Multi-domain hydrogen-bond forming metal chelates: X-ray crystal structures of dicyclopalladated 2,3-bis[6-(2-amino-4-phenylamino-1,3,5-triazinyl)]pyrazine (H₂L) [Pd₂Br₂L] and 2,6-bis[6-(2-amino-4-phenylamino-1,3,5-triazinylium)]-pyridine dichloride

Chin-Wing Chan, D. Michael P. Mingos,* Andrew J. P. White and David J. Williams

Inorganic and Chemical Crystallographic Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London, UK SW7 2AY

Two new multi-domain α -diimine ligands capable of tri-hydrogen-bonding interactions are described; the non-planar dicyclopalladated complex [Pd₂Br₂L] 3a (X = Br) has imposed chirality and contains a short non-bonded 1,6-N...N' contact of 2.75 Å; the cationic (2a) form of the pseudo-terpyridine molecule 2 has a virtually planar central core and is shown to be a potential host material.

Molecular recognition and self-assembly represent two important strands in modern chemistry.1 Strategically placed hydrogen-bonding sites which have the potential to enter into complementary interactions are a key feature of one of the design strategies which has emerged in these areas. Specifically, tri-hydrogen-bonding interactions have been utilised for molecular recognition,² assembly of molecular monolayers³ and the formation of mesophases⁴ and supramolecular aggregates.⁵ Whitesides and coworkers⁶ have exploited the tri-hydrogenbonding capability of melamine (2,4,6-triamino-1,3,5-triazine, 1) and its derivatives for some elegant crystal engineering. In this communication we describe the linking of two 2,4-diamino-1,3,5-triazinyl moieties by pyridine (2) and pyrazine (3) spacers which provide alternative domains which may be used either to coordinate metal ions or, in their cationic forms, bind anions, whilst retaining some of the tri-hydrogen-bonding capabilities of melamine.

2,6-Bis[6-(2-amino-4-phenylamino-1,3,5-triazinyl)]pyridine 2 was synthesised from pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate dimethyl ester and 1-phenylbiguanide and isolated as the bis(triazinylium)pyridine dichloride salt $2a \cdot Cl_2$ in high yield. 2,3-Bis-[6-(2-amino-4-phenylamino-1,3,5-triazinyl)]pyrazine (H₂L, 3) was isolated in 15% yield by refluxing a mixture of pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxamide, 1-phenylbiguanide and KOBu^t (1:2:2 molar ratio). Both 2 and 3 have been characterised by spectroscopic and microanalytical techniques.[†]

Compounds 2 and 3 have quite different molecular recognition possibilities. 2 has a central tridentate (N,N',N'') ligand moiety with two adjacent NH₂ groups, whereas 3 has three potential bidentate (N,N') coordination sites, only one of which has adjacent NH₂ groups. The recognition capabilities of 2 and 3, clearly, may also be modified by protonation.

The X-ray structural analysis of the diprotonated form of 2 ($2a \cdot Cl_2$) reveals that protonation has occurred on the triazine nitrogen atoms within the central cavity. The structure‡ (Fig. 1), shows the three *N*-heterocyclic rings in this pyridyl derivative to be essentially coplanar with dihedral angles between these rings in the range 0.3–1.5°. This conformation is stabilised *via* four N–H…Cl hydrogen bonds [3.10–3.30 Å] to one of the chloride anions, Cl(1). Symmetry related molecules are linked *via* N–H…Cl hydrogen bonds between each of the remaining amino N–H groups, N(7) and N(28), and Cl(2) (3.27 and 3.28 Å) to form zigzag chains that extend in the crystallographic *b* direction, as shown in Fig. 2.

The four inwardly directed N–H bonds together with the nitrogen atom N(16) of the central pyridyl ring (Fig. 1) form a cleft-like recognition site.⁷ For example, the pseudo-guanidinium moieties N(14)–C(12)–N(11)N(13) and N(27)–C(25)–N(26)N(24) may have the appropriate structural characteristics





Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 2,6-bis[6-(2-amino-4-phenylamino-1,3,5-triazinylium)]pyridine dichloride **2a**. N–H···Cl hydrogen bonding geometries: N(11)···Cl(1) 3.16, H···Cl(1) 2.33 Å, N–H···Cl 153°; N(14)···Cl(1) 3.30, H···Cl(1) 2.48Å, N–H···Cl 152°; N(26)···Cl(1) 3.10, H···Cl(1) 2.23 Å, N– H···Cl 163°; N(27)···Cl(1) 3.26, H···Cl(1) 2.51 Å, N–H···Cl 141°; N(7)···Cl(2) 3.27, H···Cl(2) 2.40 Å, N–H···Cl 162°; N(28)···Cl(2) 3.28, H···Cl(2) 2.38 Å, N–H···Cl 172°.



Fig. 2 Part of one of the N-H…Cl hydrogen-bonded zigzag chains present in the structure of 2a·Cl₂

for the recognition of carbonate, phosphate or sulfonate anions.⁸

When compound 3 (H_2L) is reacted with $Na_2[PdCl_4]$ (1:2) molar ratio) in acetone-water (7: 10, v/v), the dicyclometallated compound $[Pd_2Cl_2L]$ 3a (X = Cl) is obtained.[†] The X-ray structural analysis of the corresponding bromo-compound,‡ shown in Fig. 3 [prepared by the reaction of 3a (X = Cl) with lithium bromide in dimethyl sulfoxide] shows the coordination geometries of the two independent square-planar palladium ions to be equivalent within statistical significance, and also very similar to that observed in the closely related complex [PdClL'] [HL' = 2-amino-4-phenylamino-6-(2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine].9 Although the two palladium inner coordination spheres are essentially planar (maximum deviation 0.05 Å), in each case the three coordinated six-membered rings are non-coplanar and are arranged helically with respect to their parent metal centre. This helicity, coupled with a pronounced twisting of the central pyrazine ring about its $N \cdots N'$ axis [the $C(15) \cdots C(17)$ and C(20)...C(18) vectors are inclined by 7°], results in both an overall propeller-like conformation for the complex as a whole



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of $[Pd_2Br_2L]$ 3a, (X = Br) with atomic numberings. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd(1)-C(1) 2.004(12), Pd(2)-C(29) 1.992(11), Pd(1)-N(9) 2.000(9), Pd(2)-N(26) 2.009(9), Pd(1)-N(16) 2.137(9), Pd(2)-N(19) 2.141(9), Pd(1)-Br(1) 2.416(2), Pd(2)-Br(2) 2.413(2), C(1)-Pd(1)-N(19) 92.6(4), C(29)-Pd(2)-N(26) 92.6(4), N(9)-Pd(1)-N(16) 79.4(3), N(26)-Pd(2)-N(19) 79.3(3), N(16)-Pd(1)-Br(1) 92.4(2), N(19)-Pd(2)-Br(2) 92.0(2), Br(1)-Pd(1)-C(1) 95.5(3), Br(2)-Pd(2)-C(29) 96.0(4), C(6)-N(7)-C(8) 130.8(10), C(25)-N(28)-C(34) 130.4(10).

and an imposed chirality.§ Associated with this geometry are torsional twists about the C(10)–C(15), C(15)–C(20) and C(20)–C(21) bonds of 18, 20 and 21°, a noticeably short 1,6-*N*···*N'* non-bonded contact of 2.75 Å between N(11) and N(22) and a resultant 24° dihedral angle between the two inner coordination spheres. This twist-conformation is reminiscent of strained 1,1'-binaphthyl derivatives such as (*P*)-7-phenyl-dinaphtho[2,1-*b*;1',2'-*d*]phosphole (torsion angle between two naphthyl planes 29°)¹⁰ and [Ru(bpy)₂(1,1'-bisisoquinol-ine)]^{2+,11} and the resultant chirality suggests the possibility for the selective enantiomeric binding of molecules with complementary hydrogen-bonding groups.

The only notable intermolecular interactions are (i) an N-H···Br hydrogen-bond [N(14)···Br(1') 3.49 Å] which produces a polar chain that extends along the crystallographic *a* direction and (*ii*) the Pd atoms of adjacent chains of opposite chirality are positioned almost directly above their symmetry related counterparts at distances of 3.40 Å [Pd(1)] and 3.46 Å [Pd(2)], as shown in Fig. 4. Accompanying this off-set stacked motif, there are favourable π - π interactions between a pyrazine ring in one chain and a phenyl ring in another, and vice versa. These combined interactions result in corrugated sheets of molecules that extend in the crystallographic *a* and *b* directions.

We have thus demonstrated that 2,4-diamino-1,3,5-triazinyl moieties may be linked through heterocyclic spacer molecules to yield molecules with potentially interesting molecular recognition properties. Besides retaining some of the useful trihydrogen-bonding capabilities of the parent melamine molecule, these novel species are also able to incorporate anions and metal ions in clefts created by the incorporation of the heterocyclic spacer molecules.

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from BBSRC and BP who endowed D. M. P. M.s chair. C. W. C. thanks the Croucher Foundation for the award of a Fellow-ship.

Footnotes

[†] Numberings for ¹H NMR are in accord with those for crystallographic results. Characterisation of **2** (found: C, 60.80; H, 4.40; N, 32.55; $C_{23}H_{19}N_{11}$ ·H₂O requires C, 59.10; H, 4.55; N, 32.95%), mp 336 °C (decomp.). ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO]: δ 9.7 (2 H, br s, NH), 8.6–6.5 (4 H, v br, NH₂). 8.50 (1 H, d, H¹⁹), 8.20 (2 H, t, H¹⁸ and H²⁰), 7.83 (4 H, d, H¹, H⁵, H²⁹ and H³³), 7.35 (4 H, t, H², H⁴, H³⁰ and H³²), 7.05 (2 H, t, H³ and H³¹).

 $2a\cdot Cl_2$ (found: C, 50.20; H, 4.75; N, 26.55; $C_{22}H_{19}N_{11}\cdot 2H_2O\cdot 2HCl$ requires C, 49.50; H, 4.50; N, 27.60%), mp 330 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO]: δ 13.5 (1 H, br s, NH), 11.5–11.0 (1 H, br s, NH), 9.7–9.3 (1 H, br s, NH), 8.3–8.1 (1 H, br s, NH), 7.7 (1 H, br s, NH), 8.7–8.5 (3 H, br, H¹⁸, H¹⁹ and



Fig. 4 A portion of a corrugated sheet in the packing of 3a (X = Br), showing both the N-H. Br hydrogen bonding and the close Pd. Pd approaches

H²⁰), 7.86 (4 H, d, H¹, H⁵, H²⁹ and H³³), 7.46 (4 H, t, H², H⁴, H³⁰ and H³²), 7.25 (2 H, t, H³ and H³¹). MS (FAB): m/z 450 (M + H, 100%)

3 (found: C, 56.52; H, 4.29; N, 35.14. $C_{22}H_{18}N_{12}$ ·H₂O requires C, 56.40; H, 4.30; N, 35.88%). ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO]: δ 9.5 (2 H, br s, NH), 8.85 (2 H, s, H17 and H18), 7.6 (4 H, br s, H1, H5, H29 and H33), 7.3 and 7.12 (4 H, br s, NH₂), 7.25 (4 H, t, H², H⁴, H³⁰ and H³²), 6.80 (2 H, t, H³ and H³¹).

¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO]: δ 10.80 (2 H, s, NH), 9.35 (2 H, s, H¹⁷ and H¹⁸), 8.01 (2 H, s, NH₂), 8.01 (2 H, d, H² and H³⁰), 7.81 (2 H, s, NH₂), 7.10 (2 H, d, H⁵ and H³³), 7.01 (2 H, t, H⁴ and H³²), 6.70 (2 H, t, H³ and H³¹).

 \ddagger Suitable crystals of $2a \cdot Cl_2$ were obtained as a methanol solvate by the slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a methanolic solution of the compound. Crystal data: $C_{23}H_{21}Cl_2N_{11}$ ·2CH₃OH, M = 586.5, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c, a = 17.894(5), b = 8.293(2), c = 19.596(8) \text{ Å}, \beta = 95.15(3)^\circ, U = 19.596(8) \text{ Å}, \beta = 10.596(8) \text{$ 2896(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.34$ g cm⁻³, μ (Cu-K α) = 23.8 cm⁻¹, F(000) =1224. A yellow needle of dimensions $0.24 \times 0.14 \times 0.07$ mm was used. Data were measured on a Siemens P4/RA diffractometer with Cu-Ka radiation (graphite monochromator) using ω -scans. The structure was solved by direct methods and all the non-hydrogen atoms of the cation, anions and the major occupancy solvent molecules were refined anisotropically using full-matrix least squares to give R1 = 0.075, wR2 = 0.167 for 1992 independent observed reflections $[|F_o| > 4\sigma(|F_o|), 2\theta \le 120^\circ]$ and 386 parameters.

Suitable crystals of 3a, (X = Br) were obtained as a mixed dimethylsulfoxide-methanol solvate by the slow diffusion of dichloromethane into a dimethylsulfoxide solution of the compound. Crystal data: $C_{22}H_{16}Br_2N_{12}Pd_2 \cdot 3(CH_3)_2SO \cdot 0.5CH_3OH, M = 1071.5$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 11.068(1), b = 15.090(2), c = 22.936(2) Å, $\beta =$ $\overset{\circ}{99.70}(1)^{\circ}, U = 3776(1) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4, D_c = 1.89 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 32.9$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 2116. A thin red plate of dimensions $0.40 \times 0.27 \times 0.03$ mm was used. Data were measured on a Siemens P4/PC diffractometer with Mo-Ka radiation (graphite monochromator) using w-scans. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and all the non-hydrogen atoms of the complex and the major occupancy Me₂SO solvent molecules were refined anisotropically using full-matrix least squares to give R1 = 0.069wR2 = 0.150 for 3729 independent observed reflections [$|F_o| > 4\sigma$ $(|F_{o}|), 2\theta \leq 50^{\circ}]$ and 479 parameters.

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

§ As the complex crystallises in a centrosymmetric space group it contains equal numbers of right- and left-handed propellers.

References

- 1 J. M. Lehn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1988, 27, 89; 1990, 29, 1304
- 2 S. K. Chang and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1318.
- 3 T. M. Bohanon, S. Denzinger, R. Fink, W. Paulus, H. Ringsdorf and M. Weck, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 58.
- 4 M. J. Brienne, J. Gabard, J. M. Lehn and I. Stibor, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 1868.
- 5 E. E. Simanek, M. Mammen, D. M. Gordon, D. Chin, J. P. Mathias, C. T. Seto and G. M. Whitesides, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 607; G. M. Whitesides, E. E. Simanek, J. P. Mathias, C. T. Seto, D. Chin, M. Mammen and D. M. Gordon, Acc. Chem. Res., 1995, 28, 37.
- 6 J. A. Zerkowski, J. C. MacDonald and G. M. Whitesides, Chem. Mater., 1994, 6, 1250; J. C. MacDonald and G. M. Whitesides, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2383.
- 7 D. M. Perreault, X. Chen and E. V. Anslyn, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 353.
- 8 See J. S. Albert, M. S. Goodman and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 1143 and references therein; V. Jubian, A. Veronese, R. P. Dixon and A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 1237
- 9 C. W. Chan, D. M. P. Mingos, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 2469. 10 K. Tani, T. Yamagata and H. Tashiro, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1994,
- 50, 769.
- 11 M. T. Ashby, G. N. Govindan and A. K. Grafton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 4801.

Received, 3rd August 1995; Com. 5/05176B