Synthesis, Structure and Reactions of a Carbon Dioxide Complex of Iron(0) containing 1,2-Bis(diethylphosphino)ethane Ligands

Sanshiro Komiya,* Masatoshi Akita, Noriko Kasuga, Masafumi Hirano and Atsushi Fukuoka

Department of Applied Chemistry, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Nakacho, Koganei, Tokyo 184, Japan

Reaction of $[Fe(N_2)(depe)_2]$ with carbon dioxide gives trigonal bipyramidal $[Fe(\eta^2-CO_2)(depe)_2]$, where the coordinated CO₂ lies on equatorial plane by an η^2 -fashion and converts into dimethyl ether and $[FeX(CO)(depe)_2]X$ (X = I, OTf) on interaction with methyl halide or triflate.

Chemical transformation of carbon dioxide into various organic compounds by means of transition metals has attracted attention in recent years.¹ It is very important to evaluate the relationship between the coordination mode and reactivity of CO₂ on transition metals. To date, however, only a limited number of transition metal CO₂ complexes has been structurally determined: the η^2 -CO coordination mode has been found in Nb,² Mo,³ and Ni⁴ complexes, σ -C coordination mode in Ru⁵ and Rh,⁶ and others in Co,⁷ and Ir.⁸ On the other hand, chemical reactivities of well defined coordinated CO₂ complexes are also relatively less explored.9,10 Though the iron(0) complex having trimethylphosphine ligands is also capable of binding carbon dioxide¹¹ and the coordination mode is spectroscopically postulated as an intermediate between σ -C and η^2 -CO, no structural study of a CO₂ complex of iron has been reported so far. We previously reported the formation of a dinitrogen complex of iron(0) [Fe(N₂)(depe)₂] having 1,2-bis(diethylphosphino)ethane ligands.¹² We now report the isolation of a new carbon dioxide complex of iron(0) $[Fe(CO_2)(depe)_2].$

A slight excess of carbon dioxide gas was introduced into a THF solution of $[Fe(N_2)(depe)_2]$ under vacuum to give a red homogeneous solution at room temp. in 1 h. After removal of all volatiles, the residual solid was recrystallized from diethyl ether affording red prisms of $[Fe(CO_2)(depe)_2]$ 1 in 70% yield,† eqn. (1).



The molecular structure of 1 was unequivocally determined by X-ray structure analysis. ‡ An ORTEP drawing is shown in Fig. 1. 1 has essentially trigonal bipyramidal structure, where the CO₂ ligand lies on the equatorial plane in an η^2 -fashion. Thus, the mean bond angles of P_{ax} -Fe- P_{eq} , P_{ax} -Fe-C(21), and P_{ax} -Fe-O(1) are 91.5, 87.7, and 88.0°, P(2)-Fe-P(4) angle is 175.4°, and P(1)-Fe-P(3) angle is 110.6°, being consistent with the typical characteristics of a trigonal bipyramidal structure. The CO2 ligand is coordinated essentially in an η^2 -CO fashion, where one of the oxygen atom is placed away from the Fe metal centre. Fe-C(21) bond distance (1.86 Å) is significantly shorter than Fe-O(1) (2.08 A) when the covalent bond diameter of the atoms is taken into account. This is in good agreement with the spectroscopic presumption that the bonding of iron(0) with CO₂ is between a σ -CO₂ and a side-on arrangement.¹³ Fe-P(1) bond distance (2.27 Å) is longer than Fe-P(3) (2.19 Å), reflecting the geometry of CO_2 ligand; the former lies *trans* to the carbon atom and the latter trans to the oxygen.

In the IR spectrum of 1, characteristic $v_{C=O}$ bands are observed at 1630 and 1096 cm⁻¹. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1 shows an ABMX pattern, supporting the trigonal bipyramidal structure.

Reaction of 1 with methyl iodide in toluene at room temp.



Fig. 1 An ORTEP drawing of $[Fe(CO_2)(depe)_2]$, 1 with numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths (Å): Fe–P(1) 2.271(6), Fe–P(2) 2.247(6), Fe–P(3) 2.192(6), Fe–P(4) 2.225(6), Fe–O(1) 2.08(1), Fe–C(21) 1.86(3), O(1)–C(21) 1.28(2), O(2)–C(21) 1.25(3). Bond angles (°): P(1)–Fe–P(2) 84.3(2), P(1)–Fe–P(3) 110.6(2), P(1)–Fe–P(4) 98.9(2), P(2)–Fe–P(3) 97.0(2), P(2)–Fe–P(4) 175.4(3), P(2)–Fe–O(1) 86.1(4), P(2)–Fe–C(21) 90.9(7), P(3)–Fe–P(4) 84.9(2), P(4)–Fe–O(1) 89.8(4), P(4)–Fe–C(21) 84.5(7), O(1)–Fe–C(21) 37.4(6), O(1)–C(21)–O(2) 124(2).

gave $[Fe(CO)(depe)_2I]+I-2^{\dagger}$ and liberated dimethyl ether (97%/Fe) in addition to a trace amount of methanol. Addition of a trace amount of water suppressed the formation of the ether (2%/Fe) but increased the yield of methanol instead (76%/Fe), suggesting the formation of a methoxoiron intermediate in the reaction. Thus, a similar reaction of 1 with methyl triflate also gave a quantitative amount of dimethyl ether (99%/Fe) and an analogous carbonyl iron complex 3. These reactions may be interpreted by the electrophilic attack of the methyl group toward the oxygen atom of the CO₂ ligand, followed by coupling between the methoxy group with excess methyl triflate. These results are in contrast to the fact that $[Mo(CO_2)(C_5H_5)_2]$, in which CO₂ is coordinated by a typical n²-CO mode, shows no reactivity with methyl iodide.9 The facts suggest that the nucleophilicity of the CO₂ ligand is higher on the iron complex than on the Mo analogue. This may, at least in part, arise from the slight contribution of the σ -C coordination mode of the CO₂ ligand in 1, eqn. (2).

$$[Fe(CO_2)(depe)_2] + 2RX \rightarrow R_2O + [FeX(CO)(depe)_2]X$$
(2)
$$R = Me, \quad X = I \quad 2$$

$$X = OTf 3$$

Received, 28th January 1994; Com. 4/00542B

1116

Footnotes

† Physical and spectroscopic data for 1, 2 and 3. 1: Yield 70%; mp 94-96 °C(decomp.); M_w (cryoscopic method) 501 (calc. for $C_{21}H_{48}FeO_2P_4$ 512); Molar conductivity in THF, $\Lambda = 0.009$ S ²/₁, ³/₁, ³/₁, ¹/₁, ¹ (ddd, 29, 42, 49 Hz); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆, SiMe₄): δ 0.5-1.5 (m); IR (KBr, ν/cm^{-1}): 1630, 1096 ($\nu_{C=0}$). 2: Yield 97%; mp 242-244 °C(decomp.); Molar conductivity in THF, $\Lambda = 31.5$ S cm² mol⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆, SiMe₄): δ 1–3 (m); IR (KBr, v/cm⁻¹): 1937 (v_{CO}). **3**: Yield 89%; mp 148–151 °C(decomp.); Molar conductivity in THF, Λ = 15.7 S cm² mol⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆, SiMe₄): δ 1–3 (m); IR (KBr, v/cm⁻¹): 1931 (v_{CO}). Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for 1, 2 and 3.

 $\ddagger Crystal data$ for 1: C₂₁H₄₈FeO₂P₄, $M_w = 512.35$, monoclinic, space group Cc; a = 12.681(1), b = 15.948(2), c = 13.354(3) Å; $\beta = 90.75(1)^\circ V = 2700.5(6)$ Å³ Z = 4; $D_c = 1.260$ g cm⁻³; Mo-K α ($\lambda = 1.260$ g cm⁻³; Mo-K α $(0.71069 \text{ Å}); \mu = 8.09 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 3 < 20 < 50^{\circ}$. Intensity data collected at room temp. on a Rigaku AFC-5R four-circle diffractometer; structure solved and refined using TEXSAN program system, no absorption correction was applied. 2035 Unique reflections of which 1104 [I > $3\sigma(I)$] are observed. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by a full-matrix least-squares procedure. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. A test for an enantiomorph was made by inverting the coordinates of all atoms and refining again; however, this did not improve the R factors. Hydrogens were included in the calculation, but they were not refined. Final $R(R_w) = 0.058$ (0.038). Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

References

1 Reviews: for example, A Behr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1988, 27, 661; A. Behr, Carbon Dioxide Activation by Metal Complexes, VCH, Weinheim, 1988; D. J. Darensbourg and R. A. Kudarosky, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 22, 129; P. Braunstein, D. Matt and D. Nobel, Chem. Rev., 1988, 88, 747; D. Walther, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1987, 79, 135; Enzymatic and Model Carboxylation and Reductions for Carbon Dioxide Utilization, ed. M. Aresta and J. V. Schloss, NATO ASI Ser. C314, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.

- 2 G. S. Bristow, P. B. Hitchcock and M. F. Lappert, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 1145.
- 3 R. Alvarez, E. Carmona, J. M. Marin, M. L. Poveda, E. Gutierrez-Puebla and A. Monge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2286; R. Alvarez, E. Carmona, J. M. Marin, A Monge, E. Gutierrez-Puebla and M. L. Poveda, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1326; S. Gambarotta, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa and C. Guastini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2985.
- 4 M. Aresta and C. F. Nobile, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1977, 708; M. Aresta, C. F. Nobile, V. G. Albano, E. Forni and M. Manassero, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1975, 636.
- 5 H. Tanaka, H. Nagao, S. Peng and K. Tanaka, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 1450.
- 6 J. C. Calabrese, T. Herskovitz and J. B. Kinney, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5914.
- 7 G. Fachinetti, C. Floriani and P. F. Zanazzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 7405.
- 8 T. Herskovitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 2391; T. Herskovitz and L. J. Guggenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 1615
- 9 K. A. Belmore, R. A. Vanderpool, J. Tsai, M. A. Khan and K. M. Nicholas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2004; J. Tsai, M. A. Khan and K. M. Nicholas, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 2967; 1991, 10, 29.
- 10 M. Aresta, E. Wuarana and I. Tommasi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 450; R. L. Harlow, J. B. Kinney and T. Herskovitz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 813; T. Forschner, K. Menard and A. R. Cutler, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 121; M. E. Giuseppetti and A. R. Cutler, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 970; D. R. Senn, J. A. Gladysz, K. Emerson and R. D. Larsen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 2737. 11 H. H. Karasch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1977, 110, 2213.
- 12 S. Komiya, M. Akita, A. Yoza, N. Kasuga, A. Fukuoka and Y. Kai, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 787
- 13 C. Jegat, M. Fouassier and J. Mascetti, Inorg. Chem., 1991, 30, 1521; C. Jegat, M. Fouassier, M. Tranquille and J. Mascetti, Inorg. Chem., 1991, 30, 1529.