Formation of Q-state CdS Colloids using Ultrasound

Rachel A. Hobson, Paul Mulvaney and Franz Grieser*

Advanced Mineral Products Research Centre, School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3052, Australia

The formation of quantum-sized CdS particles has been achieved by the irradiation of aqueous solutions of Cd²⁺-thiol-sodium hexametaphosphate with 20 kHz ultrasound.

The absorption of high-intensity ultrasound by water can produce H[•] and OH[•] radicals in solution.¹⁻⁶ In the presence of solutes dissolved in the water these primary radicals can be scavenged leading to the formation of other radicals and a host of chemical reactions.⁶⁻⁸ It has been shown that both the primary and secondary radicals are able to react with aqueous metal ions or metal complexes to yield colloidal metals⁹⁻¹¹ and metal oxides.¹² We have also found that the size of the colloidal particles produced is quite small, <10 nm.⁹ This particular observation prompted us to examine the formation of semiconductor colloids to see if the mechanism of particle formation initiated *via* ultrasound would produce quantum sized, or Q-state particles.

It is known from radiation chemical studies that attack of H[•] on certain thiols leads to the release of H_2S .^{13–15} For example, in the case of 2-mercaptopropionic acid, the relevant reactions are given by eqns. (1) and (2).

$$H^{\bullet} + MeCH(SH)CO_2H \rightarrow H_2 + MeCH(S^{\bullet})CO_2H$$
 (1)

$$H^{\bullet} + MeCH(SH)CO_2H \rightarrow H_2S + Me\dot{C}HCO_2H$$
 (2)

The H \cdot species produced by sonication of water can in principle participate in the above reactions if sufficient thiol is present in solution to prevent the recombination reactions (3) and (4) which occur predominantly within the cavitation

$$H^{\bullet} + H^{\bullet} \to H_2 \tag{3}$$

$$OH^{\bullet} + H^{\bullet} \to H_2O \tag{4}$$

bubble.^{8,16} The more surface active the additive, the greater the scavenging efficiency of the solute.^{5,9} The H₂S produced by reaction (2) can then be reacted further with, for example, Cd^{2+} , ¹⁵ eqns. (5) and (6).

$$Cd^{2+} + H_2S \rightarrow CdS + 2H^+$$
(5)

$$n \text{CdS} \to (\text{CdS})_n$$
 (6)

To gain some estimate of the importance of reaction (2) in a sonochemical process we monitored the spectral changes following sonication of solutions of Cd²⁺-thiol-sodium hexametaphosphate (HMP). The latter component was required to minimise the formation of UV-absorbing Cd(thiol) complexes.¹⁵ The sonication system used was a conventional 20 kHz horn sonifier, operating at 15 W cm⁻². All solutions were purged with N₂ for 15 min before sonication and then maintained under an atmosphere of N₂ during sonication. In all the sonication experiments the temperature was maintained at 20 ± 4 °C to prevent any bulk thermal reaction of Cd²⁺ with the thiols which can occur at reasonable rates at temperatures >40 °C. At room temperature no noticeable thermal reaction was observed for any of the thiols used over several days.

The changes in absorption of a solution of Cd^{2+} -DLpenicillamine [(Me₂C(SH)CH(NH₂)CO₂H]-HMP as a function of the time of sonication are shown in Fig. 1. The absorption bands produced are essentially the same as those observed for Q-state CdS particles of different sizes. Also shown are the fluorescence spectra observed from the particles formed in the sonicated solution. These fluorescence spectra are similar to those observed in the radiation chemical formation of CdS particles in the presence of HMP and 3-mercaptopropane-1,2-diol.¹⁵

The effect of the thiol type on the particle size was also

examined and the results are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Both figures show that sonication produces CdS particles which are in the Q-state range and that the type of thiol present determines the size of the particle formed after any particular amount of sonication. The wavelengths for the onset of absorption of the CdS particles produced at different times of sonication and in the different thiol systems are shown in Table 1. We also deliberately saturated a solution of Cd²⁺–2-mercaptopropionic acid–HMP with H₂S gas to give the spectrum shown in Fig. 2. It is clear that exposure of the solution to a high local concentration of H₂S produces a larger wavelength absorption threshold, hence larger particles than by the sonochemical 'titration' of the Cd²⁺ in solution. The absorption spectra obtained following sonication were reason-



Fig. 1 Absorption (---) and emission (---) spectra (arbitrary units) obtained from Cd²⁺ (2 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³)-DL-penicillamine (0.1 mol dm⁻³)-HMP solutions. Before sonications (a), after 20 (b), 40 (c) and 60 min sonication (d) and upon equilibration overnight (e). The bulk solution temperature was maintained between 18.0 and 23.5 °C, pH = 5.6. The excitation wavelength for the emission spectra was $\lambda = 320$ nm.



Fig. 2 Absorption spectra obtained from Cd^{2+} (2×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³)– 2-mercaptopropanoic acid (0.1 mol dm⁻³)–HMP solutions. Before sonication (*a*), after 20 (*b*), 40 (*c*) and 60 min sonication (*d*) and upon equilibration overnight (*e*). The bulk solution temperature was maintained between 18.5 and 21.4 °C, pH = 5.0. The insert shows the resultant absorption spectrum obtained after H₂S saturation of a similar solution.

ably stable, and only after prolonged standing was there a shift to higher wavelengths, indicative of an increase in the mean particle size. Table 1 also lists the wavelengths for the onset of absorption after equilibration for 24 h of the sonicated solution, prepared using the different thiol systems.

It is interesting that the sonochemical formation of CdS particles can yield colloids which approach the cluster size range.¹⁶ They are relatively stable in this form presumably because of adsorption of the thiol–HMP onto the particle surface. This is very similar to the observations reported from the γ -radiolysis of Cd²⁺–3-mercaptopropane-1,2-diol–HMP solutions.¹⁵ The results also show that sonochemical reactions



Fig. 3 Absorption spectra obtained from Cd^{2+} (2×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³)– 3-mercaptopropanoic acid (0.1 mol dm⁻³)–HMP solutions. Before sonication (*a*), after 20 (*b*), 40 (*c*) and 60 min sonication (*d*) and upon equilibration overnight (*e*). The bulk solution temperature was maintained between 18.0 and 21.5 °C, pH = 5.0.

Table 1 Wavelength of the onset of absorption obtained at various sonication times in Cd^{2+} -thiol-HMP systems^{*a*}

Thiol	Onset V/nm 			
	DL-Penicillamine 2-Mercaptopropionic acid 3-Mercaptopropionic acid	404 347 294	408 355 297	409 361 315

^{*a*} Cd²⁺ (2×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³)-thiol (0.1 mol dm⁻³)-HMP (1.5×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³). ^{*b*} Time of sonication. ^{*c*} For solutions left to equilibrate for 24 h after sonication for 60 min.

J. CHEM. SOC., CHEM. COMMUN., 1994

can mimic the radiation-initiated chemical process in the same controlled way. It is the low local concentration of H_2S produced in solution by sonication which is conducive to the formation of ultrasmall semiconductor particles. This is probably due to the fact that at low CdS nucleation rates, efficient capping of the Q-state particles occurs before they can aggregate. In contrast, when H_2S gas is bubbled through a Cd^2 -thiol solution, a higher nucleation rate can be expected with the primary particles coalescing before thiol stabilization occurs.

R. A. H. acknowledges the support of a Australian Postgraduate Research Award. P. M. is supported by a QEII Fellowship. This work was sponsored by the Australian Research Council grant scheme.

Received, 2nd December 1993; Com. 3/07147B

References

- 1 P. Riesz, D. Berdahl and C. L. Christman, *Environ. Health* Perspect, 1985, 64, 233.
- 2 K. Makino, M. M. Mossoba and P. Riesz, J. Phys. Chem., 1983, 87, 1369.
- 3 A. Weissler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 1077.
- 4 E. J. Hart and A. Henglein, J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 3654.
- 5 A. Henglein, Ultrasonics, 1987, 25, 6.
- 6 K. S. Suslick, Scientific American, 1989, 260, 80.
- 7 P. Riesz, T. Kondo and C. Murali Krishna, Ultrasonics, 1990, 28, 295.
- 8 J. P. Lorimer and T. J. Mason, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1987, 16, 239.
- 9 S. Au Yeung, R. Hobson, S. Biggs and F. Grieser, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 378.
- 10 Y. Nagata, Y. Watananabe, S. Fujita, T. Dohmaru and S. Taniguchi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 1620.
- 11 M. Gutiérrez, A. Henglein and J. K. Dohrmann, J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 6687.
- 12 J. Sostaric, P. Mulvaney and F. Grieser, unpublished work.
- 13 M. Anbar, Farhataziz and A. B. Ross, Selected Specific Rates of Reactions of Transients from Water in Aqueous Solution. II Hydrogen Atom., NSRDS-NBS, vol. 51, US Dept. of Commerce, Washington DC 1975.
- 14 C. von Sonntag, H.-P. Schuchmann, in The Chemistry of Ethers, Crown Ethers, Hydroxyl Groups and Their Sulphur Analogues. Part II., ed. S. Patai, Wiley, Brisbane, 1980, p. 979.
- 15 D. Hayes, O. I. Mićić, M. T. Nenadović, V. Swayambunathan and D. Meisel, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 4603.
- 16 M. Anbar and I. Pecht, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 352.
- 17 E. G. Smotkin, R. M. Brown, Jr., L. K. Rabenberg, K. Salomon, A. J. Bard, A. Campion, M. A. Fox, T. E. Mallouk, S. E. Webber and J. M. White, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 7543.