James K. Sutherland

Chemistry Department, University of Manchester, UK M13 9PL

1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-8-ene (DBU) undergoes a double addition reaction with the title compounds forming crimson 2-aminoindole derivatives.

In the course of examining the complexation of 3,5-dinitrobenzoates of cholic acids with a variety of compounds it was observed that with DBU a red colour rapidly developed in CHCl₃ and that, after some time, stable red compounds were formed. In order to determine whether these reactions were solely a function of the complexing ability of the cholic esters or due to the dinitrobenzoate function, methyl 3,5-dinitrobenzoate was treated in CHCl₃ or EtOAc with DBU whereupon deep red solutions were formed with the colour intensity increasing for up to 2 d. Chromatography yielded starting material and two orange compounds. The less polar orange compound (19%) was shown by spectroscopy† to have structure **1**. The structure of the more polar product was assigned by spectroscopy.‡ Assuming that DBU is also acting as a C-nucleophile in forming this product, structure **3** was assigned.

DBU reacts in a similar manner with 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene to give 2 (11%).§

A scheme to account for the formation of 1 and 3 is the initial reaction giving the Meisenheimer complexes 4 and 5 (the original red colour formed on mixing) from the ester and DBU acting as a C-nucleophile,¶ followed by oxidation of the adducts to form the aryl compounds 6 and 7; *ipso* displacement of the nitro group of 6 would then give the indole 1 whilst 7 cyclises to form the isoquinolone 3 (Scheme 1). There are a number of examples of DBU reacting as a C- and N-nucleophile,¹ but the order in which reaction occurs is not clear; in the current example DBU appears to be acting initially as a C-nucleophile.

1,3-Dimethylpiperidino-2-indole is reported² to absorb at λ_{max} /nm 230 (ϵ 25 400), 290 (9300) and 296 (8950) thus the

introduction of the electron accepting NO_2 and CO_2Me groups effects a large bathochromic shift of *ca*. 180 nm, presumably due to the donating power of both nitrogens of the enediamine system.

I thank Dr G. A. Morris for valuable discussions.

Footnotes

† C₁₇H₁₉N₃O₄, λ_{max}/nm 332 (ε 13 100) and 475 (5500); ν_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1706; δ_H 8.41 (1 H, d, *J* 1.9), 7.81 (1 H, d, *J* 1.9), 3.85 (3 H, s) and 7 × (2 H, m) with the connectivities δ_H 4.08–2.10–3.38 and 3.48–1.95–1.91–2.78 (2D-COSY); in addition the signal at δ_H 4.08 showed an NOE to that at 7.81 (37%) and the 3.85 signal was enhanced by irradiation of these at 8.41 (15%) and 7.81 (9%). δ_C 166.95, 152.10, 135.33, 125.18, 119.85, 115.90, 111.16, 95.68, 54.01, 51.88, 48.99, 39.96, 28.42, 25.26, 24.51 and 21.80. ‡ C₁₆H₁₆N₄O₄; λ_{max}/nm 462 (11000); ν_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1661; δ_H 9.17 (1 H, d, *J* 2.6), 8.65 (1 H, d *J* 2.6), and 7 × (2 H, m) with the connectivities δ 4.16–2.10–3.38 and 3.62–1.94+1.75–2.28 (2D-COSY).

§ $C_{15}H_{16}N_4O_4$, λ_{max} /nm 340 (6000) and 470 (25 000); δ_H 8.62 (1 H, d, J 1.9), 7.92 (1 H, d, J 1.9) and 7 × (2 H, m) with the connectivities δ_H 4.10–2.36–3.44 and 3.59–2.04–1.95–2.78 (2D-COSY); irradiation of the signal at δ_H 4.10 generated an NOE at 7.92 (22%).

¶ The exact nature of the nucleophile is not known and there are a number of possible formulations.

References

- L. L. McCoy and D. Mal, J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 1016; M. Perbost, M. Lucas, C. Chavis and J.-L. Imbach, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1993, 30, 627; R. D. Chambers, A. J. Roche, A. S. Batsanov and J. A. K. Howard, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2055; L. Ma and D. Dolphin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 2251.
- 2 T. Hino, M. Makagawa, T. Hashisumi, N. Yamagi, Y. Miwa, K. Tsuneaka and S. Akabashi, *Tetrahedron*, 1971, **27**, 775.

Received, 13th November 1996; Com. 6/07723D

