Total synthesis of urdamycinone B *via* C-glycosidation of an unprotected sugar and Diels–Alder reaction of C-glycosyl juglone

Goh Matsuo, Yuko Miki, Masaya Nakata, Shuichi Matsumura and Kazunobu Toshima*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

The total synthesis of C-glycosyl angucycline, urdamycinone B 1, was achieved via C-glycosidation of naphthol 6 and the unprotected D-olivose 7, and Diels-Alder reaction of the unprotected C-glycosyl juglone 9 and the diene 17 as the key steps.

The angucyclines with a unique benz[a]anthraquinone as a common structure are a rapidly growing new class of antibiotics and show a variety of biological activities including antitumour activity and enzyme inhibition.¹ Among them, urdamycinone B 1, which is obtained from antibiotic urdamycin B by careful cleavage of the two *O*-glycoside moieties, also exhibits antitumour activity.² The elegant total syntheses of (–)-urdamycinone B, the enantiomer of the natural urdamycinone B, and urdamycinone B 1 have been reported by Yamaguchi³ and Sulikowski,⁴ respectively. Here we report the total synthesis of *C*-glycosyl angucycline, urdamycinone B 1, by a novel strategy, Fig. 1.

Our synthetic approach began with the conversion of juglone 2 into the glycosyl acceptor 6, Scheme 1.† Naphthol 6 was readily obtained using standard procedures in four steps and in 61% overall yield. The aryl *C*-glycosidation⁵ of 6 and the unprotected D-olivose 7 was accomplished by a method recently developed in our laboratories.⁶ Thus the reaction of 6 (2.0 equiv.) and 7 (1.0 equiv.) in the presence of Me₃SiOSO₂CF₃ (0.5 equiv.) in MeCN at 25 °C for 1 h gave the desired aryl β -*C*-glycoside 8 in 27% yield as the only isolated product. This novel approach led to the total synthesis of urdamycinone B 1 without using any protecting group in the sugar moiety. The β -*C*-naphthylglycoside 8 was then debenzylated by hydrogenolysis using 10% Pd–C in MeOH at 25 °C for 1 h to afford directly the unprotected *C*-glycosyl juglone 9 in 78% yield.

Fig. 1 Urdamycinone B 1 and its proposed retrosynthetic analysis

With the C-glycosyl juglone 9 as a dienophile for the Diels– Alder reaction in hand, our attention turned to the preparation of an appropriate diene (Scheme 2). For this purpose, cyclohexanone 12,⁷ which was obtained from 3-methylcyclohex-2-enone 10 and the silylcuprate 11, was selected as the starting material. The cyclohexanone 12 had a phenyldimethylsilyl group as a masked hydroxy group.⁸ Regioselective enolate formation of 12 with LDA and trapping of the intermediate enolate with (CF₃SO₂)₂NPh afforded only the desired regioisomer of the vinyl triflate 13 in 94% yield. The high







Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, ref. 7; ii, LDA (1.0 equiv.), $(CF_3SO_2)_2$ NPh (1.1. equiv.), THF, -78 to 25 °C, 1 h, 94%; iii, CH₂=CHSnBu₃ (1.1 equiv.), (Ph₃P)₄Pd (0.02 equiv.), LiCl (7.0 equiv.), DMF, 70 °C, 1 h, 93%; iv, AD-mix-β, Bu'OH-H₂O, 0 °C, 12 h then NalO₄ (2.0 equiv.), THF-H₂O, 25 °C, 1.5 h, 81%; v, THF, 25 °C, 0.5 h, 77%

Chem. Commun., 1996 225

regioselectivity resulted from a combination of the steric shielding from the methyl and silyl groups and the β -effect of the silicon.⁹ The cross-coupling reaction¹⁰ of the vinyl triflate **13** and vinyltributyltin using a catalytic amount of (Ph₃P)₄Pd and LiCl in DMF at 70 °C for 1 h yielded the diene **14** in 93% yield. The selective dihydroxylation of the *exo* double bond in **14** by the Sharpless AD reaction¹¹ with AD-mix- β followed by oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol using NaIO₄ gave the α , β -unsaturated aldehyde **15** in 81% overall yield. The subsequent Wittig reaction of **15** with phenylthiomethylene triphenylphosphorane **16** (2.0 equiv.)¹² in THF proceeded stereospecifically to give only the desired (*EE*)-diene **17** in 77% yield.

We next focused on the cycloaddition of 17 with 9 and total synthesis of 1 (Scheme 3). The regiospecific Diels-Alder cycloaddition between the unprotected *C*-glycosyl juglone 9 (1.0 equiv.) and the diene 17 (1.0 equiv.) using $B(OAc)_3^{13}$ followed by treatment of the resulting product by DBU afforded the cycloadduct 18 in 58% overall yield. Conversion of the silyl group into the tertiary hydroxy group was achieved by the Fleming's method^{8,14} in two steps to give 20 via the fluoride 19 in 42% overall yield. Finally, the oxygenation of 20 was successfully carried out by mild photooxygenation,¹⁵ in which



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, $B(OAC)_3$ (3.0 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, 2 h, then DBU (2.2 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, 0.5 h, 58%; ii, HBF_4 ·Et₂O (20 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, 2 h, 78%; iii, KF (3.0 equiv.), KHCO₃ (3.0 equiv.), 31% H₂O₂ (9.0 equiv.), THF–MeOH, 25 °C, 14 h, 53%; iv, O₂, sunlight, MeOH, 25 °C, 24 h, 71%

a MeOH solution of **20** was exposed to daylight, to furnish urdamycinone B **1** (36%) and the C-3 epimer **21** (35%) after separation by reversed-phase preparative TLC.³ The structure of the faster moving isomer was confirmed by comparison with natural urdamycinone B (¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR and [α]_D).‡

We sincerely thank Professor Masahiko Yamaguchi (Tohoku University) for his helpful advice on differentiation and identification of urdamycinone B and its C-3 epimer. We are also deeply grateful to Professor Gary A. Sulikowski (Texas A&M University) for kindly providing spectral data of urdamycinone B.

Footnotes

[†] The direct aryl *C*-glycosidations of juglone **2** and olivose **7** under several conditions were unsuccessful.

‡ Although urdamycinone B 1 and the C-3 epimer 21 showed very similar ¹H and ¹³C NMR and IR spectra, the $[\alpha]_D$ differed significantly. Also see ref. 3.

References

- 1 J. Rohr and R. Thiericke, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1992, 103.
- H. Drautz, H. Zähner, J. Rohr and A. Zeeck, J. Antibiot., 1986, 39, 1657;
 J. Rohr and A. Zeeck, J. Antibiot., 1987, 40; T. Henkel, T. Ciesiolka,
 J. Rohr and A. Zeeck, J. Antibiot., 1989, 42, 299.
- 3 M. Yamaguchi, T. Okuma, A. Horiguchi, C. Ikeura and T. Minami, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1647.
- 4 V. A. Boyd and G. A. Sulikowski, J. Am Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 8472.
- 5 F. L. Andrews and D. S. Larsen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 8693.
- 6 K. Toshima, G. Matsuo, T. Ishizuka, M. Nakata and M. Kinoshita, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 1641; K. Toshima, G. Matsuo and M. Nakata, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 997.
- 7 D. J. Ager, I. Fleming and S. K. Patel, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1981, 2520.
- 8 I. Fleming, R. Henning and H. Plaut, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 29.
- 9 K. Krohn and K. Khanbabaee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 99.
- 10 W. J. Scott, G. T. Crisp and J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 4630.
- 11 H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze and K. B. Sharpless, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2483.
- 12 A. Guingant and M. M. Barreto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 3107.
- 13 T. R. Kelly and M. Montury, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 19, 4309.
- 14 T. H. Chan and K. T. Nwe, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 6107.
- 15 K. Krohn, F. Ballwanz and W. Baltus, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1993, 911.

Received, 9th October 1995; Com 5/06630A