

Methyl Transfer from Nitrogen to Cobalt: Model for the B₁₂-Dependent Methyl Transfer Enzymes

John M. Pratt,* Paul R. Norris, Mohamed S. A. Hamza and Roger Bolton

Department of Chemistry, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK GU2 5XH

The Co atom can be methylated by reaction of the Co^I cobalamin with the PhNMe₃⁺ ion in aqueous solution at 25 °C at a pH-independent rate (pH 4–10) with the second-order rate constant $k_2 = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Methyl transfer is the simplest nucleophilic substitution reaction, as well as one of the most extensively studied and best understood of all organic reactions.¹ Little is known, however, about the first transfer of a *de novo* synthesised Me group from the N⁵ of N–Me–THFA (THFA = tetrahydrofolic acid) to the S of homocysteine to form methionine, for which nature has evolved two families of enzymes.² In the B₁₂-dependent enzymes the mechanism involves the alternate formation of Co^I and Me–Co corrinoids; in the less efficient B₁₂-independent enzymes there is no evidence for any prosthetic group. N–Me donors able to methylate thiols non-enzymatically and provide a model for the B₁₂-independent pathway include N–Me–py⁺,^{3,4} PhNMe₃⁺,⁴ N⁵–Me–THFA (sealed tube at 100 °C for 24 h, 2% yield)³ and a N⁵-dimethylated pterin derivative (70 °C for 24 h, 57% yield), as reported recently by Hilhorst, Chen and Pandit.⁵ No methyl transfer from N to Co in a corrinoid has yet been reported in reasonable yield, though Hilhorst reports ‘an extremely low conversion’ with her pterin derivative.⁴ Co^I–Cbl does, however, react readily with ethyleneimine to give the Co–CH₂CH₂NH₃⁺ derivative,⁶ demonstrating that alkyl transfer from N to Co can occur provided there is a sufficient driving force. We recently reported a new pathway for the methylation of Co by MeI, involving reaction with a thiol–Co^{II}–corrinoid.⁷ We now report the first example of facile transfer of Me from a N atom to a Co^I corrinoid.

We have investigated various compounds possessing the N–Me⁺ group (whether resulting from protonation or full methylation) to find a protein-free model for Me transfer from a N atom to a Co^I corrinoid. Because the Me-donor potential of ring-substituted N–Me-pyridinium ions increases as the basicity of the parent py decreases,^{1d} we have focussed primarily on compounds with a basicity as low as that of N⁵ in THFA (pK 4.8)⁸ and have taken the basicity of a fully methylated compound as comparable to that of the monodemethylated parent. Since imidazoles often behave differently from pyridines (*e.g.* as nucleophiles in ester hydrolysis⁹ or H-bond acceptors)¹⁰ we have included an imidazole as well as pyridines and amines/anilines; pK values are taken from ref. 11 except that for **6** from ref. 12. Aqueous solutions (*ca.* $3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) of the very reactive and probably 4-coordinate¹³ Co^I–Cbl (Cbl = cobalamin) were prepared by reducing deoxygenated solutions of aquo–Cbl (B_{12a}) under nitrogen with NaBH₄ in the presence of Co(NO₃)₂ as catalyst.¹⁴ Reactions were studied by UV–VIS spectrophotometry in a 1 cm pathlength cell thermostated at 25 °C; such studies are limited to pH > 3 because of the increasing rate of evolution of H₂ bubbles with increasing acidity.¹⁴

Co^I–Cbl reacts with the PhNMe₃⁺ ion **1** (*cf.* PhNMe₂ pK 5.1) as the chloride to give Me–Cbl,† but high concentrations are required. The reactions showed good isobestic points and followed pseudo-first order kinetics. The rate increased with the concentration of **1** (studied up to 1 mol dm^{-3}) but was independent of pH (4–10), corresponding to a second-order rate constant k_2 of $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($t_{1/2}$ *ca.* 5 min). By contrast, there was no detectable formation (<10%) of Me–Cbl at pH 4 over 12 h in the presence of 1 mol dm^{-3} solutions of the N–Me-pyridinium ion **2** (*cf.* py pK 5.2) or NMe₄⁺ **3** (*cf.* NMe₃ pK 9.8), while the protonated forms of both PhNMe₂ **4** (pK 5.1) and PhNHMe **5** (pK 4.85), which could not readily be studied as the neutral forms at pH 8 because of reduced

solubility, and of 5–Cl–N–Me-imidazole **6** (pK 5.1)¹² all appear to catalyse the decomposition of Co^I back to Co^{II} and/or inhibit reduction to Co^I; Co^I could be obtained in the presence of 0.1 mol dm^{-3} reagent but slowly produced Co^{II} with no obvious formation of Me–Cbl, while only Co^{II} with no Co^I could be observed in the presence of 1 mol dm^{-3} reagent.

Our results show, firstly, that the Co^I ion can be methylated by **1**, but the reaction is relatively slow with $k_2 = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; *cf.* $k_2 = 30 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for MeCl in H₂O.¹⁵ Because of the presence of the aromatic ring in **1**, one cannot exclude the possibility that the mechanism involves an initial partial (or even complete) electron transfer from Co^I to the phenyl ring, hence partial radical character in the Me transfer; *cf.* the analogous ‘radicaloid’ mechanism for the alkylation of carbanions by *N*-alkyl-pyridinium ions.¹⁶ We have earlier proposed such a mechanism for labilising the N–Me bond for transfer to Co^I,¹⁷ while Hilhorst *et al.* have suggested ‘the involvement of an electron transfer step and radical intermediates in transfer to thiols’.⁵ Our results also show that neither the mono- nor the di-methylated anilines show any comparable methylating ability. There appears to have been no systematic study of the effect of increasing methylation on either the aniline as Me-acceptor or on the anilinium ion as Me-donor, although a brief report indicates that aniline and *N*-dimethylaniline are methylated by MeI in MeOH at rates which differ by less than 10%.¹⁸ Further work is needed to establish whether the greater methylating ability of **1** over **4** and **5** is due to a lower adverse free energy of desolvation (required to enter the hydrophobic cavity surrounding the Co ion) due to the absence of H-bonding N–H bonds or to an enhanced labilisation of the N–Me bond due to steric crowding and strain induced by full methylation.

The availability of a protein-free model for Me transfer from N to Co^I corrinoids, which both have an effective basicity (*cf.* pK 5.1 for **4**) similar to that of N⁵ in THFA itself (4.8)⁸ as well as an analogous electronic structure (with the –NMe-group as substituent in an aromatic/heterocyclic ring), should further our understanding of the mechanism of reaction of the B₁₂-dependent methyl transferases.

We thank the University of Surrey and the Arab Students Aid International (M. S. A. H.) and the Maluti Fund (P. R. N.) for support.

Received, 24th March 1994; Com. 4/01771D

Footnote

† Me–Cbl was identified as the product by TLC on cellulose using solvent 1 of ref. 19 (sec-BuOH: H₂O 9.5:4) against a known sample prepared from MeI.

References

- See, for example: (a) R. G. Pearson, H. Sobel and J. Songstad, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 319; (b) C. Lassau and J.-C. Jungers, *Bull. Soc. Chim. France*, 1968, 2678; (c) W. J. Albery and M. Kreevoy, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1978, **16**, 87; (d) E. M. Arnett and R. Reich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 5892.

- 2 R. G. Matthews and J. T. Drummond, *Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **90**, 1275.
- 3 G. N. Schrauzer and R. J. Windgassen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 3607.
- 4 E. Hilhorst, Dissertation, University of Amsterdam, 1993.
- 5 E. Hilhorst, T. B. R. A. Chen and U. K. Pandit, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 881.
- 6 W. Friedrich, H. C. Heinrich, E. König and P. Schulze, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, 1964, **112**, 601; H. P. C. Hogenkamp, *Fed. Proc.*, 1966, **25**, 1623.
- 7 J. M. Pratt, M. S. A. Hamza and G. J. Buist, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 701.
- 8 R. G. Kallen and W. P. Jencks, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1966, **241**, 5845.
- 9 T. C. Bruice and R. Lapinski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 2265.
- 10 M. H. Abraham, P. P. Duce, D. V. Prior, D. G. Barratt, J. J. Morris and P. J. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1989, 1355.
- 11 G. D. Fasman, *Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Physical and Chemical Data*, 3rd edn., CRC Press, Cleveland, OH, 1976, vol. 1.
- 12 M. S. A. Hamza and J. M. Pratt, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1994, 1367.
- 13 J. M. Pratt, *Metal Ions in Biol. Systems*, 1993, **29**, 229.
- 14 S. M. Chemaly, R. A. Hasty and J. M. Pratt, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1983, 2223.
- 15 G. N. Schrauzer and E. Deutsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 3341.
- 16 A. R. Katritzky and G. Musumarra, *Chem. Soc. Revs.*, 1984, **13**, 47.
- 17 J. M. Pratt, J. Aron, S. M. Chemaly and H. M. Marques, in *The Biological Alkylation of Heavy Elements*, ed. P. J. Craig and F. Gloeking, RSC Special Publication no 66, 1988, p. 46.
- 18 R. G. Pearson, H. Sobel and J. Songstad, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 319.
- 19 R. A. Firth, H. A. O. Hill, J. M. Pratt and R. G. Thorp, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1968, **23**, 429.