27.1 C, 0.5 F mol⁻¹; (c) 54.2 C, 1.0 F mol⁻¹; then at fixed potential of 1.9 V: (d) 81.3 C, 1.5 F mol⁻¹; (e) 108.4 C, 2.0 F mol⁻¹.



Scheme 1

If the working potential of the anode is shifted to 1.9 V, sulfur and *N-tert*-butylacetamide are isolated in almost quantitative yields, in agreement with the stoichiometry of Scheme 2, according to a global two-electron process.

$$\begin{array}{c} Bu^{t}-S-S-Bu^{t} \xrightarrow{-2 e^{-}} 2 Bu^{t+} + 2S\\ I & \downarrow + 2 RCN\\ I & \downarrow + 2 H_{2}O/-2 H^{+}\\ 2 RCONHBu^{t} \end{array}$$

Scheme 2

The reactivity of the transient cation was tested with several different nitriles as solvent: benzonitrile, benzyl cyanide and ethyl cyanoacetate, in all of which the di-*tert*-butyl disulfide peak is observed. Electrolyses at these potentials give high

[†] Spectroscopic data for di-tert-butyl tetrasulfide: yellow oil; IR (film) v/cm^{-1} 2960, 2920, 1450, 1365; ¹H NMR δ (CDCl₃ + 2% SiMe₄, 60 MHz) 1.42 (s, Bu^t). Satisfactory C, H and S analyses were obtained. MS *m*/z 242 (1.5, M⁺), 57 (100, Bu^t).

J. CHEM. SOC., CHEM. COMMUN., 1993

yields of N-tert-butylamides. Some physical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

In all cases the N-tert-butylamide is the sole product formed, besides sulfur, if electrolyses are carried out at fixed intensity (80 mA, current density 5 mA cm⁻²).

We thank the CNRS and Electricité de France for financial support.

Received, 17th December 1992; Com. 2/06698J

References

- 1 A. Bewick, D. E. Coe, J. M. Mellor and D. J. Walton, J. Chem.
- X. Denker, D. D. Coce, J. M. Mellon, J. Wallon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 51.
 V. Z. Latypova, A. A. Chichirov, V. V. Zhuikov, O. G. Yakovleva, R. I. Vinokurova, I. A. Ustyugova and Yu. M.

Kargin, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1989, 59, 1344; Chem. Abst., 1989, 111, 220906a; V. Z. Latypova, V. V. Zhuikov and Yu. M. Kargin, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1990, 60, 2759; Chem. Abst., 1991, 115, 7911z.

- 3 H. Bock and P. Rittmeyer, Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 1992, 68, 261.
- 4 K. Yamamoto, E. Tsuchida, H. Nishide, S. Yoshida and Y.-S. Park, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1992, 139, 2401.
- 5 S. Töteberg-Kaulen and E. Steckhan, Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 4389.
- 6 F. Magno, G. Bontempelli and G. Pilloni, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1971, 30, 375; G. Bontempelli, F. Magno and G. A. Mazzochin, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1973, 42, 57.
- 7 J. J. Ritter and P. P. Minieri, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 4045. 8 R. Nomura, T. Nakano, Y. Yamada and H. Matsuda, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 4076.
- 9 N. A. Al-Awadi, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1990, 2187.
- 10 R. Brown and W. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., 1946, 781.