Silicon-tethered Radical Cyclization and Intramolecular Diels–Alder Strategies are combined to provide a Ready Route to Highly Functionalized Decalins

J. Cristóbal López, Ana M. Gómez and Bert Fraser-Reid*

Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

Stereoselective addition of carbon branches at C(1) and C(2) of L-rhamnal is achieved *via* silicon-mediated radical procedures, and the product is readily processed to give a hex-2-enopyranosid-4-ulose whose intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction has been examined.

Diels–Alder¹ and radical cyclization² processes have been used in our laboratory, and in others,³ as key reactions in carbohydrate-to-carbocycle transformations.⁴ Recently, we introduced structure **1** as a synthon for the A/B ring system of densely functionalized terpenoids, and illustrated its applicability by preparation of a key intermediate for forskolin.⁵ In that study a β -D precursor (*e.g.* **1a**) was used and in view of the encouraging success, a shorter route for its preparation was desirable. In this manuscript, we report that such an alternative route can be readily realized, based upon the application of radical cyclization processes, and we further report on results of its intramolecular Diels–Alder (IMDA) reaction.

Comparison of synthon 1 with a normal hexose indicates the need for a substituent at C(2), which is destined to become the angular, frequently functionalized C(10)-methyl group of the terpenoid.⁶ Synthon 1 has two chiral centres; however, that at C(5) is lost during processing of the product 2.⁵ On the other hand, that at C(1) controls transition-state selectivity in the IMDA, and it is preserved thereafter at C(1) of the terpenoid, which is frequently a stereogenic centre. From these consider-



ations it is only the S chirality at C(1) of synthon 1 that is crucial, and this is found in C-glycosides of β -D (e.g. 1a, as used previously)⁵ or α -L (e.g. 1b) configurations.

The need for carbon branches at C(1) and C(2) suggested that our recently developed strategy for serial radical reactions on glycals⁷ could be the method of choice. Thus, the bicyclic serial intermediate, such as **3**, combines the well-documented anomeric effect^{8,9} of a C(1) radical with a strong stereochemical bias, that makes the process of carbon–carbon bond formation at C(1) completely stereoselective. These considerations led to the choice of commercially available L-rhamnal diacetate **4a** as a starting material for the α -L synthon **1b**.

Horton and coworkers¹⁰ have shown that silylation of rhamnal **4b**¹¹ occurs preferentially at O(3) and conditions developed by us afforded compound **4c** with complete regioselectivity. Radical cyclization of silylmethylene ether¹² **4c** under Stork's conditions¹³ in the presence of an excess of acrylonitrile, followed by oxidative workup as described by Tamao,¹⁴ and subsequent acetylation gave the triacetate **6**.

With this ready procedure for installing the C(1) and C(2) carbon branches, the next task was to introduce the C(2)–C(3) unsaturation. If the C(2) substituent had been a formyl group, β -elimination would be facilitated based on an excellent precedent developed by Perlin.¹⁵ Such a possibility required differentiation of the hydroxy groups of 6 and a procedure for achieving this took advantage of intermediate 5. Thus, it was found that reaction with potassium fluoride in the presence of acetic anhydride led to a 9:1 mixture of the silanol and corresponding fluoride, **7a** and **7b**, respectively.¹⁶ The extensive work of Tamao *et al.* has shown that the presence of a single heteroatom is sufficient for C-silicon oxidative cleavage,¹⁷ and indeed treatment of the mixture with *meta*-



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O, MeOH, 15 °C, 16 h, 89%; ii, 1.05 equiv. of ClSiMe₂CH₂Br, CH₂Cl₂-Et₃N (10:1), -20°C, 1 h; iii, 0.1 equiv. of Bu₃SnCl, 2 equiv. of NaBH₃CN, 0.1 equiv. of AIBN, 5 equiv. of acrylonitrile, ButOH, reflux, 18 h; iv, 10 equiv. of H₂O₂, 2 equiv. of KHCO₃, 4 equiv. of KF, THF-MeOH (1:1), reflux, 12 h, v, an excess of Ac₂O, pyridine, room temp., 8 h, 51% from 4b; vi, an excess of Ac₂O, 3 equiv. of KF, pyridine, room temp., 16 h; vii, 3 equiv. of MCPBA, 3 equiv. of KF, DMF, room temp., 16 h; viii, 6 equiv. of SO₃/pyridine complex, DMSO-Et₃N (4:1), room temp., 1 h, 27% from 4b. [AIBN = 2,2'-azobis(isobuty-ronitrile); MCPBA = *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid; DMF = N,Ndimethylformamide.]

chloroperbenzoic acid in the presence of potassium fluoride18 led to the diacetate 8a with only traces of the acetyl migration product 8b.† Oxidation as prescribed by Perlin et al.¹⁵ then afforded enal 9 in 75% yield.

It is to be noted that the sequence requires only one chromatographic fractionation, and that the procedure can be operated on a multi-gram scale giving 9 with a 27% overall yield.‡

In order to introduce the diene moiety, the formyl group was protected as a dimethyl acetal, the C(4) acetyl was replaced by silyl, and the nitrile was reduced to give aldehyde 10. Treatment with 1-butadienyllithium¹⁹ followed by acetylation led to a 1:1 mixture of epimers, and then the acetal was cleaved by treatment with oxalic acid in methylene chloride over wet silica gel²⁰ to give 11a.

Attempts to carry out an IMDA on this enal, 11a, were unsuccessful. Better luck was had with the doubly activated dienophile 11b which, upon refluxing in xylene for four days, gave a 1.5:1:0.7 mixture of 12a, 12b and 13 (84% yield), the structures of which were readily assigned on the basis of the coupling constants and NOE (nuclear Overhauser effect) experiments shown in Scheme 3. These products all result from 'top side' (*i.e.* α -L) facial approach this being dictated by the geometric restrictions of the IMDA transition state.²¹ Exo is the only mode of addition observed for the 9R (sugar

† The acetyl migration product, 8b, becomes the main reaction product when the oxidative cleavage is carried out with H₂O₂ in the presence of potassium fluoride.



vii, viii (**a**; X = OTBS, Y = H (no IMDA) **b**; X, Y = O

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, trimethyl orthoformate (10 equiv.), Amberlyst-15, room temp., 3 h, 92%; ii, an excess of Na₂CO₃, MeOH, room temp., 8 h, 94%; iii, Bu^tMe₂SiCl (1.3 equiv.), imidazole (1.5 equiv.), DMF, room temp., 8 h, 75%; iv, DIBAL-H (1.5 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 , -40 °C, 15 min. then, AcOH-H₂O (1:1), -40to 0°C, 10 min, 84%; v, butadienyllithium (3 equiv.), THF, -78°C, 30 min then Ac₂O (10 equiv.), DMAP (2 equiv.), 0 °C, 1 h, 70%; vi, silica gel containing 10% H₂O, 0.2 equiv. of oxalic acid, CH₂Cl₂, since get containing 10% H₂O, 0.2 equiv. of oxale acid, CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 5 h, 83%; vii, HF/pyridine complex-THF-pyridine (1:4:2), 0°C to room temp., 18 h, 89%; viii, 1.3 equiv. of oxalyl chloride, 1.5 equiv. of DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 20 min, then NEt₃ (3 equiv.), -78 °C to room temp., 1 h, 92%. (DIBAL-H = diisobutyl aluminium hydride, THF = tetrahydrofuran, DMAP = 4-N,Ndimethylaminopyridine).



Scheme 3

[‡] On a typical run 4b (10 g), led after chromatography to 4a (6.5 g, 39.5% recovered, TLC $R_f 0.8$ light petroleum : ethyl acetate 4 : 1) and a mixture of **7a**, **b** ($R_f 0.3$) that is then processed to **9** (3 g, 27% yield based on recovered starting material).

numbering) isomer of **11b** (Scheme 3a) and leads to **12a**. On the other hand, the 9S isomer of **11b** gives rise to **12b** and **13**. We suggest that compound **12b** arises from an expected *cis-exo* mode of addition, and that relief of allylic 1,3-strain²² (as in Scheme 3b) favours the *cis-endo* adduct **14**,²³ that subsequently epimerizes at $C(9)^{24}$ (terpenoid numbering) to give **13**.

A concise method that combines serial radical cyclization on glycals with IMDA processes and which allows expeditious entry to decaline systems present in terpenoids has been developed. Oxygenated functions have been incorporated at key sites in the skeleton. The oxygenated substituent at C(4) (terpenoid numbering) provides a handle for further functionalization at this centre, as well as providing the means for equilibration to a *trans*-decalin *via* a 4-oxo derivative.

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE 892003) for financial support and the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas and Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) for postdoctoral scholarships to A. M. G. and J. C. L. respectively. J. C. L. is on leave from the Instituto de Química Orgánica General (CSIC), Madrid.

Received, 28th January 1993; Com. 3/00558E

References

- B. Fraser-Reid, Z. Benkö, R. M. Giuliano, K. M. Sun and N. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1029; M. A. Rahman and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5576; K-M. Sun, R. M. Giuliano and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 4774.
- 2 R. Tsang and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2116;
 R. Tsang and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 8102;
 J. K. Dickson and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1440.
- C. S. Wilcox and L. M. Thomasco, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 546;
 C. S. Wilcox and J. J. Gaudino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 3102;
 T. V. RajanBabu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 609;
 T. V. RajanBabu, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 24, 139;
 P. A. Bartlett, K. L. McLaren and P. C Ting, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1633.

- 4 B. Fraser-Reid and R. Tsang, in *Strategies and Tactics in Organic Synthesis*, ed. T. Lindberg, Academic Press, New York, 1989; vol. 2, pp. 123–162.
- 5 R. Tsang and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1065.
- 6 T. A. Van Beek and Ae de Groot, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1986, **105**, 513; J. R. Hanson, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 1990, **7**, 347 and references cited therein.
- 7 J. C. López and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3450.
- 8 B. Giese and J. Dupuis, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, 25, 1349; B. Giese, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1988, 60, 1655; B. Giese, *Angew. Chem.*, Int. Ed. Engl., 1989, 28, 969.
- 9 S. D. Richnovsky, J. P. Powers and T. J. LePage, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 8375; E. Juaristi and G. Cuevas, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 5019.
- 10 D. Horton, W. Priebe and O. Varela, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1985, 144, 325.
- 11 W. Roth and W. Pigman, Methods Carbohydr. Chem., 1963, 2, 405.
- 12 H. Nishiyama, T. Kitajima, M. Matsumoto and K. Itoh, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2298; G. Stork and M. Kahn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 500; G. Stork and M. J. Sofia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 6826.
- 13 G. Stork and P. M. Sher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 303.
- 14 K. Tamao, N. Ishida and M. Kumada, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 2120.
- 15 G. M. Cree, D. W. Mackie and A. S. Perlin, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1969, 47, 511; D. W. Mackie and A. S. Perlin, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1972, 24, 67.
- 16 K. Tamao, T. Yamauchi and Y. Ito, Chem. Lett., 1987, 171.
- 17 K. Tamao, T. Hayashi and Y. Ito, in *Frontiers of Organosilicon Chemistry*, ed. A. R. Bassindale and P. P. Gaspar, 1991, pp. 197-207.
- 18 K. Tamao, T. Kahui, M. Akita, T. Iwahara, R. Kanatani, J. Yoshida and M. Kumada, *Tetrahedron*, 1983, 39, 983.
- 19 A. M. Gómez, J. C. López and B. Fraser-Reid, Synthesis, in the press.
- 20 F. Huet, A. Lechevallier, M. Pellet and J. M. Conia, Synthesis, 1978, 63.
- 21 E. Ciganek, Org. React., 1984, 32, 1; D. Craig, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1987, 16, 187.
- 22 Review: R. W. Hoffmann, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1841.
- 23 W. R. Roush and M. Kageyama, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 4327.
- 24 H. Gnichtel, C. Gumprecht and P. Luger, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1984, 1531.