The synthesis of the *N*-ethoxycarbonyl derivative of 5-azabicyclo[2.1.0]pent-2-ene (Dewar pyrrole)—The first member of the parent ring-system

Ronald N. Warrener,*a Ananda S. Amarasekaraa and Richard A. Russell^b

^a Centre for Molecular Architecture, Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, Queensland, 4702, Australia ^b Department of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, 3217, Australia

N-Ethoxycarbonyl Dewar pyrrole 9, the first member of the parent ring, is formed via photofragmentation of 6 at -60 °C and trapped as its adduct with 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran 11; above this temperature, 9 is unstable and isomerises to N-ethoxycarbonylpyrrole 10.

The Dewar form of the 5-membered heterocycles furan, thiophene and pyrrole are expected to be unstable species in view of the high ring-strain typical of Dewar valence-isomers and further as they are formally homo derivatives of cyclobutadiene, an archetypical anti-aromatic ring-system. While Dewar thiophene (5-thiabicyclo[2.1.0]pent-2-ene) has been formed by direct irradiation of thiophene,¹ the other parent



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, excess 2, CH_2Cl_2 , 254 nm, 67%; ii, Zn/Ag couple, THF, 5, 87% yield as 6; iii, quartz, 254 nm, acetone

5-membered heterocycles have failed to yield to this photoisomerisation. We have previously demonstrated that Dewar furan (5-oxabicyclo[2.1.0]pent-2-ene) could be generated by an indirect photochemical approach, and while too unstable for direct isolation, it could be trapped as its isobenzofuran adduct.² Dewar pyrrole has remained an undetected species although some *C*-substituted derivatives are known.[†]

Here we report the Dewar pyrrole too has now been corralled and trapped in adduct form.

The method used for its production (Scheme 1) is again an indirect one and parallels the 1,2-photoaromatisation route⁶ used in the generation of Dewar furan.² Access to photosubstrate 6 required initial production of Dewar azepine 4, itself a high-energy species; this was achieved in two steps from the known bicyclo[2.2.0]hexene 1.² Introduction of the aziridine ring was attained via carbomethoxy nitrene addition7 to the π bond of 1. Azepine 4 was generated in situ by Zn/Ag debromination of 3 in the presence of 2,5-diethyl-3,4-diphenylcyclopentadienone 58[±] and isolated as the adduct 6.[§] Irradiation of photosubstrate 6 in acetone at room temperature initially promoted decarbonylation to the cyclohexadiene 7 which underwent photofragmentation to form the phthalimide 8 and Nethoxycarbonylpyrrole 10. When this irradiation was conducted at low temperature (-60 °C) and in the presence of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran 11, Dewar pyrrole 9 could be trapped as its adduct 12; again significant production of N-ethoxycarbonyl pyrrole 10 is observed (12:10 = ca. 1:1). The structure of adduct 12 was confirmed by separate synthesis from 139 via cyclobutene 14 as outlined in Scheme 2.¶

Dewar pyrrole 9 differs significantly from its Dewar furan counterpart in two significant respects. First it is less stable (9 is isomerised to 10 at -60 °C whereas Dewar furan is stable at -40 °C)² and Dewar pyrrole 9 rearranges to the 5-membered heterocycle 10 whereas Dewar furan is isomerised to cyclo-propene-3-carbaldehyde.² This divergence of isomerisation pathways is currently being investigated using computational methods and will be reported at a later stage.

We are grateful to the Australian Research Council (ARC) for financial support of this work. R. N. W acknowledges the award of an ARC Senior Research Fellowship 1992–1996. We thank Dr Davor Margetic for conducting the calculations quoted in this communication.



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, Zn, EtOH, reflux, 15 h, 74%; ii, 6 equiv. 2, 254 nm, 56%

Chem. Commun., 1996 1519

Footnotes

[†] All three Dewar isomers have been reported as their per(trifluoromethyl) derivatives;³ notably the Dewar pyrrole is less stable than the others. The only other Dewar pyrrole reported was produced by ultra-violet irradiation of *N*-methyl 2-cyanopyrrole;⁴ interestingly the product actually trapped is derived from the rearranged 2-cyanobicyclo[2.1.0]pent-2-ene rather than the first-formed 1-cyano isomer.⁵

 \ddagger Dienone 5 has the advantage of existing as a monomer and is more soluble in organic solvents than its better known methyl analogue, hemicyclone which exists as a thermally reversible dimer. This is reflected in the improved trapping efficiency of 5.9

§ All new compounds exhibited NMR data consistent with the assigned structures which were further supported by elemental analysis or mass spectral data. *Selected spectroscopic data* for 6: mp 197–198 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.78 (t, *J* 7.1 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH₃), 1.31 (t, *J* 7.1 Hz, 319 H, CH₃), 2.10 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH₂), 2.89 (d, *J* 3.7 Hz, 2 H, H-2, H-6), 3.08 (s, 3 H, *N*-CH₃), 3.27 (d, *J* 3.7 Hz, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 4.24 (q, *J* 7.1 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂) and 6.80–7.20 (m, 10 H, 2 × Ph); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.26, 14.40, 15.41, 25.59, 37.75, 38.76, 53.23, 61.75, 62.94, 127.72, 128.26, 129.15, 133.58, 141.99, 159.07, 174.31 and 200.87; MS: *m*/z 536 (M⁺). For **12**. mp 186–189 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (t, *J* 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 3.01 (m, 2 H), 3.03 (m, 2 H), 4.23 (q, *J* 7.1 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.96 (m, 2 H), 7.12 (m, 2 H) and 7.45–7.73 (m, 10 H, 2 × Ph); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.48, 37.75, 38.55, 52.24, 62.38, 89.09, 119.22, 126.67, 127.12, 128.26, 128.73, 135.61, 147.75 and 160.99; MS: *m*/z 409 (14, M⁺).

¶ The structure of 12 follows from the established stereochemistry of precursor cyclobutene 14 by X-ray¹⁰ and its mode of formation. Comparison of splitting patterns of the cyclobutyl ring-protons in 12 with other known *anti*-cyclobutanes¹¹ confirms the stereochemical assignment. Semi-empirical methods (AM1) predict that isomer 12 is the favoured stereoisomer in the cycloaddition of 9 with 11 by about 4 kJ mol⁻¹.

 $\|$ Calculations were performed for the reaction of 11 with the parent Dewar pyrrole. Different energies were found for the two tetrahedral conformation of the NH; that with the H syn with the cyclobutane ring being favoured.

References

- 1 W. A. Rendall, M. Torres and O. P. Strausz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 723.
- 2 I. G. Pitt, R. A. Russell and R. N. Warrener, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 7176; R. N. Warrener, I. G. Pitt and R. A. Russell, Aust. J. Chem., 1991, 44, 1275.
- 3 D. Wirth and D. M. Lemal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 847; Y. Kobayashi, I. Kumadaki, A. Ohsawa and A. Ando, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 7350; Y. Kobayashi, A. Ando and I. Kumadaki, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1978, 509; Y. Kobayashi, A. Ando and I. Kumadaki, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2966; Y. Kobayashi, A. Ando, K. Kawada and I. Kumadaki, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2968.
- 4 H. Hiraoka, Chem. Commun., 1971, 1610.
- 5 J. A. Barltrop, A. C. Day and R. W. Ward, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1978, 131.
- 6 C. M. Anderson, J. B. Bremner, H. H. Westburg and R. N. Warrener, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1969, 1585; G. Schroder, *Chem. Ber.*, 1964, 97, 3140; T. Bally and S. Masamune, *Tetrahedron*, 1980, 36, 343; G. Maier, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1972, 11, 932.
- 7 W. Lwowski, T. J. Maricich and T. W. Mattingly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 1200; J. F. W. Keana, S. B. Keana and D. Beetham, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 3057.
- 8 F. W. Gray, J. Chem. Soc., 1909, 95, 2131.
- 9 R. N. Warrener, G. M. Elsey, I. G. Pitt and R. A. Russell, Aust. J. Chem., 1995, 48, 241.
- 10 R. N. Warrener, R. A. Russell, A. S. Amarasekara and E. R. T. Tiekink, 1995, unpublished results.
- 11 R. N. Warrener, I. G. Pitt and R. A. Russell, Aust. J. Chem., 1992, 45, 1035.

Received, 5th March 1996; Com. 6/01559J