High Enantioselectivity in the Reactions of (*R*)-and (*S*)-1-Phenylethylamine with 6^{A} -Deoxy- 6^{A} -iodo- β -cyclodextrin

Christopher J. Easton,*a Stephen F. Lincoln^b and Darren M. Schliebsa

^a Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

 6^{A} -Deoxy- 6^{A} -iodo- β -cyclodextrin reacts with (*R*)-1-phenylethylamine one hundred and sixty times faster than it reacts with the corresponding (*S*)-enantiomer of the amine.

Cyclodextrins and their derivatives are chiral host molecules that are known to exhibit enantioselectivity in reactions with racemic guests. For example, stereoselectivity has been observed in the hydrolysis of esters¹⁻¹⁰ and in the ring opening of oxazolones,^{11,12} catalysed by cyclodextrins. The greatest enantioselectivity so far reported for reaction of a cyclodextrin involved the acylation of β -cyclodextrin by the *p*-nitrophenyl ester of a ferrocene derivative, where the rates of reaction of the enantiomers of the ester differed by a factor of 62.6 More recently, a 19-fold enantioselectivity has been reported for the reaction of β -cyclodextrin with the *m*-nitrophenyl ester of 1-phenylpropanoic acid,7 and complementary diastereoselectivity in the synthesis and hydrolysis of a cyclodextrin ester of Ibuprofen has been observed, with an overall selectivity of 50:1.10 We now report that the reaction of 1-phenylethylamine 1 with 6^{A} -deoxy- 6^{A} -iodo- β -cyclodextrin 2b is also enantioselective, with the (R)-amine **1a** reacting one hundred and sixty times faster than the corresponding (S)-enantiomer 1b. To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest enantioselectivity reported for reaction of a cyclodextrin.

The iodide **2b** was obtained by treatment of the corresponding tosylate **2a**¹³ with sodium iodide.¹⁴ Treatment of the iodide **2b** with (*R*)-1-phenylethylamine **1a** (2 mol equiv.) in *N*,*N*dimethylformamide at 343 K for 48 h gave the cyclodextrin derivative **3a** [δ_C (75.5 MHz, 298 K) 26.8 (Me), 40.0 (C-6^A); δ_H (500 MHz, 343 K) 1.75 (d, *J* 6 Hz, 3H, Me); HPLC (Waters Carbohydrate Analysis column with 30% aqueous acetonitrile as eluent) t_R 0.7 relative to β -cyclodextrin] in 44% yield. By comparison, under the same conditions reaction of the iodide **2b** with the amine (*S*)-enantiomer **1b** gave the diastereoisomeric cyclodextrin derivative **3b** [δ_C 26.5 (Me), 40.2 (C-6^A); δ_H 1.72 (*d*, *J* 6 Hz, 3H, Me); HPLC t_R 0.5 relative to β -cyclodextrin] in only 2% yield. More substantial yields of the cyclodextrin derivative **3b** were only obtained after longer reaction times and by using greater molar excesses of the amine **1b**.

The relative yields of the cyclodextrin derivatives **3a** and **3b**, from experiments carried out under identical conditions,

indicate the enantioselectivity of the reaction of the iodide 2b with the amine 1. To examine this stereoselectivity in more detail, the iodocyclodextrin 2b was treated with various mixtures of the amine enantiomers 1a and 1b. When the reaction was carried out using the iodide 2b and 1 mol equiv. of each enantiomer of the amine 1, only the cyclodextrin derivative 3a, derived from the (*R*)-enantiomer 1a, was detected by HPLC and ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the product mixture. When the iodide 2b, the (*R*)-amine 1a and the (*S*)-enantiomer 1b were mixed in a 1:1:100 molar ratio, the cyclodextrin derivative 3a and 3b were produced in the ratio 1.6:1. On this basis, the enantioselectivity displayed in the reaction of the iodide 2b with the amine 1 is a factor of one hundred and sixty.

The reaction of each enantiomer of the amine 1 with the iodocyclodextrin 2b most likely occurs in two discrete steps. The first involves formation of a host 2b-guest 1 complex, and the second reaction of the host 2b with the included guest 1. In principle the enantioselectivity could derive from either or both of these processes, but the results of the experiments using mixtures of the amine enantiomers 1a and 1b described above indicate that the stereoselectivity most likely originates in the latter stage. As the amount of the (S)-amine 1b used in the reactions was increased, the rate of formation of the cyclodextrin derivative 3a decreased without a similar increase in the rate of production of the diastereoisomer 3b. This decrease in the rate of formation of the cyclodextrin derivative 3a shows that the (S)-amine 1b competes with the (R)-enantiomer 1a to complex with the cyclodextrin 2b, while the fact that the rate of formation of the cyclodextrin derivative 3b does not increase to the same extent as the rate of production of the diastereoisomer **3a** is reduced indicates that the complex of the (S)-amine **1b** with the cyclodextrin 2b is less reactive.

Although there is no obvious explanation for the enantioselectivity, the HPLC retention times of the cyclodextrin derivatives **3a** and **3b** relative to β -cyclodextrin indicate that the diastereoisomer **3b** is the less polar. This may reflect a lower



degree of intramolecular inclusion of the aryl moiety in that compound, which may in turn suggest that the geometry of the inclusion complex formed between the iodide **2b** and the (S)amine **1b** is unlike the product **3b** and therefore unsuitable for reaction. In any event the enantioselectivity displayed by the iodide **2b** is sufficient for a kinetic resolution of the amine **1**, as demonstrated in a preliminary experiment through the enrichment of a racemic sample to give the (S)-enantiomer **1b** in 90% enantiomeric excess with no detectable reaction of that isomer. The amine enantiomers **1a** and **1b** were distinguished by HPLC analysis of their diastereoisomeric amide derivatives formed through reaction with (S)-2-phenylpropanoic acid.

The work was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council. The award of an Australian Postgraduate Award to D. M. S. is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 7th March 1995; Com. 5/01409C

Footnote

* E-mail: easton@rschp1.anu.edu.au

References

- 1 K. Flohr, R. M. Patton and E. T. Kaiser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1209.
- 2 Y. Kitaura and M. L. Bender, Biorg. Chem., 1975, 4, 237.
- 3 M. Yamamoto, H. Kobayashi, M. Kitiyama, H. Nakaya, S. Tanaka, K. Naruchi and K. Yamada, J. Faculty Engineering, Chiba University, 1981, 33, 89.
- 4 K. Okhubo, Y. Nakano and H. Nagamura, J. Mol. Catal., 1985, 29, 1.
- 5 G. L. Trainor and R. Breslow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 154.
- 6 R. Breslow, G. Trainor and A. Ueno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 2739.
- 7 R. Fornasier, F. Reniero, P. Scrimin and U. Tonellato, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1987, 193.
- 8 R. Fornasier, F. Reniero, P. Scrimin and U. Tonellato, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1987, 1121.
- 9 R. Ueoka, Y. Matsumoto, K. Harada, H. Akahoshi, Y. Ihara and Y. Kato, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 8339.
- 10 J. H. Coates, C. J. Easton, S. J. van Eyk, B. L. May, P. Singh and S. F. Lincoln, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 759; J. H. Coates, C. J. Easton, N. L. Fryer and S. F. Lincoln, Chem. Lett., 1994, 1153.
- 11 V. Daffe and J. Fastrez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 3601.
- 12 V. Daffe and J. Fastrez, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1983, 789.
- 13 S. E. Brown, J. H. Coates, D. R. Coghlan, C. J. Easton, S. J. van Eyk, W. Janowski, A. Lepore, S. F. Lincoln, Y. Luo, B. L. May, D. S. Schiesser, P. Wang and M. L. Williams, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1993, 46, 953.
- 14 L. D. Melton and K. N. Slessor, Carbohydr. Res., 1971, 18, 29.