Photodimerization of (n⁵-Cyclopentadienyl)(n⁶-dibenzo-p-quinodimethane)ruthenium(ii) **Hexafluorophosphate**

Daniel T. Glatzhofer," Yongwu Liang and Masood A. Khan

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019-0370, USA

Photodimerization of $[(RuCp)(\eta^6-dbgm)]+PF_6-$ **3 (Cp =** η^5 **-cyclopentadienyl; dbqm = dibenzo-p-quinodimethane) in solution using visible light selectively afforded a stable organometallic adduct** of **the normally labile intramolecular p hotoiso mer** of **12.21 (9,lO)a nt h race no p ha ne.**

[2,2](9,10)Anthracenophane 1 exists in two orange crystalline phases (the α and β forms) which form a colourless intramolecular **[4** + 41 photoisomer **2** on irradiation with visible light in solution or in the solid state (Scheme 1).¹ The unstable photoisomer **2** reverts to **1** exothermically in the dark.l.2 The reversible intramolecular photoaddition in **1** has been exten-

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: **i**, $[RuCp(MeCN)_3]+PF_6^-$, thf, room temp.; ii, MeNO₂, 5 days, visible light; iii, MeNO₂, several days

sively studied since its photoreaction stores a significant fraction of photon energy as chemical potential energy, $3-5$ but the back reaction is too slow to be of practical use. We were interested in using organoruthenium cations to coordinate one or more of the benzo rings of **1,** sterically hindering the photoaddition reaction and facilitating the back reaction. Higher storage enthalpies, enhanced absorption at long wavelengths and higher quantum yield for the photodimerization were also potential benefits from such coordination. However, treatment of **1** with 2 equiv. of [RuCp- $(MeCN)₃$ ⁺PF₆⁻ resulted in cleavage of the ethano bridges to form the organoruthenium dibenzo-p-quinodimethane (dbqm) complex $[(RuCp)(\eta^6-dbqm)]^+$ PF₆- 3, in which the highly reactive dibenzo-p-quinodimethane moiety is stabilized by η^6 -coordination with the CpRu+ group (Scheme 1).^{6,7}

On crystallization of the dbqm complex **3** from nitromethane by diethyl ether diffusion under ambient visible light conditions, we obtained crystals of a dimerized product, $exo-anti-[(RuCp)₂(\eta⁶: \eta⁶-2)]²⁺ 2[PF₆]⁻ 4 (Scheme 1). Com$ plex **4** is an air-stable white crystalline solid and was characterized using **1H** NMR, mass spectroscopy (MS) and elemental analysis.[†] Mass spectrometry [fast atom bombardment (FAB)] showed peaks at m/z 886 (M - 145, -PF₆) and 741 $(M - 290, -2PF_6)$, consistent with a dimeric product. The

t *Selected physical data* **for 4: m.p. >242 "C, decomp., satisfactory C and H analyses were obtained. FAB-MS,** *mlz* **886 (7), 741 (6), 575** *(5),* **374 (100%). lH NMR (300 MHz, CD3N02): 6 7.52 (4H, dd, J3.3 and 5.6 Hz, arene), 7.34 (4H, dd, 53.3 and 5.6 Hz, arene), 6.39 (4H, dd, J 3.3 and 5.6 Hz, coordinated arene), 5.96 (4H, dd, J 2.4 and 4.5 Hz,** coordinated arene), 4.59 (10H, s, Cp), 3.65 (4H, m, 2 CH₂), 2.97 (4H, **m, 2 CH2). For 5: since this complex converts to 4 in solution, we have** been unable to isolate and purify it. ¹H NMR (in CD_3NO_2): δ 7.41 **(4H, dd,** *J* **3.3 and 5.6 Hz, arene), 7.19 (4H, dd,** *J* **3.3 and 5.6 Hz, arene), 6.55 (4H, dd, J3.3 and 5.6 Hz, coordinated arene), 6.06 (4H, dd, J2.4 and 4.5 Hz, coordinated arene), 4.48 (lOH, s, Cp), 3.30-3.00** $(8H, m, 4 CH₂).$

Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of preliminary crystal structure of 4

protons on the ethano bridges in **4** appear as multiplets at 6 3.65 and **2.97** (CD3N02), compared to the corresponding protons in complex 3 $[(CD_3)_2CO, \delta 6.23$ (s) and $\delta 6.19$ (s)],⁶ cyclophane **1** [CDCl₃, δ 4.18 (s)] and photoisomer **2** [CDCl₃, δ **2.95 (s)].** The structure of **4** has been confirmed by a preliminary X-ray crystallographic study as shown in Fig. 1.#

Since the photoisomer complex **4** was obtained during recrystallization and gave a single isomer, we considered the possibility that dbqm complex **3** crystallized prior to photodimerization in the solid state, the product of which would be controlled by the packing arrangement in the crystals. However, photolysis of crystals of **3** did not give any reaction. In solution, dimerization of **3** in nitromethane did not occur in the dark, even under refluxing conditions. We repeated the photodimerization of 3 in an NMR tube (CD_3NO_2) under ambient light conditions to confirm photodimerization in solution and to observe if any of the exo-syn- $[(RuCp)₂(\eta⁶: \eta⁶ 2$)²⁺ 2[PF₆]⁻ **5** isomer was produced. ¹H NMR spectra recorded periodically over 15 days indicated that only exo-anti isomer **4** was formed. It would seem likely that photodimerization of **3** is the result of two isolated $[2 + 2]$ cycloadditions, possibly directed by secondary orbital interactions to give dimer instead of polymer. However, **[4** + **41** cycloaddition, followed by fast closure of the resulting primary bridge radicals is possible, as is an undefined reaction type controlled by perturbation of the molecular orbitals of the dbqm moiety by coordination to the ruthenium. It is clear that to dimerize, two molecules of **3** must approach each other in a cofacial fashion with the CpRu+ groups pointing outward. The stereospecific dimerization may result from differential attraction between the benzo rings complexed with an electronwithdrawing $CpRu+$ group⁸ and the more electron rich non-complexed benzo rings, reducing face-to-face π -electron repulsion.

Photolysis of a nitromethane solution of $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5Ru)$ - $(\eta^6$ -dbqm)]+(SO₃CF₃)⁻⁷ under the same conditions gave no detectable dimerization. This is likely due to steric interactions between the methyl groups of the η^5 -C₅Me₅ ring and the opposing non-coordinated benzo ring if the η^5 -C₅Me₅ analogue of **4** were to form but may be due to weaker electronic effects from η^5 -C₅Me₅Ru⁺ relative to the CpRu⁺ group.⁸ Further studies of the generality and synthetic application of the selective photodimerization demonstrated here may have broader implications in synthetic methodology and in understanding the photochemistry of organometallic compounds.

In contrast to photodimerization giving exo-trans isomer **4** selectively, reacting photoisomer 2 directly with 2 equiv. of $[RuCpMeCN)₃$ ⁺ $PF₆$ ⁻ in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature for 1 h, followed by workup of the resulting solution, gave both geometric isomers **4** and *5* in the ratio of **42** : 58 by **1H** NMR, as depicted in Scheme 1. However, conversion of exo-syn isomer *5* to exo-anti isomer **4** in solution slowly occurs over 15 days at room temperature (CD_3NO_2) , by ¹H NMR). Precipitation with diethyl ether gave nearly pure exo-anti isomer **4.** This kind of ring-shift isomerization reaction is related to that observed in monocyclopentadienylruthenium(II) complexes of rubrene⁹ and suggests that 4 is the more thermodynamically stable isomer. Kinetic measurements and investigations of the mechanism of this ring shift isomerization are in progress.

In spite of our expectation to the contrary, and in contrast to photoisomer 2, complex **4** is quite stable in the solid state and in solution, even after heating to reflux in the dark for **3** days or exposing to visible light for more than 10 days (by 1H NMR). It has been postulated that the instability of **2,** other anthracene photodimers, lepidopterenes and dianthronyl compounds arises from weakening of the bridging bonds due to overlap of the p-orbitals of the benzo rings with the a-antibonding orbitals of the bridging bonds.5 Localization of electron density in the p-orbitals of the benzo rings in **4** by coordination to the ruthenium would counteract this effect and stabilize the bridging bonds. Experiments to investigate analogous bond stabilization in other anthracene photodimers and related compounds by complexation with CpRu+ groups are ongoing.

The authors thank Mr John Klaehn for help with the preliminary crystal data and we gratefully acknowledge the University of Oklahoma for support of this work.

Received, 31st December 1992; Com. 2106925C

References

- **J. H. Golden, J.** *Chem. SOC.,* **1961, 3741.**
- **G. Kaupp,** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engf.,* **1972, 11, 314.**
- **W. R. Bergmark, G. Jones 11, T. E. Reinhardt and A. M. Holpern, J. Am.** *Chem. SOC.,* **1978, 100, 6665.**
- **G. Jones 11, T. E. Reinhardt and W. R. Bergmark,** *Sol. Energy,* **1978, 20, 241.**
- **D. A. Dougherty, C. S. Choi, G. Kaupp, A. B. Buda, J. M. Rudzinski and E. Osawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1986, 1063.**
- **D. T. Glatzhofer, Y. Liang and M. A. Khan,** *Organometallics,* **1993, 12, 624.**
- **D. T. Glatzhofer, Y. Liang and M. A. Khan,** *Organornztaffics,* **1991, 10, 833.**
- **P. G. Gassman, J. W. Mickelson and J. R. Sowa, Jr, J. Am.** *Chem. SOC.,* **1992, 114, 6942.**
- **R. S. Koefod and K. R. Mann, J. Am.** *Chem. SOC.,* **1990,112,7287.**

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Space group: *Pnma*, $Z = 4$, $a = 23.409(9)$, $b = 18.533(7)$, $c = 10$ **9.442(4)** \hat{A} , $V = 4091.5(6)$ \hat{A}^3 . The present *R*-factor is 0.104. **Refinement is still in progress; full details will be published later.**