Asymmetric Synthesis of β -Alkoxycyclic Ethers *via* the Intramolecular Cyclization of Group 14 Allyls containing Chiral Acetals

Isao Kadota, Koichi Miura and Yoshinori Yamamoto*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-77, Japan

Treatment of an allylic tin-bearing chiral acetal **1a** with $TiCl_4$ -PPh₃ gives the (2*S*, 3*R*) isomer **2** with high diastereoselectivity (**2**: **3** = 93: 7), whereas the reaction of an allylic silane derivative **1f** affords predominantly the (2*R*,3*S*) isomer **3** (**2**: **3** = 37: 63).

We have recently accomplished a stereocontrolled synthesis of the 7,7,6,6-tetracyclic ether skeleton of hemibrevetoxin via intramolecular allylic tin-aldehyde (or ketone) condensation. A key step for this methodology is shown in Scheme 1, in which asymmetric centres in the starting material are derived from D-mannose. Although this asymmetric cyclization was very suitable for the synthesis of hemibrevetoxin skeleton, we needed more flexible and widely applicable methods for asymmetric synthesis of β -alkoxycyclic ethers because cyclic ether frameworks having slightly different structures are frequently found in marine natural products.

We wish to report that the treatment of the allyltributyltin-bearing chiral acetal 1a with $TiCl_4$ -PPh₃ gives the (2S, 3R) cyclic ether 2 with high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 2, Table 1, entry 1).² The cyclization proceeded very rapidly at -78 °C by the use of a Lewis acid-Lewis base combination^{2b} to give the trans products 2 and 3 in a ratio of 93:7; cis isomers were not detected.† Use of $TiCl_4$ alone or $TiCl_n(OPr)_{4-n}$ (n=0,2) gave poor results. Allylsilane and germane derivatives 1b-f also underwent cyclization by the use of $TiCl_4$ -PPh₃ (entries 2-6). Very interestingly, the cyclization of triisopropylsilyl (1b) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl (1c) derivatives gave predominantly the (2S, 3R) isomer 2, whereas cyclization of trimethylgermyl (1d), dimethylphenylsilyl (1e), and trimethylsilyl (1f) derivatives afforded preferentially the (2R, 3S) isomer 3. Here also, no cis products were detected.

The absolute configuration of 3 was determined unambiguously by converting it to 4 (Scheme 3). The oxidation of a 63:37 mixture of 3 and 2 (obtained from the reaction of 1f) with PCC and subsequent treatment with potassium carbonate in methanol gave the corresponding β -hydroxy cyclic ethers.

Scheme 1 TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl; TIPS = triisopropylsilyl

Scheme 2

Benzyl protection of the resulting ethers with benzyl bromide-KH afforded a mixture of 4 and its enantiomer in 53% yield. The specific rotation of this mixture was +14.2 (c 2.0, CH_2Cl_2 , 26 °C). The reported [α]_D value for the (2S, 3R) enantiomer of 4 is -52.2 (c 3.6, CH_2Cl_2 , 20 °C). Accordingly, it is clear that the major enantiomer of 4 formed by the reaction of 1f possesses the (2R, 3S) configuration; the [α]_D²⁰ value of +14.2 corresponds to ca. 27% de, which is in good agreement with the diastereoisomer ratio of the cyclic ethers obtained from the reaction of 1f.

A mechanistic rationale which accounts for predominant formation of 2 from 1a is shown in Scheme 4. As we previously reported in the enantiodivergent synthesis of steroidal side chains,4 the reactive allylic tin reagent 1a would react in a S_N2 like manner; breaking of a C-O bond of the acetal would take place simultaneously with C-C bond formation between the γ-allylic carbon and acetal carbon (A and B). The transition state B is less stable than A owing to unfavourable steric repulsion between TiL_n and an equatorial Me group. Therefore, the cyclization takes place predominantly through A, giving 2 in high diastereoselectivity. On the other hand, the less reactive allylic trimethylsilane 1f would react in a S_N1 manner (Scheme 5).4 Cleavage of a C-O bond of the acetal would occur prior to C-C bond formation. It is obvious that transition state D is less stable than C because of an unfavourable steric interaction between a methyl group and the attacking allylic reagent. This is further emphasized, if we consider the transition state geometries using Newman type projections C' and D'; the attack of the allylic reagent shown in D' has to proceed through an anti-Cram manner. Therefore, the cyclization of 1f proceeds predominantly through the transition state C to give 3 preferentially.

Upon changing the Group 14 fragment of the allyl substrate the diastereoisomeric ratios of 2:3 changed from 93:7 to 37:63, as shown in Table 1. The diastereoselectivity sequence

Scheme 4

Table 1 Asymmetric cyclization of 1a

Entry	MR_3	Ratio $(2:3)^b$	Yield (%)
1 1a	SnBu ⁿ ₃	93:7	51
21b	SiPr ₃	77:23	41
31c	SiMe ₂ Bu ^t	58:42	39
4 1d	$GeMe_3$	44:56	52
5 1e	SiMe ₂ Ph	41:59	52
6 1f	SiMe ₃	37:63	38

^a To a CH₂Cl₂ solution (5 ml) of TiCl₄-PPh₃ (1 mmol) cooled at −78 °C was added dropwise a CH₂Cl₂ solution (8 ml) of 1 (0.5 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at that temperature. Complete consumption of the starting material was confirmed by monitoring the reaction with TLC. The reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silicagel (eluent: hexane–AcOEt) to give a diastereomeric mixture of products. ^b Ratio determined by capillary GC analysis. ^c Isolated yield.

Scheme 6

for the formation of 2 is $SnBu_3 > SiPr^i_3 > SiMe_2Bu^t > GeMe_3$ > SiMe₂Ph > SiMe₃. It is known that relative reactivities of Group 14 allyls toward diarylcarbenium ions are in the following order;⁵ SnBu₃ ≫ SiPri₃ > SiMe₂Bu^t > SiMe₃ > SiMe₂Ph, GeMe₃. This reactivity order is in good agreement with the present diastereoselectivity order, except for SiMe₃. The very reactive tin compound 1a would react in a S_N2 manner, whereas the less reactive trimethylgermyl, dimethylphenylsilyl and trimethylsilyl compounds 1d-f would react in a S_N1 manner. Another interesting point is that reversed diastereoselectivity was observed for 1d-f. Previous results⁶ on the S_N2/S_N1 problem of acetal ring cleavage indicate that the diastereoselectivities decrease with shifting of the mechanism from S_N2 to S_N1 type cleavage, but diastereoselectivity reversal has never been observed.4 Perhaps, memory of the chirality of the acetal disappears completely in the present case, and that diastereoselectivity is dictated primarily by the stereochemistry of the asymmetric centre at the α -carbon (see modified Cram models C' and D'), whereas the memory

Scheme 7

remains to some extent in the previously studied reactions. The disappearance of chirality memory of the acetal may result from the use of TiCl₄-PPh₃.

Irrespective of the precise mechanism, the cyclization seemed to be promising for asymmetric synthesis of β -alkoxy cyclic ethers. We extended the method employing tin to seven-membered cyclization (Scheme 6). The reaction of 5 with TiCl₄–PPh₃ in CH₂Cl₂ gave a 91:9 mixture of (2S, 3R) 6 and (2R, 3S) 7 in 55% yield. Here again, no *cis* products were detected. The absolute configuration of 6 was determined by converting it to the corresponding acetyl derivative 8 (Scheme 7). Oxidation of 6 (82% de) with PCC followed by treatment with K₂CO₃ gave the corresponding β -hydroxy cyclic ether. Subsequent treatment with acetic anhydride–pyridine afforded 8 in 52% overall yield. Comparison of $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –17.9 (c 0.0495, CHCl₃) with the literature value⁷ for the (2S, 3R) enantiomer, $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ –21.1 (c 1.1, CHCl₃), indicated (2S, 3R) configuration.

We are now in a position to carry out asymmetric cyclization of ω -organometallic ether acetals with higher flexibility in comparison with the reaction shown in Scheme 1. Further, the reversal of the diastereoselectivity is mechanistically interesting. We are applying the present method to the synthesis of certain natural products.

Received, 29th April 1994; Com. 4/02551B

Footnote

† The TiCl₄-PPh₃ mediated cyclization of allyltrimethylsilane derivative bearing a simple acetal gave a 98:2 mixture of *trans* and *cis* products [ref. 2(b)].

References

- I. Kadota, Y. Matsukawa and Y. Yamamoto, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 1638; Y. Yamamoto, J. Yamada and I. Kadota, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 7069.
- 2 Previous papers on related cyclization via allylic tins. (a) J. Yamada, T. Asano, I. Kadota and Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 6066; (b) I. Kadota, V. Gevorgyan, J. Yamada and Y. Yamamoto, Synlett, 1991, 823; (c) V. Gevorgyan, I. Kadota and Y. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 1313; (d) Y. Yamamoto and N. Asao, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 2207.
- 3 K. C. Nicolaou, C.-K. Hwang, B. E. Marron, S. A. DeFrees, E. A. Couladouros, Y. Abe, P. J. Carroll and J. P. Snyder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 3040.
- 4 Y. Yamamoto, H. Abe, S. Nishii and J. Yamada, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 3253; Y. Yamamoto, S. Nishii and J. Yamada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7116.
- 5 G. Hagen and H. Mayr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 4954.
- 6 For recent mechanistic studies of this type of acetal opening, see: T. Sammakia and R. S. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10998; J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 2997; S. E. Denmark and N. G. Almstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 8089; J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 6485; I. Mori, K. Ishihara, L. A. Flippin, K. Nozaki, H. Yamamoto, P. A. Bartlett and C. H. Heathcock, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 6107; S. E. Denmark, T. M. Willson and N. G. Almstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 9258.
- K. C. Nicolaou, C. V. C. Prasad, P. K. Somers and C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5335.