The Anomalous High Reactivity of Ca⁺ with S₈ in the Gas Phase: $[CaS_3]^+$ and $[CaS_{11}]^+$

Ian G. Dance,* Keith J. Fisher* and Gary D. Willett

School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

The ion Ca⁺(g) reacts more rapidly than any other M⁺(g) with S₈(g), first forming [CaS₃]⁺, which undergoes reversible addition of S₈ to [CaS₁₁]⁺, with slower formation of a second more stable isomer of [CaS₁₁]⁺ believed from density functional calculations to have the structure (η^2 -S₃)Ca(η^4 -1,3,5,7-cyclo-S₈).

Richly diverse metal–sulfur chemistry now encompasses innumerable clusters with metal sulfide cores,¹ a special MoFe₇S₉ cluster in nitrogenase which is the active site for the activation of N₂,² two-dimensionally non-molecular metal sulfides and their intercalates,^{1,3} a plethora of metal compounds with polysulfide ligands,⁴ elementary redox reactions of metals with sulfur mediated by amine solvents,⁵ metal complexes with polythiane ligands,⁶ bionanocrystallites,⁷ and hundreds of gas phase negative ions [M_xS_y]⁻ generated by laser ablation of metal sulfides.^{1,8} We are investigating another facet, namely the fundamental reaction M⁺(g) + S₈(g) in the pristine gas phase,⁹ devoid of supramolecular influences. We have investigated M⁺(g) from all of the Periodic Table,¹⁰ but none reacts with S₈(g) more rapidly than does Ca⁺(g).

Our reaction vessel is the ion trap of a Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (ICR) mass spectrometer. The Ca⁺(g) is generated by laser ablation of CaF₂, and S₈ pressure is maintained at 1×10^{-8} mbar by continuous vaporisation of the solid contained in a capillary adjacent to the CaF₂.



Fig. 1 Positive ions formed in the reaction of Ca⁺ (all other ions ejected prior to reaction) with $S_8(g)$ at 1×10^{-8} mbar, after reaction periods of 1.5 s (*a*) and 2.5 s (*b*)

Mass spectra of the Ca⁺ + S₈ reaction mixture (Fig. 1) show that Ca⁺ is mostly converted to products at 1.5 s and is totally consumed after 2.5 s. This pseudo-first order rate of reaction with S₈ is about twice that of Mg⁺, and exceeds the rates of Sc⁺ and all of the first-row transition metals.⁹ The closed-shell ion K⁺ does not react with S₈.

In early stages of the reaction (*ca.* 1 s), $[CaS_3]^+$ is the dominant product, but it then diminishes rapidly in intensity as the larger ions $[CaS_9]^+$, $[CaS_{10}]^+$ and $[CaS_{11}]^+$ develop, with $[CaS_{11}]^+$ as the final product. The smaller ions $[CaS_2]^+$, $[CaS_3]^+$ and $[CaS_4]^+$ also scavenge adventitious water. The distribution of $[MS_y]^+$ products for Ca is different from the general pattern observed for other metals, in which the major first product is $[MS_4]^+$, growing with *y* even through 6, 8, 10, and with $[MS_{12}]^+$ as the largest and latest major product. The ions $[CaS_3]^+$, $[CaS_9]^+$ and $[CaS_{11}]^+$ have uncommon compositions, and thus the chemistry of Ca⁺ with S₈ is different in product distribution as well as reactivity.

In order to probe the reaction patterns we have isolated individual $[CaS_y]^+$ products in the ICR cell, and examined their further reactivities in the presence of S₈. Isolated $[CaS_3]^+$ reacts with S₈ to form mainly $[CaS_9]^+$, $[CaS_{10}]^+$ and $[CaS_{11}]^+$. However, the steady growth of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ in this experiment, and evident also in Fig. 1, is deceptive, because when $[CaS_{11}]^+$ is isolated (in the presence of the background S₈) it undergoes rapid decomposition. Fig. 2 shows that $[CaS_{11}]^+$ is 90% dissociated within 2 s, to *ca*. 70% $[CaS_3]^+$ and *ca*. 20% $[CaS_9]^+$ in the same period. Evidently there is a fast process in which $[CaS_{11}]^+$ on collision with S₈ reverts to $[CaS_3]^+$ through loss of S₈. However, the concentration of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ decreases not to zero, but to a steady state and then slowly increases. This result, together with the steady increase of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ apparent in Fig. 1



Fig. 2 Temporal evolution of the more abundant ions after isolation of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ in the presence of S_8 at 1×10^{-8} mbar. The $[CaS_{11}]^+$ was isolated 1 s after laser ablation, during which period more than one isomer of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ had been generated.

and all other experiments, indicates that there is at least one additional isomer of $[CaS_{11}]^+$.

Scheme 1 shows the transformations for $[CaS_3]^+$, $[CaS_9]^+$ and $[CaS_{11}]^+$. The $[CaS_{11}]^+$ observed at any time is a mixture of at least two isomers, one of which dissociates very rapidly to $[CaS_3]^+$ and another which is stable. Thus the reactivity experiment reported in Fig. 2 also begins with a mixture of isomers of $[CaS_{11}]^+$, and this has been confirmed by varying the total time between the laser ablation event and the isolation of $[CaS_{11}]^+$: as expected the apparent extent of rapid dissociation of $[CaS_{11}]^+$ to $[CaS_3]^+$ diminishes as the storage time of all $[CaS_{11}]^+$ increases.

Our understanding of the structures and reactivities of these ions is supported by explorations of the structure–energy surfaces using density functional computations, building on experimental and theoretical knowledge of S_y molecules:¹¹ some key results are summarised here.

Approach of Ca⁺ towards S₈ in either of the directions shown in Fig. 3(*a*) allows total reduction in the total energy concomitant with scission of two S–S bonds and the separation of CaS₃ from S₅. These are believed to be collisional pathways for the observed rapid formation of $[CaS_3]^+$, while the less



Scheme 1



Fig. 3 Some significant structures for $CaS_{y^{+}}$ reactions and products. (*a*) Two of the approaches of Ca⁺ to S₈ which on energy minimisation yield separate $[CaS_3]^+$ and S₅. (*b*) The most stable planar structure of $[CaS_3]^+$, Ca–S 2.63, 2.63, 3.14 Å; S–S 2.10, 2.10 Å. (*c*) The approach of $[S_3Ca]^+$ to the rim of S₈ to form a dissociable isomer for $[CaS_{11}]^+$. (*d*) The most stable isomer calculated for $[CaS_{11}]^+$: Ca–S in the CaS₃ segment are 2.71 Å, while Ca–S (cyclo-S₈) are 3.16, 3.20 Å. (*e*) The most stable structure calculated for $[CaS_{9}]^+$.

probable approach of Ca⁺ close to the fourfold axis of S₈ forms $[CaS_8]^+$. The most stable structure for $[CaS_3]^+$ is the planar quadrilateral, Fig. 3(b). The Ca-S distances in $[CaS_y]^+$ are longer by ca. 0.4 Å than the longest M-S distances of transition metals in comparable $[MS_y]^+$. This, together with the reduced directionality of bonding to Ca, and the stability of orthogonal torsional angles in S-S-S-S chains, are principal factors in the distinctive structure-energy surface for $[CaS_y]^+$. Fig. 3(c)shows the approach of $[S_3Ca]^+$ to an S-S edge of S₈ which yields the easily dissociable isomer of $[CaS_{11}]^+$, while Fig. 3(d) illustrates a more stable isomer of [CaS₁₁]+ resulting from facial (1,3,5,7) approach of $[S_3Ca]^+$ to S_8 . Similar structural principles apply to $[CaS_9]^+$, for which the $[Ca(S_3)_3]^+$ structure shown in Fig. 3(e) is calculated to be the most stable. The main general conclusions are that the special character of the reactions of Ca+ with S_8 derives from the ability of Ca⁺ to extract and stabilise S_3 (as opposed to the extraction of S_2 and S_4 by transition metals), and from the retention of favourable cyclic S_v conformations (particularly crown S_8) in the products.

The high reactivity of calcium with sulfur in the gas phase provides a reference point for evaluation of the dominant influences of environment in the different patterns of reactivity and structure in condensed phase metal sulfur chemistry.

This research is funded by the Australian Research Council. The provision of computing resources by Australian Numerical Simulation and Modelling Services is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 3rd February 1995; Com. 5/00654F

References

- 1 I. G. Dance and K. J. Fisher, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1994, 41, 637.
- 2 B. K. Burgess, Chem. Rev., 1990, 90, 1377; I. G. Dance, Aust. J. Chem., 1994, 47, 979 and references cited therein; R. R. Eady and G. J. Leigh, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 2739 and references cited therein.
- J. Rouxel, in Supramolecular Architecture, ACS Symp. Ser., 1992, 499, 88; Acc., Chem. Res., 1992, 25, 328; D. O'Hare, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1992, 121.
- 4 T. B. Rauchfuss and M. Draganjac, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1985, 24, 742; A. Müller and E. Diemann, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 1987, 31, 89; M. A. Ansari and J. A. Ibers, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1990, 100, 223; L. C. Roof and J. W. Kolis, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 1037; M. G. Kanatzidis and S.-P. Huang, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1994, 130, 509.
- 5 E. Ramli, T. B. Rauchfuss and C. A. Stern, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4043; S. Dev, E. Ramli, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. R. Wilson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2514; P. P. Paul, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. R. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, **115**, 3316.
- 6 A. J. Blake and M. Schroder, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 1990, 35, 1; S. C. Rawle and S. R. Cooper, Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 1991, 72, 1.
- 7 C. T. Dameron and D. R. Winge, Inorg. Chem., 1990, 29, 1343; D. R. Winge, C. T. Dameron and R. K. Mehra, in Metallothioneins: synthesis, structure and properties of metallothioneins, phytochelatins and metal complexes, ed. M. J. Stillman, C. F. Shaw and K. T. Suzuki, VCH, New York, 1992, pp. 257–270; C. T. Dameron and I. G. Dance, in Biomimetic Approaches in Materials Science, ed. S. Mann, VCH, 1995.
- 8 I.G. Dance, K. J. Fisher, D. Rice and G. D. Willett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5141; J. H. El Nakat, I. G. Dance, K. J. Fisher and G. D. Willett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 746; Inorg. Chem., 1991, 30, 2957; I. G. Dance and K. J. Fisher, in Soft Chemistry Routes to New Materials, ed. J. Rouxel, M. Tournoux and R. Brec, Trans Tech. Publications, Switzerland, 1994, Materials Science Forum, vols. 152, 153 pp. 137–142.
- 9 I. G. Dance, K. J. Fisher and G. D. Willett, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 201.
- 10 I. G. Dance, K. J. Fisher and G. D. Willett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, submitted.
- 11 R. Steudel, in Sulfur, Its Significance for Chemistry, for the Geo., Bio., and Cosmosphere and Technology, ed. A. Muller and B. Krebs, Studies in Inorganic Chemistry, 1985, vol. 5, pp. 3–37; D. Hohl, R. O. Jones, R. Car and M. Parrinello, J. Chem. Phys., 1988, 89, 6823.