Anion recognition properties of new upper-rim bis[rhenium(I) bipyridyl, ruthenium(II) bis(bipyridyl), cobaltocenium]calix[4]arene receptors dictated by **lower-rim substituents**

Paul D. Beer,*a Michael G. B. Drew,^b Dusan Hesek,a Matthew Shadea and Fridrich Szemesa

a Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, UK OX1 3QR Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 224, Reading, UK RG6 2AD

New upper-rim bis[rhenium(I) bipyridyl, ruthenium(II) **bis(bipyridyl), cobaltocenium]calix[4]arene receptors exhibit remarkable dihydrogen phosphate selective anion** recognition properties dictated by the relative positions of lower-rim tosyl substituents.

The molecular recognition¹ and sensing² of anions of biochem-
 H_2N H_2N NH_2 \bullet 2HCI 0₂N ical, medical and environmental importance by positively charged or neutral electron-deficient abiotic receptor molecules is an area of intense current research activity. Because of their unique upper- and lower-rim topologies the calixarenes³ are attractive host molecules on which to construct additional τ os binding sites for target guest species. For example, the calix[4]arene structural framework has been modified and exploited for the recognition of metal cations.⁴ In contrast, calixarene anion receptors are still relatively rare.⁵ We report here the syntheses of new upper-rim bis[rhenium(I) bipyridyl, ruthenium(II) bis(bipyridyl), cobaltocenium]calix[4]arene receptors whose dihydrogen phosphate selective anion recognition properties over the chloride anion are dramatically dictated by the relative positions of lower-rim tosyl substituents.

The new upper-rim amine-substituted calix[4]arene derivatives containing tosyl groups *para* to the upper-rim amine substituent **1** and the corresponding 1,3-distal isomer **2** were prepared according to Schemes 1 and 2. Condensation reactions

Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions: i, CICO₂CH₂Ph, K₂CO₃, MeCN* (85-90%); ii, NaNO₃, HCl, Ac₂O, CHCl₂ (70%); iii, TosCl, NaH, THF (85%); iv, Me₃SiI; v, Na₂S₂O₃, H₂O (90-94%); vi, Pd (10%)/C, H₂, THF; vii, HCl-MeOH, CHCl₂

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, TosCl, NaH, THF (95%); ii, HNO₃, AcOH, CH₂Cl₂ (75%); iii, Pd (10%)/C, H₂, MeOH, THF; iv, HCl-MeOH, CH_2Cl_2 (85%)

Table 1 Stability constant data for receptors L¹-L⁶ in (CD₃)₂SO

	Receptor	K/dm^3 mol ^{-1<i>a</i>}		
		H_2PO_4 -	$Cl-$	
	LΙ	4400	80	
	L^2	1700	200	
	L ³	2550	$-b$	
	L ⁴	1220	600	
	L ⁵	3100	$-b$	
	L ⁶	2500	400	

a Errors estimated to be $\leq 10\%$. *b* Very weak binding, a stability constant

Fig. 1 Structure of **3**

Chem. Commun., **1996 2161**

Fig. 2 Structure of 4

of **1** and **2** with 2 equiv. of 4-chlorocarbonyl 2,2'-bipyridine6 followed by respective complexation reactions with $(bipy)_2$ $RuCl₂·2H₂O-NH₄PF₆$ and $Re(CO)₅Cl$ gave the new receptors L1-L4 in good yields. Analogous reactions of **1** and **2** with chlorocarbonyl cobaltocenium chloride7 and addition of excess NH4PF6 produced L5, L6 in 50 and *55%* respective yields.

Preliminary anion coordination properties of these new receptors were investigated by ${}^{1}\hat{H}$ NMR anion titration experiments in $(CD_3)_2$ SO solution with tetrabutylammonium chloride and dihydrogen phosphate salts. In the majority of cases substantial downfield perturbations of the respective receptor's amide and aryl calix[4]arene protons were observed, suggesting anion binding is taking place at the upper-rim vicinity of the receptor. Stability constants were calculated from the resulting titration curves using EQNMR and the values are presented in Table 1. Receptors L¹, L³, L⁵ exhibit remarkable selectivity preferences for \dot{H}_2PO_4 ⁻ over Cl⁻, with the latter two ligands barely able to complex the halide anion in (CD_3) ₂SO at all. In contrast, although L^2 , L^4 , L^6 also exhibit the same selectivity trend the relative stability constant values for the chloride anion in comparison to L^1 , L^3 , L^5 have substantially increased by at least two orders of magnitude with $L⁴$, $L⁶$, whereas the H_2PO_4 ⁻ stability constants have significantly decreased in magnitude. Clearly these results suggest that modifying the positions of the substituents on the calix[4]arene lower rim has a dramatic effect on the anion coordination properties of the upper rim with this class of anion receptor.⁸ Molecular modelling calculations (MM2) and CPK models suggest with L^1 , L^3 , L^5 the lower-rim bulky tosyl groups para to the upper-rim amide substituents favours a receptor conformation in which the upper-rim Lewis acidic moieties are rigidly held in close proximity to each other. The X-ray crystal structure of the bis-nitro derivative **3** corroborates this postulation? (Fig. **l),** the N(100)-N(300) distance between the nitro groups is 3.805 A. With the relatively more flexible 1,3-distal isomers, L^2 , L^4 , L^6 , conformations in which the upper-rim Lewis-acidic amide substituents are further apart are predicted by molecular modelling calculations. This is illustrated by the

X-ray crystal structure of the bis-ferrocene derivative analogue amide groups to be 11.635 A. In summary, these preliminary findings suggest that with this class of calix[4]arene anion receptor subtle changes of the size, nature and position of lowerrim substituents will substantially alter the topology of the upper-rim anion recognition site and consequently the receptor's anion coordination properties. 4 of L^6 (Fig. 2)[†] showing the N(200) \cdots N(400) distance of the

We thank the EPSRC for postdoctoral fellowships to D. H. and F. **S.** and for use of the mass spectrometry service at University College, Swansea. The University of Reading and the EPSRC are gratefully acknowledged for funding towards the crystallographic image-plate system.

Footnote

t *Crystal data* for 3.0.60CH2C12, C42.6H35.2C11.2N2012S2, *M* = 873.39, $a = 12.196(8)$, $b = 14.449(8)$, $c = 23.99(2)$ Å, $\beta = 104.57(1)$ °, $U = 4091$ Å³, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 4$, 6617 independent reflections were measured and refined on F^2 to $R = 0.0784$.

For 4.2.5MeCN, $C_{60}H_{61.5}Fe_2N_{4.5}O_{10}S_2$, $M = 1289.5$, $a = 18.582(17)$, *h* = 19.436(18), $c = 20.630(20)$ Å, $\beta = 105.23(1)$ °, $U = 7189$ Å³, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 4$, 9054 independent reflections were measured and refined on F^2 to $R = 0.0963$.

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 182/199.

References

- 1 F. P. Schmidtchen,Nachr. *Chem. Tech. Lab.,* 1988,36,8; H. E. Katz, in *Inclusion Compounds,* ed. J. L. Atwood, J. E. D. Davies and D. D. MacNicol, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991, vol. 4, p. 391; B. Dietrich, *Pure Appl. Chem.,* 1993,65, 1457.
- 2 P. D. Beer, *Chem. Commun.,* 1996, 689 and references therein.
- 3 C. D. Gutsche, in *Calixarenes,* ed. J. F. Stoddart, *Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry,* The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1989, vol. 1.
- 4 R. Ungaro and A. Pochini, in *Calixarenes, a Versatile Class of Macrocyclic Compounds,* ed. J. Vicens and **V.** Bohmer, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990, p. 133; F. Arnaud-Neu, G. Barrett, **S. J.** Harris, M. Owens, M. A. McKervey, M. J. Schwing-Weill and **P.** Schwinte, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1993, 32, 2644 and references therein.
- *5* P. D. Beer, M. G. B. Drew, C. Hazlewood, D. Hesek, J. Hodacova and **S.** E. Stokes, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1993,229; Y. Morzheim, D. M. Rudkevich, W. Verboom and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1993, **58,** 7602; J. W. Steed, R. K. Junega and J. L. Atwood, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1994, 33, 2456; P. D. Beer, Z. Chen, A. J. Goulden, A. Grieve, D. Hesek, F. Szemes and T. Wear, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1994, 1269.
- 6 C. P. Whittle, J. *Heterocycl. Chem.,* 1977, 14, 191.
- 7 J. E. Sheats and M. D. Rausch, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1970,35,3245.
- 8 Cooperative calix[4]arene lower-upper rim Group 1 metal cation binding has recently been described, see: C. A. Gleave and **I.** 0. Sutherland, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1994, 1873.

Received, 28th May 1996; Corn. 61036751

2162 *Chem. Commun.,* **1996**