Conversion of donor-substituted homonaphthoquinones to indenonaphthoquinones *via* intramolecular photoinduced electron-transfer

Hiroshi Moriwaki, Kazuaki Fukushima, Toshikazu Nagai and Takumi Oshima*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Machikaneyama 1-16, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

Irradiation of bis(*p*-anisyl)- or *p*-anisylphenyl-homonaphthoquinones 1a,b in the presence of $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ results in the formation of indenonaphthoquinones 2a,b *via* intramolecular photoinduced electron-transfer.

Intramolecular photoinduced electron-transfer (PET) systems¹ are useful and important in artificial photosynthesis² and molecular-level optoelectronics.³ A variety of covalently-linked donor–acceptor (D–A) molecules have been extensively studied to try to understand the structural and photophysical factors governing electron-transfer *i.e.* the D–A distance and orientation, the free energy of reaction, and the electronic coupling.⁴ However, there are only a few examples of dynamic electron-transfer reactions involving bond-cleavage and bond-forming processes.^{5.6}

Recently, we have found the mechanism for intermolecular PET reactions of diphenyl-substituted homonaphthoquinones with various donors.^{7,8}

Here we report a new photoreaction of homonaphthoquinones via intramolecular PET. For this purpose we used the anisyl-linked homonaphthoquinones **1a,b**.

Irradiation of bis(*p*-anisyl)homonaphthoquinone **1a** (50 mg) with 0.1 or 5 equiv. of $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ (140 mg) in acetonitrile (20 ml) through a Pyrex filter (>330 nm) with a high pressure



mercury lamp for 1 day gave indenonaphthoquinone 2a in almost quantitative yield (Table 1).

Similar treatment of *p*-anisylphenylhomonaphthoquinones (*endo*- and *exo*-1b) gave indenonaphthoquinone 2b as the sole product accompanied by the *endo*-*exo* photoisomerization of 1b (entries 4,5). The possible constitutional isomer 2c could not be detected by ¹H NMR analysis. The structures of 2a,b were deduced by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and mass spectra as well as X-ray crystal analysis for $2b^{\dagger}$,‡ (Fig. 1).

These reactions did not occur in the absence of $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ or in the dark at ordinary temperature (entries 3,6). Furthermore, it was noted that the replacement of the anisyl group of **1a** by a tolyl or phenyl group increased its photoreactivity as demonstrated by bis(*p*-tolyl)- and diphenyl-homonaphthoquinones **1c** and **1d** (entries 7,8).

The absorption spectrum of the methoxy-substituted **1a** was very similar to that of the unsubstituted **1d**, and appreciable intramolecular charge transfer absorption was not observed. Fluorescence of **1a** and **1d** were observed in acetonitrile with irradiation light of 300 nm at which **1a** and **1d** have almost the same logarithmic molar absorptivity, 3.26 and 3.24 respectively. Homonaphthoquinone **1a** showed a strong fluorescence ($\lambda_{max} = 502.6$ nm), but the fluorescence of **1d** was negligible. The emission maximum of **1a** shifted to shorter wavelength with decreasing solvent polarity *i.e.* 453.8 (THF) and 413.4 nm (benzene). The fluorescence of **1a** is due to the formation of the intramolecular exciplex of **1a**.⁶ Therefore, the present reaction can be envisaged as involving an intramolecular PET from the anisyl group to the naphthoquinone moiety under the influence of Mg(ClO₄)₂.

With these observations in mind, it is proposed that the present photoreaction proceeds through a mechanism outlined for **1a** in Scheme 1. The first step is the photoexcitation of **1a** followed by intramolecular electron transfer. The generated radical ion I undergoes ring-opening resulting in a zwitterion II. Here, the role of added Mg(ClO₄)₂ is probably the suppression of the intramolecular back-electron transfer as well as stabilization of I. Such additive effects of metal ions to enhance the PET reaction is well-known.^{9,10} The next step is an intramolecular cyclization of II as rationalized by the nucleophilic attack of the

Table 1 Photoreaction of homonaphthoquinones 1 in the presence of $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ in acetonitrile

	Homonaphtho- quinone	Additive (equiv.)	Irradiation time	conv.(%) ^a	Yield (%) ^a	
Entry					2a	2ь
1	1a	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(5)$	1	100	~ 100	
2	1a	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(0.1)$	3	100	~ 100	
3	1a	none	1	0	0	
4	endo- 1b	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(5)$	3	45.0 <i>^b</i>		93.5
5	exo-1b	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(5)$	3	37.2 <i>°</i>		83.1
6	endo- 1b	none	3	0^d		0
7	1c	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(5)$	3	0		
8	1d	$Mg(ClO_4)_2(5)$	3	0		

^{*a*} Measured from NMR peak areas of methyl protons of the remaining of **1b** and **2b** to the methylene peak of 4-chloromethylbiphenyl used as an interna standard. ^{*b*} Unconsumed **1b** consist of 78:22 (*endo*:*exo*) mixture. ^{*c*} Unconsumed **1b** consists of 25:75 (*endo*:*exo*) mixture. ^{*d*} Unconsumed **1b** consists of 81:19 (*endo*:*exo*) mixture.



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **2b** (hydrogen atoms omitted). Selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (°): C(4)-C(5) 139.6(5), C(4)-C(15) 150.4(5), C(5)-C(8) 147.4(5), C(8)-C(9) 134.9(5), C(9)-C(15) 151.6(5), C(4)-C(5)-C(8) 108.7(3), C(5)-C(4)-C(15) 109.1(3), C(5)-C(8)-C(9) 108.8(3), C(8)-C(9)-C(15) 110.9(3), C(8)-C(9)-C(23) 129.6(3), C(9)-C(15)-C(18) 109.8(3), C(15)-C(9)-C(23) 119.0(3), C(9)-C(15)-C(4) 102.5(3), C(4)-C(15)-C(15)-C(18) 114.6(3) (Thermal ellipsoids are at 50% probability).

enolate ion moiety to the *ortho*-position of the benzyl cation to afford the tetracyclic quinol III. Furthermore, the resulting III may be oxidised to the aromatized indenonaphthoquinone 2a. The absence of possible 2c in the photoreaction of 1b is due to the unfavourable electron accumulation on the anisyl ring. Thus, the chemoselective formation of 2b both for *endo*- and *exo*-1b is not surprising since the stereochemistry of 1b would be completely lost in the zwitterion intermediate like II. It is noteworthy that the conversion of *endo*-1b was higher than that of *exo*-1b (entries 4,5). This is due to the more enhanced intramolecular Coulomb interaction for the *endo*-radical ion \mathbf{L}^{11}

An attempt to photoreact diphenyl substituted **1d** with donor anisole or 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene failed even in the presence of excess Mg(ClO₄)₂, although the intermolecular PET is expected to be feasible from the ΔG value for anisole (-112 kJ mol⁻¹ according to the Rehm–Weller equation¹²) as well as fluorescence quenching experiment with anisole.§

We thank Dr T. Kawamoto (Osaka University) for his generous help with the X-ray crystal structure analysis of **2b**.

Footnotes

† Spectroscopic data for **2a**: Yellow prisms (from hexane-benzene). Mp 112–113 °C; IR(KBr) cm⁻¹ 1029, 1294, 1331, 1510 and 1670; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃): 1.77 (s, 3 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 6.95 (dd, J_1 2.64, J_2 8.58 Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (d, J 8.58 Hz, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J 8.58 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d, J 2.64 Hz, 1 H), 7.68–7.74 (m, 4 H) and 8.09–8.23 (m, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 27.1, 55.3, 55.8, 64.0, 111.2, 113.2, 114.6, 124.3, 125.5, 127.4, 127.6, 128.3, 131.9, 133.2, 134.6, 135.5, 137.5, 148.8, 150.7, 160.8, 161.2, 183.3 and 195.7; m/z 396(M⁺). Other new products also provided satisfactory analytical and spectroscopic data.

‡ Crystal data for C₂₅H₁₈O₃ **2b**; M_w 366.00, monoclinic, space group $P_{2_1/c}$, a = 1687.4(9), b = 1510.8(7), c = 750.0(6) pm, $\beta = 101.83(6)^\circ$, $V = 1871(2) \times 10^6$ pm³, Z = 4, Dc = 1.26 g cm⁻³, F(000) = 743, $I(Mo-K\alpha) = 0.71073$. All crystallographic measurements were made using a MAC science MXC3 diffractometer. The structure was solved by direct methods(SIR) and refined by full-matrix least squares analysis using 4273 unique reflections to final *R* factor = 0.0471, $R_w = 0.0434$. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been





deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

§ Fluorescence of 1d was quenched by anisole. Stern–Volmer plots of the fluorescence quenching were linear for anisole concentration, indicating the electron transfer to the singlet excited state of 1d.

References

- 1 Photo-induced Electron Transfer, ed. M. A. Fox and M. Chanon, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988.
- 2 M. R. Wasielewski, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 435.
- 3 P. de Silva, H. Nimal Gunaratne and C. McCoy, *Nature*, 1993, 364, 42.
- 4 K. Ohta, G. L. Closs, K. Morokuwa and N. J. Green, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 1319.
- 5 J. Leon and D. Whitten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 8038.
- 6 F. D. Lewis, G. D. Reddy, S. Schneider and M. Gahr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 3498.
- 7 H. Moriwaki, T. Oshima and T. Nagai, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 255; H. Moriwaki, T. Oshima and T. Nagai, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1681.
- 8 H. Moriwaki, T. Oshima and T. Nagai, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin. Trans. 2, 1995, 2517.
- 9 S. Fukuzumi and T. Okamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 5503.
- 10 K. Mizuno, N. Ichinose, T. Tamai and Y. Otsuji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, 26, 5823.
- 11 A. M. Brouwer, R. D. Mont, P. H. Brink, H. J. Ramesdonk and J. M. Verhoeven, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1991, 481.
- 12 D. Rehm and A. Weller, Isr. J. Chem., 1970, 8, 259.

Received, 10th October 1995; Com. 5/067181