Cooperativity in ligand binding expressed at a model cell membrane by the vancomycin group antibiotics

Martin S. Westwell, Ben Bardsley, Robert J. Dancer, Andrew C. Try and Dudley H. Williams"

Cambridge Centre for Molecular Recognition, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1 EW

Dimerisation or the use of a membrane anchor enhances the binding of the glycopeptide antibiotics at the surface of a model cell membrane.

Studies of the clinically important vancomycin group glycopeptide antibiotics have shown that the antibiotics specifically bind to the peptide sequence -L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala which is present in the immature cell-wall of Gram-positive bacteria $(Fig. 1).^{1–3}$ More recently, it has been shown that this group of antibiotics form dimers **and** that the process of dimerisation is cooperative with ligand binding.4.5 That is, ligand binds to antibiotic dimer more strongly than to monomer, and the dimerisation constant is greater in the presence of ligand. Ristocetin A is an exception to this generalisation (see below).4

Of the vancomycin group antibiotics that have been characterised, teicoplanin is unique in that it shows no evidence of dimerisation and it has a C_{11} acyl chain attached to the residue 4 sugar substituent.6 It has been shown that this hydrophobic chain can act as a membrane anchor,⁷ such that binding to the cell-wall precursor at a cell surface is potentially intramolecular as both the antibiotic and its target are attached to the same template.4 It has been postulated that this intramolecular binding would enhance the activity,⁸ and indeed it has been shown that when the residue **4** sugar and the membrane anchor are removed the activity against staphylococci is reduced by a factor of 2-15.9 Additionally, in accord with the hypotheses that dimerisation and membrane anchors promote antibiotic activity, it has been demonstrated that these features make the antag-

Fig. 1 Exploded view of the complex between teicoplanin and the cell-wall analogue di-N-ac-KDADA. The dotted lines represent intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Note the acyl chain attached to the glucosamine of residue 4.

onism of antibiotic action by externally added di-N-acetyl-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala much more difficult.8

We have recently observed a relationship between the overall free energy of ligand binding and the limiting chemical shift of the amide proton $w_2(\delta_{w_2}^{\text{limit}})$ in aqueous solution which occurs at lower field for a stronger complex (w₂ is labelled in Fig. 1).^{10–12} The limiting chemical shift is attained when the antibiotic is $> 95\%$ bound. Using $\delta_{w2}^{\text{limit}}$ as a measure of the relative strength of ligand binding, sodium dodecylsulphate **(SDS)** micelles were used as model cell membranes to study the interaction of teicoplanin with **N-a-decanoyl-N-&-acetyl-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala** (N-dec-N-ac-KDADA, synthesised in this laboratory) which is also anchored into the micelles and di-N-acetyl-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala (di-N-ac-KDADA, available from Sigma), which is free in solution as it lacks the hydrophobic membrane anchor. Using 500 MHz ¹H NMR, δ_{w2}^{limit} of the complexes with each of the ligands with teicoplanin were determined in the presence of micelles and compared to that when free (7.78 ppm). For the complex of teicoplanin with N-dec-N-ac-KDADA on the surface of a micelle $\delta_{w2}^{\text{limit}} = 11.77$, further downfield than the signal in the di-N-ac-KDADA complex in the presence of micelles (1 1.36 ppm). Thus, when the ligand binding is essentially intramolecular on the surface of a micelle, the overall free energy of binding is more favourable (Fig. 2). UV spectrophotometry was used to determine the binding constants of di-N-ac-KDADA and N-dec-N-ac-KDADA with teicoplanin in the presence of **SDS** which were found to be 3.2×10^5 and 1.7×10^6 dm³ mol⁻¹ respectively. These results are in agreement with the qualitative trend observed from the NMR spectra. On the basis of the UV data, there is a benefit from the intramolecular binding on the surface of a micelle which is worth 4.1 kJ mol⁻¹ in free energy.

Fig. 2 Schematic illustration of **binding of cell-wall analogues to teicoplanin** at the surface of a micelle. The C₁₁ acyl chain of teicoplanin anchors the **antibiotic to the micelle.** *(a)* **The complex with di-N-ac-KDADA is intermolecular but** *(b)* **the complex with N-dec-N-ac-KDADA is essentially intramolecular, conferring extra stability.**

The dimerisation of another member of the group, ristocetin A, has been observed to be anticooperative with the binding of ligand (e.g. di-N-ac-KDADA). That is, dimerisation is reduced when the ligand is fully bound.^{4,13} Despite this anticooperative effect, ristocetin A is an effective antibiotic.14 Thus, it has been postulated that on the surface of a cell (or micelle with anchored ligands) both dimerisation and ligand binding should be enhanced such that the anticooperative effect is overcome.4

In order to test this hypothesis, $\delta_{w2}^{\text{limit}}$ was measured for the complexes of ristocetin A with N-decanoyl-D-Ala-D-Ala *(N*dec-DADA, synthesised in this laboratory) and N-acetyl-D-Ala-D-Ala (N-ac-DADA, synthesised in this laboratory) in the presence of micelles (Fig. 3).

The intermolecular complex between ristocetin A and N-ac-DADA in the presence of micelles gave $\delta_{w2}^{\text{limit}} = 11.43$. In the postulated intramolecular complex at the surface of a micelle between ristocetin A and N-dec-DADA, $\delta_{w2}^{\text{limit}}$ was observed to

Fig. 3 Schematic illustration of binding of cell-wall analogues to a ristocetin dimer at the surface of a micelle. *(a)* The complex with N-ac-DADA is intermolecular but *(b)* the complex with N-dec-N-DADA is essentially intramolecular, conferring extra stability.

be further downfield at **1** 1.65 ppm. **UV** spectrophotometry was again used to determine the binding constants of N-ac-DADA and N-dec-DADA with ristocetin **A** in the presence of **SDS** which where found to be 4.1×10^4 and 1.6×10^7 dm⁻³ mol⁻¹ respectively. Thus, the intramolecularity gives an overall favourable free energy contribution to the whole system of 14.8 kJ mol⁻¹ despite the unfavourable contribution from the anticooperativity that is inherent in the ristocetin A system.

We thank BBSRC, Glaxo Research and Development (M. **S.** W.), Xenova (A. C. T.), Roussell **(B.** B.) and the Wellcome Trust (R. J. D.) for financial support and for the Biomedical NMR Centre, NIMR, Mill Hill, London for access to NMR equipment.

References

- **¹**M. Nieto and H. R. Perkins, *Biochem. J.,* **1971, 123, 773.**
- **2** R. Kannan, C. M. Harris, **T.** M. Harris, J. **P.** Waltho, N. J. Skelton and D. H. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1988, 110, 2946.**
- **3** D. H. Williams, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* **1985, 17, 364.**
- **4 J.** P. Mackay, U. Gerhard, D. A. Beauregard, M. **S.** Westwell, M. **S.** Searle and D. H. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1994, 116,4581.**
- **5 J.** P. Mackay, U. Gerhard, D. A. Beauregard, **R.** A. Maplestone and D. H. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1994, 116,4573.**
- 6 **J.** C. J. Barna, D. H. Williams, D. **J.** M. Stone, T. **C.** Leung and D. M. Doddrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1984, 106, 4895.**
- **7** M. **S.** Westwell, U. Gerhard and D. H. Williams, *J. Antibiotics,* **1995, 49, 1292.**
- **8** D. A. Beauregard, D. H. Williams, M. N. Gwynn and D. **J.** Knowles, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.,* **1995, 39, 781.**
- **9** B. Cavalleri, P. Ferrari, A. Malabarba, A. Magni, **R.** Pallanza and G. Gualberto, *J. Antibiotics,* **1987, 40, 49.**
- **10 M. S.** Westwell, PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, **1995.**
- **¹¹**G. **J.** Sharman, M. **S.** Searle, B. Benhamu, P. Groves and D. H. Williams, *Angew. Chem.,* **1995, 34, 1483.**
- **12** P. Groves, M. *S.* Searle, M. **S.** Westwell and D. H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* **1994, 1519.**
- **13** P. Groves, M. *S.* Searle, **J.** P. Waltho and D. H. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1995, 117, 7958.**
- **14** B. Cavalleri and F. Parenti, in *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology,* Wiley & Sons, New York, **1992,** Vol. **2.**

Received, *30th* November *1995; Corn. 510781 I* C