A Novel *cis*-Dioxoruthenium(v_1) Complex of *N*,*N*',*N*''-Trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane (Me₃tacn) for Organic Oxidation

Wing-Chi Cheng, Wing-Yiu Yu, Kung-Kai Cheung and Chi-Ming Che*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

The X-ray structure of [Ru(Me₃tacn)O₂(CF₃CO₂)]⁺ shows a *cis*-dioxoruthenium(v₁) unit with the Ru=O distances and O–Ru–O angle being 1.717(9) and 1.715(9) Å and 118.3(4)° respectively, this Ru^{v₁} complex catalyses alkene epoxidation and alkane hydroxylation by PhIO and Bu^tOOH.

Recent studies by various groups¹⁻³ have established the novel oxidation chemistry of high-valent Ru=O complexes, which are isolable with the Ru ion in different oxidation states and with different auxiliary ligands. An important feature of these complexes is their capability to oxidize C-H and C=C bonds under mild conditions. Although trans-dioxoruthenium(vI) complexes of sterically bulky porphyrins are known to catalyse aerobic epoxidation of alkenes,⁴ the quest for a nonporphyrin Ru=O catalyst for alkene epoxidation by inexpensive terminal oxidants such as H_2O_2 , Bu^tOOH or O_2 still remains a challenge in this area. Our recent work revealed that the Me₃tacn ligand is well suited for the design of highly oxidizing and sterically bulky Ru=O complexes.⁵ Here we describe a cisdioxoruthenium(vi) complex of Me3tacn, which can function as a four-electron oxidant and an effective catalyst for epoxidation of alkenes and hydroxylation of alkanes.

The reaction of $[Ru(Me_3tacn)Cl_3]^6$ with AgCF₃SO₃ in CF₃CO₂H (0.2 mol dm⁻³) gave $[Ru(Me_3tacn)(OH_2)_2(CF_3-CO_2)](CF_3SO_3)_2$, 1[†] which was isolated as a pale-yellow solid. Addition of an excess of $(NH_4)_2[Ce(NO_3)_6]$ to a solution of 1 in CF₃CO₂H (0.2 mol dm⁻³) at room temp. gave a green solution, from which $[Ru(Me_3tacn)O_2(CF_3CO_2)]ClO_4$ 2[†] was isolated upon addition of NaClO₄. Complex 2 is a diamagnetic light-green crystalline solid, which is stable in the solid state for several hours at room temp. The IR spectrum shows two peaks at 842 and 856 cm⁻¹, assigned to the v_{asym} and v_{sym} stretches of the *cis*-dioxoruthenium(v1) unit and its structure has been established by X-ray analysis.[‡] Fig. 1 shows a perspective view of the complex cation, featuring one of the few structures of *cis*-dioxoruthenium(v1). The two Ru=O bonds have indistinguishable lengths. The O(2)–Ru–O(3)



Fig. 1 A perspective drawing of the cis-[Ru^{VI}(Me₃tacn)O₂ (CF₃CO₂)]⁺ cation. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ru–O(1) 2.046(7), Ru–O(2) 1.717(9), Ru–O(3) 1.715(9), Ru–N(1) 2.097(9), Ru–N(2) 2.23(2), Ru–N(3) 2.197(9); O(1)–Ru–O(2) 93.4(4), O(1)–Ru–O(3) 94.1(4), O(2)–Ru–O(3) 118.3(4), N(1)–Ru–O(2) 90.6(4), N(1)–Ru–N(3) 82.7(4), N(1)–Ru–O(1) 170.3(3).

angle of 118.3(4)° is not unusual for *cis*-dioxoruthenium(v1).^{7,8} The Ru–O(CF₃CO₂) distance of 2.046(7) Å compares well with the related Ru^{V1}–OH distance of 2.036 Å found in Ba[RuO₃(OH)₂].⁹

Unlike most macrocyclic Ru^{VI}=O complexes¹⁰ which function as two-electron oxidants in organic oxidation, 2 can act as a four-electron oxidant in some reactions. In acetonitrile, it oxidizes dimethyl sulfide and diphenylacetylene to give significant amounts of the four-electron oxidized products, namely, dimethyl sulfone and benzil respectively (entries 6 and 7 in Table 1). The ruthenium product after the reaction is $[Ru(Me_3tacn)(MeCN)_2(CF_3CO_2)]^+$, which is isolated as the ClO_4^- salt. The oxidation becomes catalytic by addition of PhIO (entries 8 and 9 in Table 2). Preliminary studies revealed that 2 reacts with diphenylacetylene to give an immediate deep-blue solution having a broad absorption band at 660 nm. This species gradually changes to the yellow [Ru(Me₃tacn)- $(MeCN)_2(CF_3CO_2)$]⁺. Kinetic studies revealed that the decay of the 660 nm band proceeds through a first-order kinetics with a rate constant of (1.45 \pm 0.1) \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹ at 298 K being independent of the concentration of diphenylacetylene. The result is consistent with Scheme 1 where a metallaoxetene 3 is immediately formed.

Complex 2 functions as an effective stoichiometric oxidant for alkene epoxidation. The reactions are completed within minutes. With *cis*- and *trans*-stilbene, very small amounts of isomerized products has been obtained. Competitive experiments showed that *cis*-stilbene reacts at a rate about 3 times faster than its *trans* counterpart (entry 4 in Table 1). With cyclohexene, a significant amount of cyclohexene oxide (entry 5 in Table 1) is obtained. The epoxidation becomes catalytic by the addition of either PhIO or Bu'OOH. The results are summarized in Table 2. High stereospecificity and good yields of epoxides are obtained in the case with Bu'OOH as the terminal oxidant. Notably, in the oxidation of styrene, styrene oxide becomes the major product and this is quite different in

Table 1 Stoichiometric oxidation of various organic substrates (0.1 g) by $[Ru^{VI}(Me_3tacn)O_2(CF_3CO_2)]CIO_4$ (30 mg) in degassed MeCN (5 ml)

Entry	Substrate	Product(s)	Yield (%) ^a
1	Styrene	Styrene oxide	3
	•	Benzaldehyde	76
2	cis-Stilbene	cis-Stilbene oxide	34
		trans-Stilbene oxide	4
		Benzaldehyde	37
3	trans-Stilbene	trans-Stilbene oxide	5
		Benzaldehyde	8
4	cis- + trans-Stilbeneb	cis-Stilbene oxide	17
		trans-Stilbene oxide	7
		Benzaldehyde	33
5	Cyclohexene	Cyclohexene oxide	32
	-	Cyclohexen-2-ol	19
		Cyclohexen-2-one	25
6	Dimethyl sulfide	Dimethyl sulfoxide	91
		Dimethyl sulfone	9
7	Diphenylacetylene	Benzil	60
8	Cyclohexanec	Cyclohexanone	56

^{*a*} Based on the amount of $Ru^{VI}O_2$ used. ^{*b*} *cis*-Stilbene (0.15 g) + *trans*-Stilbene (0.15 g) (1:1) was used as substrate. ^{*c*} Solvent: CH_2Cl_2 .

Table 2 Catalytic oxidation of various organic substrates (0.2 g) by $[Ru^{VI}(Me_3tacn)O_2(CF_3CO_2)]CIO_4$ (10 mg) in the presence of either PhIO (0.12 g) or Bu'OOH (2 ml) as cooxidants in CH₂Cl₂ for 8 h at 25 and 5 °C respectively. The organic products were analysed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and GC

Entry	Substrate	Product(s)	Turnover⁴ (PhIO)	Turnover ^a (TBHP)
1	Styrene	Styrene oxide	5	39
	2	Benzaldehyde	3.5	16
2	trans-Stilbene	trans-Stilbene oxide	2	20
		Benzaldehyde	1	2
3	cis-Stilbene	cis-Stilbene oxide	5	38
		trans-Stilbene oxide	Trace	3
		Benzaldehyde	3	Trace
4	cis- + trans-Stilbene ^b	cis-Stilbene oxide	4	42
		trans-Stilbene oxide	0.4	25
		Benzaldehyde	3.6	Trace
5	Norbornene	exo-2,3-Epoxynorbornane	7	77
6	Cyclooctene	Cyclooctene oxide	8.5	70
7	Cyclohexene	Cyclohexene oxide	7.5	77
		Cyclohexen-2-ol	2	Nil
		Cyclohexen-2-one	4	13
8	Dimethyl sulfide	Dimethyl sulfoxide	12.5	
		Dimethyl sulfone	6.5	
9	Diphenylacetylene	Benzil	19	
10	Cyclohexane ^c	Cyclohexanone	21	55
		Cyclohexanol	Nil	18

^a Based on the ratio of moles of oxidised products formed: moles of metal catalyst used. ^b cis-Stilbene (0.15 g) + trans-stilbene (0.15 g) (1:1) was used as substrate. ^c Reaction conditions: cyclohexane (2 g), PhIO (0.4 g)/Bu^tOOH (2 ml) and Ru^{VI}O₂ (12 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ for 16 h.



Scheme 1

the stoichiometric reaction where the major product is benzaldehyde.

In dichloromethane, cyclohexane can also be oxidized by **2** to cyclohexanone (entry 8 in Table 1) although the reaction is comparatively slow. The reaction usually takes more than 12 h for completion. Addition of PhIO or Bu^tOOH makes the oxidation catalytic. Studies on the catalytic oxidation of C_6H_{12} and C_6D_{12} by PhIO catalysed by **2** revealed a primary kinetic isotope effect of 6.5. Such kinetic isotope effect suggests rate limiting C-H bond cleaveage and that the reactive intermediate is likely to be $Ru^{VI}=O$ species which reacts with the C-H bond of cyclohexane *via* a [Ru=O···H-C] transition state.

Here we have found a macrocyclic Ru^{V1}=O complex which is an effective catalyst for alkene epoxidation and alkane hydroxylation. Because the CF₃CO₂ group in 2 could be labile, it may be possible to have the organic substrate bind to the Ru^{V1} prior to oxidation.

We acknowledge support from The Hong Kong Research Grants Council and The University of Hong Kong.

Received, 12th January 1994; Com. 4/00189C

Footnotes

Crystal data: for 2, $C_{11}H_{21}ClF_3N_3O_8Ru$, $M_r = 516.82$; monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 8.747(3), b = 13.478(1), c = 15.306(3) Å, $\beta = 90.73(2)^\circ$, V = 1804.2(1.0) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.903$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 10.7 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1040. Dimensions: $0.07 \times 0.07 \times 0.45$ mm. The data was collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) by using the ω -2 θ scan method at 24 °C. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and empirical absorption based on the ψ -scan of 4 strong reflections. 2487 Independent reflections were obtained. 1281 Reflections with $F_{0} > 6.0 \sigma$ (F_{0}) were considered observed and used in the structural analysis. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and subsequent refinement by fullmatrix least squares using the Enraf-Nonius SPD-1985 Programs on a Micro VAX II computer. Convergence for 244 variables by least squares refinement of F with $w = 4F_0^2/\sigma^2(F_0^2)$, where $\sigma^2(F_0^2) = [\sigma^2(I) + (0.040F_0^2)^2]$ was reached at R = 0.048 and wR = 0.056 and S =1.356 for the 1281 reflections. $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.03$ for atoms of the complex cation. The final difference Fourier map was featureless, with maximum positive and negative peaks of 1.01 and 0.65 e Å-3 respectively.

Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

References

- 1 W. P. Griffith, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1992, 21, 179.
- 2 C. M. Che and V. W. W. Yam, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **39**, 233. 3 A. Dovletoglou, S. A. Adeyemi, M. H. Lynn, D. J. Hodgson and
- T. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 8989.
- 4 (a) J. T. Groves and R. Quinn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5790; (b) W. H. Leung, C. M. Che, C. H. Yeung and C. K. Poon, Polyhedron, 1993, 12, 2331.
- Polyhedron, 1993, 12, 2331.
 5 W. C. Cheng, W. Y. Yu, K. K. Cheung and C. M. Che, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 57.
- 6 P. Neubold, K. Wieghardt, B. Nuber and J. Weiss, Inorg. Chem., 1989, 28, 459.
- 7 W. P. Griffith, J. M. Jolliffe, S. V. Ley and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1219.
- 8 C. K. Li, C. M. Che, W. F. Tong, W. T. Tang, K. Y. Wong and T. F. Lai, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 2109.
- 9 G. Nowogrocki, F. Abraham, J. Trehoux and D. Thomas, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1976, **32**, 2413.
- 10 For example, C. M. Che, W. T. Tang, W. T. Wong and T. F. Lai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 9048.

[†] Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for both 1 and 2: 1 UV-VIS [0.2 mol dm⁻³ CF₃CO₂H, λ_{max}/nm ($\varepsilon_{max}/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$)]: 286 (1500); 2 UV-VIS [0.2 mol dm⁻³ CF₃CO₂H, λ_{max}/nm ($\varepsilon_{max}/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$)]: 329 (2400), 730 (30).