## **Zeolite-directed Growth of a Potassium Superlattice**

## A. R. Armstrong,<sup>a</sup> P. A. Anderson<sup>b</sup> and P. P. Edwards<sup>c</sup>

a<sup>*ISIS Science Division, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, UK 0X11 00X*</sup>

*<sup>b</sup>University Chemical Laboratories, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW* 

*<sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK B 15 2lT* 

A potassium superlattice has been observed in zeolite A in which growth is dictated by the intrinsic topological constraints **of** the host zeolite.

the zeolite host.

The possibility of engineering filamentary networks and cluster arrays in the intracrystalline channels and cavities of nanoporous zeolites was highlighted by Barrer. **1** Among the oldest examples of such materials are the inclusion compounds of alkali metals in zeolites,2-4 whose geometric and electronic structures remain largely unexplored. Here we describe the preparation and characterization of potassium zeolite A saturated with potassium metal, and find a zeolite-directed potassium superlattice having fewer than five potassium valence electrons for every 17 cations. Spontaneous segregation into electron-rich and predominantly cationic zones may



**Fig. 1** Structure of  $K_5/K_{12}-A$ . *(a)* Sodalite cage in  $K_5/K_{12}-A$ , showing a tetrahedron of  $K(3)$  ions inside the cage (dark circles) with faces capped by a tetrahedron of  $K(1)$  ions outside the cage (pale circles). (b) Fragment of the structure showing neighbouring  $\alpha$ -cages and a sodalite cage (different shadings represent the different ionic sites). The much less open structure of the left-hand cage containing  $K(4)$  is readily apparent.

The green-brown solid  $K_5/K_{12}$ -A was prepared through the reaction, in a sealed evacuated quartz tube, of dehydrated potassium LTA  $(K_{12}-A)$  with a calibrated amount of potas-

also reflect an incipient insulator-to-metal transition within

sium vapour (equivalent to five potassium atoms per primitive unit cell) at temperatures between 200 and 250 °C.<sup>3.5</sup> A small amount of unreacted potassium forming a mirror on the surface of the tube indicated that the product was saturated with metal. Time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction data were collected at room temp. on the POLARIS diffractometer at the ISIS pulsed source at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory.

The starting model for the structure refinement used the primitive cubic space group *Pm3m,* and included framework atoms and the principal potassium sites  $K(1)$  at  $(0.23, 0.23, ...)$ 0.23) and K(2) at  $(0, x, x)$  $(x \approx 0.5)$ .<sup>6</sup> Difference Fourier methods were used to locate further potassium sites in the sodalite cage around  $(0.13, 0.13, 0.13)$  [K(3)], and in the  $\alpha$ -cage at approximately (0.25, 0.25, 0.5) [K(4)]. All four potassium sites are known in dehydrated  $K_{12}-A$ ,  $\delta$ , 7 and may be regarded as primarily ionic in character. Importantly, no distinction can be drawn between potassium originally present in the zeolite and that absorbed from the vapour phase. Presumably in return for a framework coordination site, the incoming 'guest' potassium atoms give up their valence electrons which then interact with the framework cations. The profile fit in this model contains a significant number of supercell reflections. The standard supercell in zeolite A involves Si and A1 ordering (space group *Fm3c);8* this gives an improved refinement but, importantly, the prominent supercell reflections are not fitted by this model.

The refined occupancies of sites  $K(1)$ ,  $K(3)$  and  $K(4)$  were all close to 0.5. An impossibly short  $K(1)-K(3)$  separation of 2.1 Å across the six-rings of the sodalite cage prevents occupation of adjacent sites and this intrinsic topological constraint leads to cation ordering. The most energetically favourable arrangement is a tetrahedron of  $K(3)$  ions with faces capped by a further tetrahedron of  $K(1)$  as illustrated in

**Table 1** Final crystallographic data for  $K_5/K_{12}$ -A at room temp.<sup>*a*</sup>

Atom	Wyckoff symbol	xla	v/b	z/c	$B_{11}/A^2$	$B_{22}/\AA^2$	$B_{33}/\AA$ <sup>2</sup>	$B_{23}/A^2$	$B_{13}/A^2$	$B_{12}/A^2$	$B_{\rm iso}/A$
Si/A1	1921	0.75	0.8430(2)	0.9389(1)	0.4(1)	0.7(1)	0.6(1)	0.1(1)	0.1(2)	0.1(2)	
O(1)	96j	0.0	0.8731(2)	0.7450(2)	1.8(2)	1.0(1)	1.5(2)	0.9(2)			
O(2)	96k	0.8915(1)	0.8915(1)	0.7539(3)	0.8(1)	0.8(1)	1.5(2)	$-0.5(1)$	0.5(1)	1.0(1)	
O(3)	96k	0.8053(2)	0.8053(2)	0.9327(2)	2.3(2)	2.3(2)	1.1(2)	$-0.3(1)$	$-0.3(1)$	$-0.1(3)$	
O(4)	96k	0.3070(2)	0.3070(2)	0.4280(3)	1.3(1)	1.3(1)	1.8(2)	0.4(1)	0.4(1)	0.1(2)	
K(1)	32f	0.8676(4)	0.8676(4)	0.8676(4)	2.1(3)	2.1(3)	2.1(3)	0.5(3)	0.5(3)	0.5(3)	
K(2)	96k	0.0069(10)	0.0069(10)	0.75							0.0(7)
K(3)	32f	0.3177(3)	0.3177(3)	0.3177(3)	2.0(2)	2.0(2)	2.0(2)	1.4(3)	1.4(3)	1.4(3)	
K(4)	48i	0.5	0.3727(3)	0.3727(3)	0.3(6)	2.6(4)	2.6(4)	$-0.4(4)$			

<sup>*a*</sup> Cubic: space group  $Fm\overline{3}m$  (no. 225);  $a = 24.6324(2)$  Å;  $R_{\rm wP} = 2.9\%$ ;  $R_{\rm E} = 2.3\%$   $R_{\rm I} = 6.2\%$ . All sites fully occupied except for  $K(2)$  with an occupancy of 0.140(10). An Si: Al ratio of 1:1 was assumed.

$$
R_{\rm wp} = \left[\frac{\sum w_i \left\{ |y_i(\text{obs.}) - y_i(\text{calc.})| \right\}^2}{\sum w_i y_i^2(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_{\rm E} = \left[\frac{N - P + C}{\sum w_i y_i^2(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_{\rm I} = \left[\frac{\sum k |I_k(\text{obs.}) - \frac{1}{c}I_k(\text{calc.})|}{\sum k I_k(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_{\rm I} = \left[\frac{\sum k |I_k(\text{obs.}) - \frac{1}{c}I_k(\text{calc.})|}{\sum k I_k(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_{\rm I} = \left[\frac{\sum k |I_k(\text{obs.}) - \frac{1}{c}I_k(\text{calc.})|}{\sum k I_k(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_{\rm I} = \left[\frac{\sum k |I_k(\text{obs.}) - \frac{1}{c}I_k(\text{calc.})|}{\sum k I_k(\text{obs.})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

where *N*, *P* and *C* are the number of observations, parameters and constraints, respectively.



**Fig. 2** Observed and calculated diffraction profiles for  $K_5/K_{12}$ -A in space group  $Fm\overline{3}m$ 

Fig. 1. On average each  $\alpha$ -cage contains four K(1) and six **K(4),** which would require short K( 1)-K(4) interactions of 3.3 A. Further ordering with alternate  $\alpha$ -cages containing either eight K(1) or 12 K(4), was accommodated in space group *Fm3m,* (assuming Si/Al disorder) and gave a significantly improved refinement, successfully fitting all superlattice reflections as shown in Fig. 2. Final crystallographic data are shown in Table 1.

The observation that included potassium in  $K_5/K_{12}$ -A is found in ionic sites is consistent with previous EPR results which reflect the spontaneous ionization of alkali-metal atoms on entering potassium zeolites.3.9 The cations of dehydrated  $K_{12}$ -A represent a more or less regular array into which precise numbers of electrons may be injected through reaction with controlled amounts of potassium vapour.5 In zeolites X, Y and A excess electrons are often trapped in paramagnetic centres (directly analogous to crystalline F-centres) located in the sodalite cages.<sup>2.3,9-11</sup> In  $K_5K_{12}$ -A the single-line EPR spectrum ( $g = 1.9978$ ,  $\Delta H_{pp} = 21.6$  G) testifies to a high degree of delocalization of the excess electron spins over large areas of the potassium lattice. It is important to stress that only five valence electrons for 17 potassium ions leads to a net charge in excess of **+0.7** per cation.

However, the distribution of potassium in  $K_5/K_{12}-A$  is inhomogeneous: the  $\alpha$ -cages containing eight  $K(1)$  retain the structure of the parent zeolite, whilst those containing 12 K(4) are much more densely packed [Fig.  $1(b)$ ]. Both the EPR g-value and the K-K nearest-neighbour distances in the denser region of the structure are comparable to those observed in the bulk meta1.12.13 These **K-K** distances fall in the range 4.238(3)-4.884(3) *8,* compared with a value of 4.54 **8,** for potassium metal.13 Bond valence sums (BVS) for potassium have been calculated using the method of Brown.14 Whilst these should be treated with some caution,<sup>14</sup>  $K(1)$  and K(3) are directly comparable since both coordinate to the 6-rings of the sodalite cage. Interestingly, whereas K(l) (BVS  $= 1.00$ ) behaves as K<sup>+</sup>, the value for the tetrahedron of K(3)  $(0.78)$  is close to that expected for  $K_4^{3+}$   $(0.75)$ . Although the low value for  $K(4)$  (0.50) in part reflects its lower coordination number, these ions appear electron-rich compared with K(1). Apparently both electronic and structural segregation has occurred in  $K_5/K_{12}-A$ , with half the  $\alpha$ -cages remaining as pristine pockets of dehydrated zeolite with the excess electrons confined to a cationic network located in the sodalite cages and the more densely occupied  $\alpha$ -cages.

As structural instability and phase separation are frequent harbingers of an incipient metal-insulator transition<sup>15</sup> the potassium ordering observed in  $K_5/K_{12}$ -A may be electronically as well as structurally driven. EPR,<sup>5</sup> NMR<sup>16</sup> and preliminary magnetic susceptibility measurements already demonstrate the importance of antiferromagnetic ordering, with few  $($ <1%) unpaired electron spins contributing to the observed paramagnetism. **<sup>17</sup>**

We thank the SERC for support and for provision of neutron beam facilities. The structure diagrams were drawn using ATOMS by Shape Software.

Received, 19th October, 1993; *Com. 3106250C* 

## **References**

- **1 R. M. Barrer,** *Hydrothermal Chemistry of Zeolites,* **Academic Press, London, 1982.**
- **2 J. A. Rabo, C. L. Angell, P. H. Kasai and V. Schomaker,** *Discuss. Faraday* **SOC., 1966, 41, 328.**
- **3 M. R. Harrison, P. P. Edwards, J. Klinowski, J. M. Thomas, D. C.**  Johnson **and C. J. Page, J.** *Solid State Chem.,* **1984, 54, 330.**
- **4 K. W. Blazey, K. A. Muller, F. Blatter and E. Schumacher,**  *Europhys. Lett.,* **1987, 4, 857.**
- **5 P. A. Anderson and P. P. Edwards,** *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC., 1992,114, 10608.**
- *6*  **P. C. W. Leung, K. B. Kunz, K. Seff and I. E. Maxwell,** *J. Phys. Chem.,* **1975,79, 2157.**
- **7**  J. **J. Pluth and** J. **V. Smith,** *J. Phys. Chem.,* **1979, 83, 741,**
- **8 V. Gramlich and W. M. Meier,** *Z. Kristallogr.,* **1971, 133, 134.**
- **9 P. A. Anderson, R. J. Singer and P. P. Edwards, J.** *Chem.* **SOC.,**  *Chem. Commun.,* **1991,914.**
- **10**  *P.* **A. Anderson and P. P. Edwards,** *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.,* **1991,915.**
- **11 P. A. Anderson,** D. **Barr and P. P. Edwards,** *Angew. Chem.,*  **1991, 103, 1511;** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* **1991,30, 1501.**
- **12**  *R.* **C. McMillan, J.** *Phys. Chem. Solids,* **1964, 25, 773.**
- **13 N. N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw,** *Chemistry of the Elements,*  **Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984.**
- **14 I.** D. **Brown,** *Chem. SOC. Rev.,* **1978,7, 359; I.** D. **Brown and** D. **Altermatt,** *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B,* **1985, 41, 244.**
- **15 P. P. Edwards and M. J. Sienko,** *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.,* **1983,3, 83.**
- **16**  G. **Schafer, W. W. Warren, P. A. Anderson and P. P. Edwards,**  *J. Non-cryst. Solids, 1993, 156-158, 803.*
- **17 P. P. Edwards, L. J. Woodall, P. A. Anderson, A. R. Armstrong and M. Slaski,** *Chem.* **SOC.** *Rev.,* **1993, 22,305.**