Thermally-induced Reorganization of [n]-Ladderanes

Goverdhan Mehta,**M. Balaji Viswanath,*Ajit C. Kunwar,**K. Ravi Kumar*and D. Siva Kumar Reddy¢

^a School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 134, India ^b Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

^c ARDEC, Picatinny Arsenal, NJ, USA

Thermolysis of [3]-, [5]- and [7]-ladderane derivatives has unravelled some novel, deep-seated rearrangements of these fascinating molecular arrays.

In a recent communication, we disclosed that 1,2-bismethoxycarbonylcyclobutadiene, disengaged from its iron tricarbonyl complex 1, undergoes oligomerization through serial, FMO controlled cycloadditions to furnish [3]-2, [5]-3 and [7]-ladderane 4 derivatives (Scheme 1).¹ The availability of such













Scheme 3

multifused cyclobutanoid arrays for the first time,² prompted us to explore the potentially rich but as yet unexplored chemistry of these unusual structures. Herein, we report on the reactivity pattern displayed by ladderanes under thermal activation, which eventuates in novel, deep-seated structural reorganization.

When [3]-ladderane 2 was heated (CHCl₃, reflux, 20 h), 1,2,4,5-tetrakismethoxycarbonylcyclooctatetraene 6^{\dagger} was isolated as the only product in 85% yield. The presence of 16 lines (8 olefinic Cs) in the ¹³C NMR and 4-methyl ester resonances in the ¹H NMR spectra indicated that only the static structure 6, arising through 5, was present and no evidence of bondshifting³ was observed under ambient conditions (Scheme 2).

[5]-Ladderane 3 in refluxing benzene (48 h) also furnished a single crystalline product in 60% yield. Its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (presence of three isolated double bonds and three olefinic protons) clearly indicated a major structural change and further incisive analyses (NOE) led to the formulation 7.† This structure was further secured through X-ray crystal structure determination.‡ We envisage the formation of 7 from 3 through a series of radical-mediated bond



reorganizations in which as many as $14 (\sigma \text{ and } \pi)$ bond-making and -breaking events take place in a single pot reaction (Scheme 3). Particularly noteworthy is the sequential 'slipping' of the two cyclobutane rings in 8 to the 'angular' position in 12 via 10. Also, the stabilized diradicals 9 and 11 undergo stereoselective ring closure to eventuate in an all *cis* stereochemistry in 12 required for the terminal [3.3] sigmatropic process leading to 7.

The [7]-ladderane 4 on thermolysis (C_6H_6 , reflux, 15 h) furnished a complex mixture from which dimethyl phthalate **13** (8%), **14**[†] (8%), **15**[†] (14%), **16**[†] (7%) and **17**[†] (28%) could be isolated through extensive column chromatography (silica gel) (Scheme 4).§ The sym-[4]-ladderane 14 was recognized readily on the basis of its three line ¹H and seven line ¹³C NMR spectra. The structure of 15 could not be gleaned readily from the spectral data alone and was secured through X-ray crystal structure determination, Fig. 1.. ¶ The structure of 16 is similar to the product 7 obtained from [5]-ladderane 3 (vide supra) and is in consonance with the detailed analysis of its ¹H NMR (NOE and NOESY) data. Finally, the structure of 17 was determined through incisive analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (decoupling, NOE and COSY) and on the basis of the observation (NMR monitoring) that the angular ladderane 15 converts into 16 and 17 on heating. Formation of 13-17 from 4 is depicted in Scheme 4. While the formation of 13 and 14 is straightforward through the intermediate 18, the novel cyclobutane 'slipping' process is once again operative here and leads stereoselectively to the angular polycyclobutanoids 20 and 15 via 19 and 21, respectively. The cyclobutane 'slipping' is most likely a thermodynamically-driven strain relaxation process, which breaks and partitions the linearly-fused cyclobutane arrays in 4 to 15 and in 3 to 12. The middle cyclohexene ring in 15 has an all cis arrangement and undergoes either a [3.3]-shift or a cyclobutene ring opening to terminate in 16 and 17, respectively.

G. M. thanks Indian National Science Academy for the



Fig. 1 A perspective of structure 15

award of Srinivasa Ramanujan Research Professorship. M. B. V. thanks CSIR for the award of a research fellowship.

Received, 26th October 1993; Com. 3/06404B

Footnotes

† All new compounds were characterized on the basis of their 1H and ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) and microanalytical data. Selected spectral data for 6: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 7.29 (1H, d, J 1.0 Hz), 7.27 (1H, br d, J 3.8 Hz), 6.27 (1H, d of $\frac{1}{2}$ ABq, J_1 11.7, J_2 3.8 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t of $\frac{1}{2}$ ABq, J_1 11.7, J_2 3.8 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t of $\frac{1}{2}$ ABq, J_1 11.7, J_2 = J_3 1.0 Hz), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.76 (3H, s), 3.75 (3H, s). For 7: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 6.58 (1H, d, J 1.2 Hz), 6.19 (1H, $\frac{1}{2}$ ABq, J 8.0 Hz), 6.17 (1H, ½ ABq, J 8.0 Hz), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.81 (3H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 3.74 (3H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.69 (3H, s), 3.62 (1H, br s), 3.56 (1H, ¹/₂ ABq, J 4.9 Hz), 3.33 (1H, ¹/₂ ABq, J 4.9 Hz). For 14: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 3.82 (12H, s), 3.63 (4H, s), 3.55 (6H, s). For 16: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 6.73 (1H, d, *J* 0.7 Hz), 6.16 (1H, ½ ABq, *J* 7.9 Hz), 5.96 (1H, d of $\frac{1}{2}$ ABq, J_1 7.9, J_2 0.7 Hz), 3.83 (3H, s), 3.79 (3H, s), 3.76 (3H, s), 3.75 (3H, s), 3.71 (1H, d, J 2.4 Hz), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.64 (3H, s), 3.63 (1H, br s), 3.60 (3H, s), 3.55 (3H, s), 3.50 (1H, d, J 2.4 Hz), 3.15 (1H, ½ ABq, J 9.7 Hz), 3.10 (1H, ½ ABq, J 9.7 Hz). For 17: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 7.38 (1H, br s), 6.75 (1H, br s), 6.33 (1H, br d, J 5.3 Hz), 4.40 (1H, d, J 5.3 Hz), 3.95 (1H, br d, J 8.7 Hz), 3.84 (1H, d, J 2.4 Hz), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.80 (1H, d, J 8.7 Hz), 3.80 (6H, s), 3.74 (1H, d, J 2.4 Hz), 3.74 (3H, s), 3.72 (3H, s), 3.56 (3H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.23 (3H, s).

We thank Professor T. N. Guru Row and his colleagues at I.I.Sc., Bangalore for this X-ray crystal structure determination.

§ The yield and percentage of products obtained from 4 showed considerable variation as a function of time and the results reported here are of a typical run.

¶ Crystal data for C₃₂H₃₂O₁₆, monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 32.810(4), b = 10.760(2), c = 18.624(3) Å, $\beta = 106.17(2)^{\circ}$, V = 6315(2) Å³, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.415$ Mg m⁻³, T = 293 K, 4521 reflections measured, range $(0 \le \theta \le 23^\circ)$ and $0 \le h \le 35, 0 \le k \le 11, -20 \le l \le 10^\circ$ 20; 4162 unique reflections ($R_{int} = 0.064$, averaging double measured) and 1317 (29%) observed with $I \ge 3\sigma(I)$, $\mu = 1.1$ cm⁻¹. Mo-K α Radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), graphite crystal monochromator, SIEMENS R3m/v single crystal diffractometer, ω -2 θ scan technique. Data corrected for Lorentz and polarization but not for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL-Plus)⁴ and anisotropically refined using blocked matrix technique. H-atoms calculated to their idealized positions and refined as riding atoms with fixed isotropic temperature factors ($U = 0.08 \text{ Å}^2$). The final R factor was 0.090 and $R_w = 0.092$. The relatively high R value is probably the consquence of the very poor scattering power of the crystal, resulting in the poor ratio of the number of observations to parameters. Nevertheless, the stereostructure of the molecule is clear, Fig. 1. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been desposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

References

- 1 G. Mehta, M. B. Viswanath, G. N. Sastry, E. D. Jemmis, D. S. K. Reddy and A. C. Kunwar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 1488.
- 2 Only a few [4]-ladderane derivatives are known in the literature and higher members have remained unknown until our recent report.¹ See, H. D. Martin and M. Hekman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1973, 12, 572; J. Meinwald and J. Mioduski, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 3839; H. D. Martin, B. Mayer, M. Putter and H. Hochsettei, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1981, 20, 677; G. Mehta, M. B. Viswanath, M. Nethaji and K. Venkatesan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 82.
- 3 L. A. Paquette, Acc. Chem. Res., 1993, 26, 57.
- 4 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-Plus Revision 4.11/v, 1990, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Karlsruhe, Germany.