Enzymatic preparation of optically active precursors of CPI, the DNA alkylation subunit of the naturally occurring antitumour antibiotic CC-1065

Lei Ling and J. William Lown*

Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada T6G 2G2

Some immediate precursors of CPI, the DNA alkylation subunit of CC-1065 are subjected to enzymatic resolution with Lipase PS in vinyl acetate; racemic 3 is effectively resolved by two consecutive enzymatic reactions.

CC-1065, an antitumour antibiotic isolated from the culture of Streptomyces zelensis, $¹$ is one of the most potent compounds</sup> discovered to date and has a wide spectrum of activities against tumour cells in vitro and in vivo as well as against microbial organisms.² CC-1065 binds to double-stranded DNA within the minor groove at AT-rich sites and alkylates the **N-3** position of the 3'-adenine by its left hand subunit of cyclopropylindole (CPI).3 Despite its high potency, CC-1065 cannot be used in humans because of the delayed death it causes in experimental animals. In the search for compounds with better antitumour selectivity, many CC-1065 analogues have been synthesized in attempts to avoid its unwanted side effects but to retain its potency against tumour cells.4 In this regard, we have synthesized a series of analogues of CC-1065.5 Among these compounds, a class of racemic analogues **2** has been found to have extremely high potency, even much higher than $CC - 1065.5d$

With the chiral centre within the CPI unit, CC- 1065 and other CPI bearing analogues are optically active compounds. The two enantiomers of these compounds showed different biological behaviour.⁶ This prompted us to synthesize both enantiomers of **2.** It has been reported that the optically active precursors of CPI could be prepared by forming their diastereoisomers and separation of the latter by HPLC or crystallization.^{4b,7} Some CPI related compounds were also obtained in a similar way or by separating the two enantiomers directly by chiral HPLC.^{8,9} Because of the limitation of the quantity that can be treated by HPLC column and the troublesome process of forming diasteroisomers and crystallization, we have considered developing a more practical method, enzymatic resolution. Here we report our results.

Lipase-catalysed trans-esterification is now widely used as an efficient and convenient method for resolution of hydroxy group containing compounds.10 In the course of preparation of racemic 2 $(Scheme 1),4b,5$ compounds 3, 4 and 6 were considered to be suitable for resolution by this method. Therefore compounds **3, 4** and **6** were subjected to enzymatic esterification in the presence of Lipase PS (Amano, lipase from Pseudomonas *sp.)* in vinyl acetate as solvent and acyl donor. The results are listed in Table 1. Lipase PS selectively acylated

Table 1

Chem. Commun., **1996 1559**

the R-enantiomers of 3 and **6.** The absolute configuration of the products derived from **4** has not been determined. The best selectivity was observed with compound 3. The acylated product was obtained in a yield of 41% with an optical purity of 78% ee. The remaining material was recovered in a yield of 53% with an optical purity of 74% ee. The optical purity of both products was enhanced by a subsequent kinetic resolution (Scheme 2).¹¹ The recovered natural enantiomer $S-3$ was subjected to the same enzymatic reaction once more. The *S-3* was recovered from the second resolution in a yield of 75% with an optical purity of > 99% ee. After the cleavage of the acetyl group of the unnatural enantiomer $R-10$, the resulting $R-3$ was subjected to a second enzymatic reaction in the same way to afford $R-10$ in a yield of 70% with an optical purity of 96% ee (Scheme **2).** The optically pure R-10 was obtained by quenching the reaction at lower yield **(57%,** >99% ee). **It** was found that the MTPA esters of racemic materials showed clearly two peaks (1 : 1) on **19F** NMR **(400** MHz) spectrum. The chemical shifts of the MTPA ester of $\overline{3}$ was -71.93 and -72.08 . So the optical purities of the products were determined by I9F NMR after the products were transformed to their MTPA esters. The absolute

configuration of the product was determined by transforming them to **6** and comparing the specific rotation value with the literature values. $4b.7\dagger$

We are grateful for the research grant (to J. W. **L.)** from the National Cancer Institute of Canada.

Footnote

t The specific rotation of compound 6 derived from optically pure S-3 was $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ – 10.5 (c 0.21, CH₂Cl₂); lit.,^{4b} $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ – 7.5 (c 0.74, CH₂Cl₂).

References

- 1 L. J. Hanka, **A.** Dietz, **S. A.** Gerpheide, **S.** L. Kuentzel and D. G. Martin, *J. Antibiot.,* 1978, 31, 1211.
- 2 *(a)* B. K. Bhuyan, K. **A.** Newell, **S.** L. Crampton and D. D. Von Hoff, *Cancer Res.,* 1982, 42, 3532; *(b)* V. L. Reynolds, J. **P.** McGovern and L. H. Hurley, J. *Antibiot.,* 1986, 39, 319.
- 3 L. H. Hurley, V. L. Reynolds, D. H. Swenson, G. L. Petzold and T. A. Scahill, *Science,* 1984, 226, 843.
- 4 *(a)* P. A. Aristoff and P. D. Johnson, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1992, 57, 6234; *(b)* D. L. Boger and R. **S.** Coleman, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1988,110,4796; (c) R. C. Kelly, I. Gebhard, N. Wicknienski, P. **A.** Aristoff, P. D. Johnson and D. G. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1987, 109, 6837; (d) C. H. Lin, D. Sun and **L.** H. Hurley, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.,* 1991,4,21; *(e)* M. A. Mitchell, R. C. Kelly, N. **A.** Wicnienski, N. T. Matzenbuhler, M. G. Williams, G. L. Petzold, J. L. Slighton and D. R. Siemeniak, J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1991, 113, 8995; (f) J. H. Tidwell and **S.** L. Buchwald, *J, Org. Chem.,* 1992, 57, 6380.
- *5 (a)* Y. Wang and J. W. Lown, *Heterocycles,* 1993, 36, 1399; (b) Y. Wang, **R.** Gupta, L. Huang and J. W. Lown, *J. Med. Chem.,* 1993, 36, 4172; (c) Y. Wang, R. Gupta, L. Huang and J. W. Lown, *Anti-Cancer Drug Design,* 1995, in the press; (d) N. L. Fregeau, Y. Wang, R. T. Pon, W. **A.** Wylic and J. W. Lown, *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1995,117, 8917.
- 6 D. L. Boger, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 1995, 28, 20.
- 7 *(a)* D. L. Boger and **R. S.** Coleman, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1988, 53, 695; *(b)* M. A. Warpehoski, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1986,27, 4103.
- 8 *(a)* D. L. Boger, K. Machiya, D. L. Hertzog, **P. A.** Kitos and D. Holmes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1993, 115, 9025; *(b)* D. L. Boger, R. J. Wysocki Jr. and T. Ishizaki, *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1990,112,5230; *(c)* D. L. Boger
- and T. Ishizaki, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1990, 55, 5823. *(b)* D. L. Boger and W. Yun, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1994,116,7996.
- **R-10** 695; (b) A. W. Klibanov, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 1990, 23, 114.
- **⁵¹**% (72% ee) 1 1 *(a)* Z.-W. Guo, S.-H. Wu, C.-S. Chen, G. Girdaukas and C. **J.** Sih, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1990, 112, 4942; *(b)* C. R. Johnson, Y.-P. Xu, K. C. Nicolaou. **Z.** Yang, R. **K.** Guy, J.-G. Dong and N. Berova, *Tetrahedron 53 75%* **(>99%** *ee)* + **R-10 23% R-1 0 70% (96% ee)** *Lett.,* 1995, 36, 3291; (c) 2.-W. Guo, *J. &g. Chem.,* 1993, 58, 5748.

Scheme 2 Received, *15th* February *1996; Corn. 6/01 I08J*