# Synthesis of an Organolanthanoid Complex with a Novel High-strain Anionic Cyclohexen-4-yne Ligand and Crystal Structure of [( $\left.\eta^{5}-\mathbf{C p}\right)_{2} \mathbf{S m}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)($ thf $\left.)\right]$ 
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An organosamarium complex, $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ (thf)], with a novel high-strain anionic cyclohexen-4-yne ligand, a new benzene isomer, has been synthesised.

The exploration of the structure limitations in cyclic organic compounds has attracted considerable interest. ${ }^{1-4}$ With regard to the unstrained cycloalkenynes, Meier et al. ${ }^{5}$ pointed out that the lowest ring size $n$ is 13 for multiple bonds situated in positions 1 and 3 ; while $n$ is 12 for those multiple bonds in the 1 and 4 positions. This implies that a cycloalkenyne with multiple bonds in positions 1 and 4 is more stable than one with multiple bonds in positions 1 and 3. In 1990, Shakespeare and Johnson ${ }^{6}$ described evidence for the existence of cyclo-hexen-3-yne by trapping, but it is very unstable and reactive.

We now report that reaction of dicyclopentadienylsamarium chloride with sodium allyloxypropynylide ( $\mathrm{NaC} \equiv$ $\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) in thf at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under pure argon for 8 h , followed by removal of solvent and crystallisation by cooling affords the yellow complex 1 (yield 12\%), eqn. (1). $\dagger$
$\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{SmCl}+\mathrm{NaC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { thf }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta_{1}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{thf})\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\right.$ thf $\left.)\right] \mathbf{1}$ consists of discrete monomer units. A perspective drawing of the complex molecule is shown in Fig. $1 . \ddagger$ The tetrahedron around the samarium ion is formed by the two centroids of the cyclopentadienyl rings, the centroid of the plane formed by $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(19)$, $\mathrm{C}(20)$ and one oxygen atom of thf.

The ligand $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ is the anionic form of cyclohexen-4-yne;


1
Fig. 1 Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme for 1. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) ; \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{O}(1) 2.536(8)$. $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{X}(1 \mathrm{a})(\mathrm{Cp}) 2.492$, $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{X}(1 \mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{Cp})(2.509), \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{X}(1 \mathrm{c}) 2.500, \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20) 1.483(26)$, $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20) \quad 1.183(26), \quad \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad 1.495(27), \quad \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ $1.273(26), \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18) 1.382(30), \mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19) 1.379(28) . \mathrm{C}(15)-$ $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19) 142.2(21), \mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18) 116.7(20), \mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-$ $\mathrm{C}(17) 114.8(17), \mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16) 114.1(19), \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ 139.7(20), C(16)-C(15)-C(20) 85.9(15). [X(1a), X(1b) represent the centroids of cyclopentadienyl rings, $X(1 c)$ of the plane formed by $C(16), C(17), C(18), C(19)$ and $C(20)]$.
cyclohexen-4-yne is probably more stable than cyclohexen-3yne. ${ }^{6}$ In the present structure, $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(19)$ and $\mathrm{C}(20)$ are coplanar with a least squares deviation of $0.0233 \AA$, whereas $\mathrm{C}(15)$ is $0.3655 \AA$ away from the plane. The dihedral angle between the planes formed by $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(20)$ and $\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(19)$ and $\mathrm{C}(20)$ is $19^{\circ}$, which is smaller than the corresponding angles in $\eta^{5}$-cyclohexadienyl transition metal complexes. ${ }^{7-10}$ This is presumably because of the existence of high strain in the six-membered ring in 1. The difference in bond lengths between $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ (1.483 $\AA$ ) and $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)(1.495 \AA)$ results from the different hybridisation ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{sp}$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ respectively).

We are studying the mechanism of the formation of the complex. Cleavage of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds must be involved, in accordance with the reported $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ cleavage catalysed by a samarium complex. ${ }^{11-14}$
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## Footnotes

$\dagger$ Spectroscopic data: $1, \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}, 3087,1440,1015$, 788. $240, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 1675, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, 2100$.
$\ddagger$ Crystal data for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{OSm} \mathrm{1}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=429.7$, yellow monoclinic crystal, space group C2/c, $a=12.866(5), b=10.468(5), c=$ $24.945(16) \AA, \beta=97.95(6)^{\circ}, V=3327(3) \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{c}=1.716$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1704$. Data were collected on a Siemens $R 3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}$ diffractometer at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a graphite monochromater and corrected for Lorentz-polarisation effects and empirical absorption. 4681 reflections were collected within the range $3.0^{\circ} \leqslant 2 \theta \leqslant 45.0^{\circ} .2172$ independent reflections were measured and 1972 unique reflections with $F>4 \sigma(F)$ were used in the refinement, Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ), scan speed varied in the range $5.45-29.30^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by direct methods, and full-matrix least-squares refinement led to $R=0.0522, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.0569$. The nonhydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated from a riding model (fixed isotropic $U$ ) and isotropic thermal parameters were refined. All calculations were made on Micro-VAXII computer using the Siemens SHELTXL-PLUS program package. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.
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