Auxiliary-controlled diastereoselection by N-(1-phenylethyl) in Grignard additions to 2-O-benzylglyceraldehyde imines[†]

Ulrich Veith, Stefan Leurs and Volker Jäger*

Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

Grignard reagents add to 2-O-benzylglyceraldehyde N-(1-phenylethyl)imines in high yield at normal or high pressure (12 kbar); *threo vs. erythro* selectivity is governed by the (R)- or (S)-1-phenylethyl substituent.

Stereoselective access to disubstituted 2-amino alcohols has become of prime importance with regard to efficient syntheses of hydroxy amino acids such as statine and congeners¹ or 3-phenylisoserine.² We have proposed the use of glyceraldehyde imines to that purpose, adding the requisite substituent to the C=N bond,³⁻⁶ complementary to the common approach from amino acid derivatives.¹⁻³ This was based on the finding that N,O-dibenzylglyceraldimines add organometallic reagents RM at room temperature.³ The stereoselectivity of this process was shown to depend on the structure of R, the solvent, and the metal cation employed. With Grignard compounds in diethyl ether, moderate to high threo selectivity was found (cf. Table 1), while CeCl₃ addition reversed this.³ We now report that chiral N-auxiliaries (R)- and (S)-1-phenylethyl dramatically affect the diastereoselection and rate of this process. This was unexpected in view of previous results⁷ of allyl additions to α - and β alkoxyimines with a chiral N-auxiliary,7a and of related work.8 The imines 2-4[±] were prepared from 2-O-benzylglyceraldehyde 19 and the respective amine in the presence of alumina.¹⁰ Additions were carried out as described for the Nbenzyl derivatives.³ A selection of these results is given in Table

1.‡,§ With the benzhydryl imine **2**, increased *threo* formation was found, as compared to results with the *N*-benzyl substrate³ (Table 1, entries 1,2). This led us to conclude that the more bulky *N*-substituent was responsible for this effect. However, from the (*R*)-(1-phenylethyl)imine **3** even higher proportions of threo diastereoisomers were observed (entries 3-9): methyl and phenyl groups were now accepted with diastereoisomeric ratios (dr) > 9:1 (runs 3,8), and butyl with 99:1 (run 4); in the crude products from iso-butyl, tert-butyl and trimethylsilylmethyl additions the erythro isomer was not detected (entries 5-7). Analogous reactions of methyl or butyl Grignard reagents with the (S)-imine 4 gave the erythro diastereoisomers predominantly (dr ca. 1:9, entries 10, 11), with complete conversion after less than 3 days. On the other hand, Grignard reagents with a branched alkyl group (such as iso-butyl, tert-butyl and trimethylsilylmethyl) gave rise to ca. 7, 50 and 5% conversion, respectively (entries 12-14). In these cases, however, high pressure (12 kbar, 50-54 °C) proved beneficial: this led to complete consumption of the imine substrate and to formation of the expected amino diols, along with minor amounts of a cyclization product (an aziridine, see runs 12-14).

As seen with *N*-benzylimines,³ addition to **3** and **4** also occurred smoothly with a number of alkyl and aryl lithium reagents, with somewhat improved, albeit still moderate selectivity (see runs 16, 17 in Table 1).¹¹

In order to rationalize the above phenomenon, *i.e.* auxiliarycontrol overriding 'substrate' induction, *N*-(1-phenylethyl)imines of 2-*O*-benzyllactaldehyde were likewise treated with several Grignard compounds.¹² In these cases, substituting *N*-benzyl by (*R*)- or (*S*)-1-phenylethyl led to slight changes with respect to the consistent *threo* preference only,^{11,12} as exemplified for butylmagnesium bromide additions: the *N*-benzyl derivative gave 69% of amino diol adducts with a *threo* to *erythro* ratio of 93:7;³ with the (*R*)- and (*S*)-(1-phenylethyl)imines products with a dr of > 95:5 (53%) and > 90:10 (16%) were observed. With butyllithium, similar results were recorded (dr \ge 9:1 in all three cases).¹²

Table 1 Addition of organometallic reagents to N-benzhydryl and N-(1-phenylethyl) substituted glyceraldehyde imines 2-4

Entry	Imine	RM	Product	Yield (%)	5(7,9) : 6(8,10) ^a threo/erythro	N-Bn ³ threo/erythro	
1	2	BuMgBr	5b/6b	94	78:22	73:27	
2	2	BnMgBr	5g/6g	73	83:17	40:60	
3	3	MeMgBr	7a/8a	67	92:8	63:37	
4	3	BuMgBr	7b/8b	86	99:1	73:27	
5	3	Bu ⁱ MgBr	7c/8c	98	>99:1	88:12	
6	3	ButMgCl	7d/8d	(47) ^b	> 99 : 1	56:44	
7	3	Me ₃ SiCH ₂ MgCl	7e/8e	80	>99:1	84:16	
8	3	PhMgBr	7f/8f	90	91:9	76:24	
9	3	BnMgBr	7g/8g	81	85:15	40:60	
10	4	MeMgBr	9a/10a	95	6:94	see above	
11	4	BuMgBr	9b/10b	82	14:86	see above	
12	4	Bu ⁱ MgBr	9c/10c	$<7^{b}; 81^{c}$	-b; 6:94	see above	
13	4	ButMgCl	9c/10c	(49) ^b ; 67 ^c	$8:92^b; < 5:95^{c,d}$	see above	
14	4	Me ₃ SiCH ₂ MgCl	9e/10e	$<5^{b}; 41^{c}$	$-b; 24:76^d$	see above	
15	4	BnMgBr	9g/10g	96	67:33	see above	
16	3	MeLi	7a/8a	79	77:23	46:54	
17	4	MeLi	9a/10a	83	22:78	see above	

^{*a*} Diastereoisomeric ratios (dr) are taken from ¹H and ¹³C NMR analyses of crude reaction products and in some cases (entries 1–8, 17) also from HPLC analysis. ^{*b*} Percentage conversion for entries 6, 12, 13 and 14, after 5, 3, 4 and 3 d, respectively; crude products still containing imine. ^{*c*} High pressure reaction in diethyl ether, 12 kbar, 50–54 °C, 16–19 h; as a byproduct, 15% (with **10d**) and 26% (with **9e/10e**) of the respective aziridine was found.¹¹, ¶ ^{*d*} Not corresponding to dr of the primary addition, since altered by partial, subsequent aziridine formation.¹¹, ¶



Fig. 1 Intermediate chelate structures A and B



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions:‡,§ i, Al₂O₃ (neutral, activity 1, 63–200 μ m, E. Merck), R'NH₂ (1.0 equiv.), 1 h, 25 °C, quantitative yield of 2–4; ii, RMgX (4 equiv.), diethyl ether, 0 \rightarrow 25 °C, 12 h–3 d, then NH₄Cl–H₂O; iii, RMgX (4 equiv.), 12 kbar, 25 \rightarrow 50–54 °C, 16–19 h; iv, 2.5 RLi (2.5 equiv.), $-78 \rightarrow 25$ °C

A priori, the (Grignard) additions to the N-(1-phenylethyl)imines 3 and 4 are expected to form diastereoisomer mixtures that reflect the net directing effect of both stereogenic units present, i.e. 1,2-induction from the group at C-2 plus or minus 1,3-induction from the respective N-(1-phenylethyl) moiety. This may be correlated with the many examples of intermolecular reactions of two chiral (separate) species, that comply with factual $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ additivity ('match-mismatch' relationship).^{13,14} The $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ values of the above imine reactions (cf. Table 1) do show a trend of this kind (passing from results with 3, via the N-benzyl cases, to drs obtained with 4), but the fit is far from good. Apparently, with 3 and 4 the auxiliary-controlled 1,3-induction largely overrules the 1,2-induction from the aldehyde substrate, in contrast to the results of allylmetal additions to N-(1-phenylethyl)imines of other alkoxy aldehydes.^{7a}. This is not satisfactorily explained by any one of the chelate intermediates proposed so far.4,15,16 On the basis of the above results, and on consideration of respective π -face accessibility, the competing chelate structures A [from (R)-(1-phenylethyl)imine $\hat{\mathbf{3}}$, favouring *threo*] and **B** [from (S)-(1-phenylethyl)imine 4, favouring erythro] are proposed as intermediates.^{15,16} The respective pathways are ultimately differentiated by the conformation of the 1-phenylethyl group, depicted as the one known to be preferred in related systems (due to allylic 1,3-strain).¹⁷ A detailed discussion of this issue will be given in the full account of this work.

Although, clearly, further mechanistic studies are warranted,** facile access to amino diols—of structural variety, of both *erythro* and *threo* configuration, in either enantiomer series—is provided herewith. The utility of this concept in synthesis is demonstrated with simple routes to statine and related hydroxy amino acids.¹⁸

Financial support by FCI, BMFT, EC (HCM network 'Stereoselective Organic Synthesis') and Bayer AG is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Professor G.-F. Klärner, Bochum, for discussions on high pressure reactions.

Footnotes

[†] Presented in part at the 13th International Symposium 'Synthesis in Organic Chemistry', Perkin Division, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Oxford, July, 1993.

[‡] Structures and configurations of the compounds prepared were confirmed by spectroscopic data, elemental analyses and several crystal structures of derived tetrahydro-1,3-oxazin-2-ones, *cf.* ref. 3,11. *Selected data* for **2**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ -48.7 (*c* 1.62). For **3**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +5.0 (*c* 1.34). For **4**: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ -108 (*c* 1.51). All were colourless oils; $[\alpha]$ measured in CHCl₃.

§ Selected data for 7c: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 134$ (*c* 1.50). For 7e: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 102$ (*c* 1.50). For 10d: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 56.2$ (*c* 1.55). All were colourless oils.

¶ The structure of the *cis*-aziridine obtained with 9e/10e was secured by Xray analysis; it was also isolated in 84% yield from the reaction of 9e and Grignard reagent as above at 12 kbar (1 bar = 10^5 Pa), 54° C.¹¹

|| On allyl additions to 2-O-MOM-lactaldimines, drs of 86:14, 79:21 and 70:30 were obtained for N-substituents (R)-(1-phenylethyl), *iso*-propyl and (S)-(1-phenylethyl), respectively. Additions to 3-O-MOM-butyraldimines gave ratios of 62:38, 15:85 and 8:92.^{7a}

** The slow reactions of 3 and 4 with Grignard compounds seem ideal for monitoring by NMR spectroscopy, cf. ref. 16. So far, experiments with methylmagnesium bromide have proved inconclusive.¹¹

References

- 1 S. Thaisrivongs, Ann. Rep. Med. Chem., 1994, 29, 133.
- 2 Review: K. C. Nicolaou, W.-M. Dai and R. K. Guy, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 15.
- 3 T. Franz, M. Hein, U. Veith, V. Jäger, E.-M. Peters, K. Peters and H. G. von Schnering, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 1298.
- 4 Y. Kobayashi, T. Matsumoto, Y. Takemoto, K. Nakatani, Y. Ito, T. Kamijo, H. Harada and S. Terashima, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1991, **39**, 2550; F. L. van Delft, M. de Kort, G. A. van der Marel and J. H. van Boom, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1994, **3**, 2261.
- 5 Cf. use of iminium salts: S. Kano, Y. Yuasa, T. Yokomatsu and S. Shibuya, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 3865; J.-i. Yamada, H. Satô and Y. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 5611; W.-J. Koot, R. van Ginkel, M. Kranenburg, H. Hiemstra, S. Louwrier, M. J. Moolenaar and W. N. Speckamp, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 401; M. Nagai, J. J. Gaudino and C. S. Wilcox, Synthesis, 1992, 163.
- 6 Addition of RM to optically active, enolizable imines, see ref. 3, 4, 7. For recent papers see, for example: T. Fujisawa, M. Nagai, Y. Koike, M. Koike and M. Shimizu, J. Org. Chem., 1994, **59**, 5865; L. Cippola, L. Lay, F. Nicotra, C. Pangrazio and L. Panza, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 4679.
- 7 (a) Y. Yamamoto, T. Komatsu and K. Maruyama, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 814; (b) Cf. methyl cuprate additions to (S)-(1-phenylethyl)imines of achiral aldehydes: C. Boga, D. Savoia and A. Umani-Ronchi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1990, 1, 291; (c) additions to imines of chiral 2-amino alcohols: M. A. Scialdone and A. I. Meyers, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 41, 7533; use of β-alkoxy hydrazines: D. Enders, J. Schankat and M. Klatt, Synlett, 1994, 795; (d) additions to a imino esters: D. J. Hallett and E. J. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 657; (e) use of glyoxal-bis[(1-phenylethyl)imine]: K. Bambridge, M. J. Begley and N. S. Simpkins, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 3391.
- Chiral N-auxiliaries in other additions to imines: H. Waldmann, Synthesis, 1994, 535; G. I. Georg and Z. Wu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 381; N. Moss, J. Gauthier and J.-M. Ferland, Synlett, 1995, 142; S. Laschat and H. Kunz, Synlett, 1990, 629; R. Hamilton, B. Walker and B. J. Walker, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 4451.
- 9 V. Jäger and V. Wehner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1989, 28, 469.
- 10 F. Texier-Boullet, Synthesis, 1985, 679.
- 11 U. Veith, Dissertation, Universität Stuttgart, 1995.
- 12 V. Jäger, M. Hein and S. Leurs, unpublished results.
- 13 S. Masamune, W. Choy, J. S. Petersen and L. R. Sita, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1985, 24, 1.
- 14 Intramolecular cases: R. Müller, T. Leibold, M. Pätzel and V. Jäger, Angew. Chem., 1994, 106, 1305; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1295, and examples cited therein.
- 15 R. A. Volkmann, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, eds. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, vol. 1, p. 355; Y. Yamamoto, S. Nishii, K. Maruyama, T. Komatsu and W. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 7778; M. T. Reetz, R. Jaeger, R. Drewlies and M. Hübel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**, 103.
- 16 M. T. Reetz, Acc. Chem. Res., 1993, 26, 462.
- M. Klein, U. Wingen and V. Buss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 6486;
 R. W. Hoffmann, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1841.
- 18 N. Meunier, U. Veith and V. Jäger, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., following paper.

Received, 22nd August 1995; Com. 5/05570I