Hydroxy-directed Ketone Reduction. Application to the Synthesis of (+)-8,8a-Diepicastanospermine

Stephen H. J. Thompson, ^{a,b} R. Sankara Subramanian, ^a John K. Roberts, ^c Michael S. Hadley ^c and Timothy Gallagher^{a,b}

^a School of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, UK BA2 7AY

^b School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK BS8 1TS

• SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, Coldharbour Road, The Pinnacles, Harlow, UK CM19 5AD

Reduction of heterocyclic-derived aldol adducts 2 and 3 using NaBH(OAc)₃ is stereoselective and proceeds *via* a hydroxy-directed mechanism to give 6 and 7, respectively, thereby complementing the stereoselectivity available with NaBH₄; a synthesis of (+)-8,8a-diepicastanospermine 11 from diol 6 is described.

Polyhydroxylated indolizidines, exemplified by castanospermine $1,^1$ have attracted interest as potential regulators of oligosaccharide metabolism. As a result, a variety of strategies have been developed that have led to syntheses of both the natural products themselves as well as stereoisomers and related structural analogues.²

Our studies in this area have focused on the aldol strategy based on the C-2 enolate of *N*-substituted pyrrolidin-3-one, which is summarised below.³ Using this chemistry, two major aldol components **2** and **3** have been characterised and subsequently converted to 1,8,8a-triepicastanospermine **4** and 8-epicastanospermine **5**, respectively; a key step in each case being the introduction of the C-1 OH (indolizidine numbering) by stereoselective reduction of the pyrrolidin-3-one ketone of **2** and **3**.⁴ This was accomplished efficiently using either NaBH₄ or Buⁱ₂AlH, with hydride approach taking place exclusively from the less hindered face of the heterocyclic ring.



Achieving this reduction step in a stereochemically complementary fashion, that is from the more hindered face of the five-membered ring, was an attractive proposition since this would enable a *single* aldol adduct to be selectively manipulated to provide *two* isomeric polyhydroxylated indolizidines. This introduces a divergent element into the underlying aldol strategy and the realisation of this goal, using a hydroxydirected reduction, is now described.

The use of directed reduction of acyclic β -hydroxyketones in the stereoselective synthesis of 1,3-diols has found widespread use⁵ but the application of this methodology to cyclic variants is less well-established.⁶ Using standard conditions, reduction of aldol adduct **2** with NaBH(OAc)₃⁷ gave the *syn*-1,3-diol **6** in 65% yield. Similarly, reduction of **3** gave the *anti*-1,3-diol **7** in 82% yield (Scheme 1).

In both cases, reduction was highly selective with no other diol isomer being detected. It should also be appreciated that



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, NaBH(OAc)₃, AcOH, CH₂Cl₂, room temp.

the stereochemistry of the newly formed diol is not determined by the stereochemistry of the existing hydroxy-bearing centre; this only acts to anchor and activate the borane reagent prior to delivery of hydride to the more hindered face of the pyrrolidine ring.[†]

With two new 1,3-diols available, we chose to illustrate the divergent nature of the aldol strategy by conversion of diol 6 to 8,8a-diepicastanospermine 11 (Scheme 2). Hydroxideinduced cleavage of the carbamate residue from 6 gave 8 in 76% yield. Protection of the 1,3-diol moiety, which was required prior to further elaboration,‡ with 1,3-dichloro-1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyl-1,3-disiloxane⁹ and then *O*-debenzylation gave amino alcohol 9 in 68% yield. Cyclisation to generate the indolizidine ring system was now straightforward and was carried out using CBr_4/PPh_3 to give the fully protected polyhydroxylated indolizidine 10, which was then deprotected under acidic conditions. Following purification by ion exchange chromatography, 8,8a-diepicastanospermine 11 was isolated as a colourless glass in 56% yield from 9§.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **11** were assigned using COSY 45 and long range C-H correlation experiments and relative stereochemistry was confirmed using observed splittings of resonances in the ¹H NMR spectrum and NOE difference experiments.§ The indolizidine ring of **11**, which has a *trans* configuration between N (lone pair) and H-8a, adopts a conformation that places the C-6 and C-7 hydroxy groups in an axial orientation (assuming first-order analysis, ${}^{3}J_{6,7}$ 3.4, ${}^{3}J_{7,8}$ 3.4, ${}^{3}J_{7,8}$ and Hz). We have also examined 8,8a-diepicastanospermine **11** (at levels up to 50 mol dm⁻³) for its



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, KOH, MeOH, sealed tube, 120 °C; ii, pyridine, ClSiPri₂OSiPri₂Cl; iii, H₂, Pd/C, EtOAc; iv, Ph₃P, CBr₄, Pri₂NEt, THF, room temp., 3 h; v, 2 mol dm⁻³ HCl, 80 °C then Dowex 50W 8x-100

ability to inhibit viral replication in molt4 T-cells infected with HIV but this molecule is devoid of activity.

We thank SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals for a Research Studentship (to S. H. J. T.), the SERC (GR/F/ 81606) for additional financial support and L. K. Ivanoff, J. Morris and E. Sternberg (SB Pharmaceuticals) for biological evaluation of 11.

Received, 6th December 1993; Com. 3/07196K

Footnotes

[†] The *syn* and *anti* aldol adducts derived from both cyclohexanone/ PhCHO and cyclopentanone/PhCHO undergo reduction using NaBH(OAc)₃ with good (cyclohexyl series) to excellent (cyclopentyl series) levels of stereoselectivity.

[‡] Use of the Markiewicz protecting group for the 1,3-diol function derived from **6** was essential to the success of the chemistry shown in Scheme 2; the corresponding 1,3-acetonide, a protecting group strategy that had been used for the synthesis of both **4** and **5**, was unstable. We were unable to achieve direct cyclisation of **I** and attempts to carry out a cyclisation of the fully deprotected variant (obtained from I by 1,2-acetonide cleavage under acidic conditions) also failed. Similar cyclisation reactions involving free polyhydroxylated precursors have, however, been described.⁸



§ 8,8a-Diepicastanospermine 11 (free base): $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ 14.8 (*c* 0.18, H₂O); δ_H (D₂O, 400 MHz) 4.30 (1H, ddd, *J* 9.1, 6.8, 3.9 Hz, H-1), 4.00 (1H, m, H-6), 3.93 (1H, t, *J* 3.4 Hz, H-7), 3.87 (1H, dd, *J* 10, 3.4 Hz, H-8), 3.05 (1H, td, *J* 8.4, 1.9 Hz, H-3β), 2.97 (1H, dd, *J* 12,6, 2.6 Hz, H-5β), 2.70 (2H, m, H-3α, H-5α), 2.48 (1H, br, t, *J* (*ca.*) 9 Hz, H-8a), 2.30 (1H, m, H-2), and 1.68 (1H, m, H-2); δ_C (D₂O, 127 MHz) 76.1 (C-1), 73.3 (C-7), 71.9 (C-6, C-8), 70.3 (C-8a), 54.6 (C-5), 54.0 (C-3) and 33.6 (C-2).

The following NOE experiments were conducted: irradiation of H-1 (enhancement of H-8 and H-2 β); irradiation of H-8a (enhancement of H-3 α , H-5 α , H-2 α); irradiation of H-5 β (enhancement of H-5 α , H-6, H-8a (three spin effect).

References

- L. D. Hohenschutz, E. A. Bell, P. J. Jewess, D. P. Leworthy, R. J. Pryce, E. Arnold and J. Clardy, *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20, 811; R. J. Nash, L. E. Fellows, J. V. Dring, C. H. Stirton, D. Carter, M. P. Hegarty and E. A. Bell, *Phytochemistry*, 1988, 27, 1403.
 K. Burgess, and I. Henderson, *Tetrahedron*, 1992, 48, 4045. For
- K. Burgess, and I. Henderson, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 4045. For more recent synthetic work in this area see ref. 8 and Y. St-Denis and T.-H. Chan, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3078; J. Mulzer, H. Dehmlow, J. Buschmann and P. Luger, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3194; S. F. Martin, H.-J. Chen and C.-P. Yang, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 2867; N.-S. Kim, J.-R. Choi and J. K. Cha, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 7096; K. Burgess and D. A. Chaplin, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 3555; M. R. Jirousek, A. W.-H. Cheung, R. E. Babine, P. M. Sass, S. R. Schow and M. M. Wick, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 3671; G. Rassu, C. Casiraghi, L. Pinna, P. Spanu, F. Ulgheri, M. Cornia and F. Zanardi, Tetrahedron, 1993, 34, 6627; W. H. Pearson and E. J. Hembre, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 8221.
- 3 M. Giles, M. S. Hadley and T. Gallagher, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1047.
- 4 T. Gallagher, M. Giles, R. S. Subramanian and M. S. Hadley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 166; T. Gallagher, M. E. Giles, M. S. Hadley, M. F. Mahon and K. C. Molloy, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C, 1993, 49, 40.
- 5 D. A. Evans, K. T. Chapman and E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 3560.
- 6 A. N. Saksena and P. Mangiaracina, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 273; A. Balsamo, P. Crotti and F. Macchia, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1, 1982, 3065.
- 7 G. W. Gribble and D. C. Ferguson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1975, 535; G. W. Gribble and C. F. Nutaitis, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1985, 17, 317.
- 8 A. H. Siriwardena, A. Chiaroni, C. Riche and D. S. Grierson, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 5661; Y. Chen and P. Vogel, *Tetrahedron* Lett., 1992, 33, 4917.
- 9 W. T. Markiewicz, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1979, 24.