Reduction of Intermolecular Association in the Sterically Encumbered (Dichloroiodo)arene ArICl₂ [Ar = 2,6-bis(3,5-dichloro-2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)benzene]

John D. Protasiewicz*

Case Western Reserve University, Department of Chemistry, Cleveland, Ohio 44106-7078, USA

The synthesis of the sterically encumbered (dichloroiodo)arene ArICl₂ [Ar = 2,6-bis(3,5-dichloro-2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)benzene] is achieved *via* a novel hexachlorination reaction and an X-ray structural determination reveals a reduced intermolecular association for the hypervalent iodine species as compared to the only other crystallographically characterized (dichloroiodo)arene PhICl₂ (the structure of which is re-examined in light of the present data).

Organoiodine(III) compounds continue to grow in importance as reagents in both organic and inorganic chemistry.^{1–5} In particular, ArIX₂ species (X₂ = Cl₂, O, NR) are heavily employed for many catalysed and uncatalysed atom and grouptransfer reactions.⁶ Recently, the characteristic T-shaped geometry of aryliodine(III) compounds has even been exploited as an architectural building block to engineer 90° turns in molecular structures.⁷ The very first organoiodine(III) species, (dichloroiodo)benzene (PhICl₂), was synthesized by Willgerodt over 100 years ago,⁸ and since that time over two hundred representatives of this important class of compounds have been prepared.^{9–13} The most general route is based upon the direct reaction of molecular chlorine with the aryliodide, eqn. (1).

ArI
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Cl}_2} \text{ArICl}_2$$
 (1)

Though readily prepared, these materials are very reactive, and hence, the only structural data reported is for the parent compound PhICl₂.¹⁴†

We are currently engaged in the preparation of aryliodine(III) species designed so that reduced aggregation states for the hypervalent iodine(III) centres may be obtained. Our strategy is based upon introduction of aryl substituents at the 2,6-positions (*ortho*) to the iodine unit to provide a protective pocket in which the electrophilic residue may reside, yet still afford accessibility to the iodine(III) centre for further reactivity. Recently, the unstable 1-(dichloroiodo)-2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylbenzene (ArICl₂) has been prepared.¹⁵

Reaction of 2,6-dimesitylphenyliodide 1^{16} with chlorine gas in CHCl₃ at 0 °C rapidly led to a yellow solution, which precipitated a yellow solid (60% yield) over a 40 min period. Recrystallization of the yellow solid from CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C affords moisture sensitive crystals of **2**, a crystal of which was subjected to study by X-ray diffraction.‡ Indeed, a (dichloroiodo)arene had been produced, but not quite the intended target. Fig. 1 displays the ORTEP representation of the novel hexachlorinated species **2** (Scheme 1).

The geometry for the λ^3 -iodane is the expected T-shaped, with Cl–I–C angles of 89.4(3) and 92.1(3)°.¹³ The Cl–I–Cl unit



Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of ArICl₂ [Ar = 2,6-bis(3,5-dichloro-2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)benzene] **2**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): I–C(1) 2.125(11), I–Cl(1) 2.49(4), I–Cl(2) 2.469(4), Cl(1)–I–Cl(2) 178.36(13), Cl(1)–I–C(1) 92.1(3), Cl(2)–I–C(1) 89.4(3). Selected torsion angles (°): Cl(1)–I–C(1)–C(2) -80.3(9), Cl(2)–I–C(1)–C(6) 101.9(9), Cl(2)–I–C(1)– C(2) 99.2(9), Cl(2)–I–C(1)–C(2) -78.6(9).

is linear $[178.3(1)^{\circ}]$ and the I–Cl distances of 2.469(4) and 2.491(4) Å for **2** are slightly longer than the corresponding distance in PhICl₂ [2.45(2) Å]. Values for the carbon–iodine bond distances in both compounds are 2.127(13) and 2.00(5) Å, respectively. A longer carbon–iodine distance for **2** is consistent with increased steric repulsions between the ICl₂ unit and the dichloromesityl groups. The two chlorine atoms in **2** have little effect on the carbon-iodine bond length, for the corresponding mean I–C bond length in 2,6-bis(3,5-dichloro-2,4,6-trimethyl-phenyl)iodobenzene **3** is 2.109 Å (two molecules in asymmetric unit).¹⁷

The CI-I-Cl vector in 2 is tilted with respect to the plane of the central phenyl ring yielding an average torsion angle of 79°, which is significantly more tilted compared with PhICl₂ (torsion angle = 86°). The less precise nature of the structure of PhICl₂ and the secondary Cl---I interactions might account for a torsion angle deviating from the idealized 90° value, but the sterically encumbered environment of 2 would predict less, not more, departure from 90°. Similar deviations have been noted in structural determinations of PhI(O_2CR)₂ [R = Me (75°) and R = CH_2Cl (79.2°)], though the interpretation of these effects is obscured by intramolecular or intermolecular I---O contacts. Such effects are absent in the structure of $ArI(OAc)_2$ (4, Ar = 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylbenzene), where the extreme steric environprecludes significant ment deviation from 90° [87.3(5)-89.8(5)° for three crystallographically independent molecules].¹⁵ A recent computational study of PhICl₂ and PhIF₂ has indicated the optimal torsion angle to be 90° based on electronic considerations.18

Analysis of the packing diagram of compound **2** (Fig. 2) reveals a dimeric association of the ArICl₂ entities with intermolecular Cl···I interactions occurring in the crystalline state. The intermolecular Cl···I distance of 3.816 Å is longer than that for PhICl₂ (3.40 Å), and considerably longer than the I–Cl distances for the centrosymmetric dimer [Ph₂ICl]₂ (mean $d_{ICl} = 3.085$ Å).¹⁹ The packing of **2** also reveals the I₂Cl₄ plane is sandwiched above and below by two dichloromesityl groups, whilst the two remaining dichloromesityl groups are engaged in π -stacking with neighbouring molecules. Interestingly, the dichloromesityl groups that sandwich the I₂Cl₄ unit are aligned in such a manner to place these rings parallel to the Cl–I–Cl vector [angle between central phenyl ring and outer phenyl ring = 78.2(4)°], while the other dichloromesityl groups are





Fig. 2 Packing diagram illustrating intermolecular I...Cl interactions between molecules of 2



essentially orthogonal to the central phenyl ring [interplanar angle = $89.4(4)^{\circ}$].

Association of molecules of PhICl₂ is described as involving pairs of molecules.²⁰ Since a reduced association number would be expected for the more sterically encumbered **2**, we reexamined the structure of PhICl₂ using published data. Interestingly, the true nature of PhICl₂ in the solid state is not dimeric, but polymeric **P**. Alternating PhICl₂ units contain phenyl rings oriented perpendicular to one another, forming zigzag non-interacting polymer chains. Much effort has gone into the structure elucidation of iodosylbenzene, [PhIO]_n, with the result of a polymeric structure being consistent with all available evidence.^{21–24} The structure of PhICl₂ can thus be related to that of [PhIO]_n by loss of terminal chloride and replacement of bridging chloride for oxide.

Compound 2, although somewhat thermally stable in the solid state, undergoes facile decomposition in CH_2Cl_2 solution, even at reduced temperatures, to afford predominantly 3 (Scheme 1). Compound 3 is structurally similar to 1 and 2 with flanking aryl groups nearly orthogonal to the iodine containing phenyl ring.§¹⁷ MS analysis of the microcrystalline product obtained from solutions of 2 revealed measurable quantities of the mono-(~15%) and di-(~5%) chlorinated derivatives of 3 present, mirroring reactivity reported for PhICl₂, albeit at lower temperatures.

The flanking aryl groups of 2 thus serve to reduced the aggregation of the (dichloroiodo)arene and also to increase the lability of the chlorine atoms.

Received, 13th March 1995; Com. 5/01582K

J. CHEM. SOC., CHEM. COMMUN., 1995

Footnotes

[†] The structure of *para*-chloro(dichloroiodo)benzene is mentioned in D. A. Bekoe and R. Hulme, *Nature*, 1956, **177**, 1230, but no bond distances or angles were given.

‡ Crystal data for 2, C₂₄H₂₁Cl₆I, M = 649.0, monoclinic, P2(1)/n, a = 9.080(4), b = 17.042(2), c = 16.698(2), $\beta = 100.35(2)$, V = 2541.8(12)Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.696$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 1.902$ mm⁻¹, absorption correction (ψ) were applied. Data were collected on a Siemens P4 diffractometer (Mo-Kα radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, θ –2 θ mode, θ range = 2.39 to 22.5) at 298 K. Solution and refinement (full-matrix least squares analysis on F²) was performed using SHELXTL PLUS, PC Version 5.1 β . All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized locations and refinement parameters equal to 0.08Å³, resulting in final discrepancy values of R1 = 6.90% and wR2 = 12.7%, and GOF = 1.16 for 3314 unique observed data and 281 parameters. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

§ Torsion angles for compound 3 range from 71.8(3) to 81.1(4)°.

References

- 1 R. M. Moriarty and R. K. Vaid, Synthesis, 1990, 431.
- 2 R. M. Moriarty, R. K. Vaid and G. F. Koser, Synlett, 1990, 365.
- 3 S. Oae and Y. Uchida, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 24, 202.
- 4 A. Varvoglis, Synthesis, 1984, 709.
- 5 R. M. Moriarty and O. Prakash, Acc. Chem. Res., 1986, 19, 244.
- 6 Lead references for ArINR as nitrene source: D. A. Evans, K. A. Woerpel, M. M. Hinman, and M. M. Faul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 726; L. Zhen, K. R. Conser, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 5326. Use of ArIO as oxo source: M. Palucki, P. J. Pospisil, W. Zhang and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 9333; R. H. Holm and J. P. Donahue, Polyhedron, 1993, 12, 571; J. P. Collman, X. Zhang, V. J. Lee, E. S. Uffelman and J. I. Brauman, Science, 1993, 261, 1404; D. Ostovic and T. C. Bruice, Acc. Chem. Res., 1992, 25, 314; W. Zhang, J. L. Loebach, S. R. Wilson and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2801; R. H. Holm, Chem. Rev., 1987, 87, 1401; T. J. McMurry and J. T. Groves, in Cytochrome P-450: Structure, Mechanism and Biochemistry, ed. P. R. Ortez de Montello, Plenum, New York, 1986; pp. 1–28 and references therein; F. P. Guengerich and T. L. Macdonald, Acc. Chem. Res., 1984, 17, 9.
- 7 P. J. Stang and K. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 1667.
- 8 C. Willgerodt, J. Prakt. Chem., 1886, 33, 154.
- 9 R. B. Sandin, Chem. Rev., 1943, 32, 249.
- 10 F. M. Beringer and E. M. Grindler, Iodine Abs. Rev., 1956, 3, 1.
- 11 D. F. Banks, Chem. Rev., 1966, 66, 243.
- 12 G. F. Koser, in *The Chemistry of the Functional Groups, Supplement D*; ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1983; pp. 721.
- 13 A. Varvoglis, The Organic Chemistry of Polycoordinated lodine, VCH, New York, 1992.
- 14 E. M. Archer and T. G. D. van Schalkwyk, Acta Crystallogr., 1953, 6, 88.
- 15 M. Ochiai, K. Oshima, T. Ito, Y. Masaki and M. Shiro, *Tetrahedron. Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 1327.
- 16 J. J. Ellison, K. Ruhlandtsenge and P. P. Power, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1178.
- 17 J. D. Protasiewicz, unpublished results.
- 18 V. E. Mylonas, M. P. Sigalas, G. A. Katsoulos, C. A. Tsipis and A. G. Varvoglis, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1994, 1691.
- 19 N. W. Alcock and R. M. Countryman, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1977, 217.
- 20 A. J. Downs and C. J. Adams, in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry* ed. A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1973; vol. 2, pp. 1567–1568.
- 21 C. J. Carmalt, J. G. Crossley, J. G. Knight, P. Lightfoot, A. Martin, M. P. Muldowney, N. C. Norman and A. G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2367.
- 22 T. Birchall, J. A. Smegal and C. L. Hill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 1910.
- 23 B. C. Schardt and C. L. Hill, Inorg. Chem., 1983, 22, 1563.
- 24 B. J. Ehrlich and M. Kaplan, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 54, 612.