Allylgallation reaction of carbon–carbon triple bonds with allylsilanes and GaCl₃

Masahiko Yamaguchi,*† Takayuki Sotokawa and Masahiro Hirama

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-77, Japan

Treatment of alkynes with allyltrimethylsilanes in the presence of $GaCl_3$ gives 1,4-dienes via allylgallation.

Allylmetallation reactions of carbon–carbon triple bonds are known for organometallic compounds of magnesium, boron, aluminium, indium, zinc, titanium, zirconium and tantalum.¹ Metal-induced allylation reactions with allyltrimethylsilanes were also recently reported.² During our studies on the chemistry of novel organogallium compounds.^{3,4} allylgallation reaction of silylalkynes or alk-1-ynes was found to take place upon treatment with allylsilanes and GaCl₃.

A mixture of an alkynyltrimethylsilane and allyltrimethylsilane in methylcyclohexane was treated with GaCl₃ at room temp. for 30 min. Then methylmagnesium bromide was added at -78 °C and 1-trimethylsilyl-2-substituted-1,4-diene was obtained after aqueous workup (Scheme 1 and Table 1). Although silylalkynes undergo self-dimerization in the presence of GaCl₃,³ the cross-coupling reaction with allysilane is more rapid. C–C bond formation occurs regioselectively at the β -carbon atom of silylalkynes, and the (*E*)-relation between the silyl and allyl groups was determined by NOE. Primary and secondary aliphatic alkynes, and aromatic alkynes reacted



 Table 1 Allylgallation reactions of silylacetylenes and alk-1-ynes

Х	R	Yield (%)
SiMe ₃	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	81 ^a
	$n-C_9H_{19}$	79 ^a
	Bu ^t Me ₂ SiO(CH ₂) ₂	68
	-(CH ₂) ₁₀ -	55 ^b
	cyclo-C ₆ H ₁₁	77a
	Ph	86 ^a
	p-BrC ₆ H ₄	76 ^a
	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	63
SiEt ₃	Н	75
	$cyclo-C_6H_{11}$	30
Н	$n-C_9H_{19}$	74
	Bu ^t Me ₂ SiO(CH ₂) ₂	47 ^c
	<i>n</i> -C ₉ H ₁₉ CHMe	52
	$cyclo-C_6H_{11}$	56
	1-Adamantyl (1-Ad)	56
	Ph	56
	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	45 ^c

^{*a*} (*E*)-Configuration was determined by NOE. ^{*b*} 4 mol equiv. of allylsilane was used, and yield of diallylated product is shown. ^{*c*} Reaction was conducted in chlorobenzene.

smoothly. A tertiary aliphatic alkyne, however, was inert to the reaction. Triethylsilylethyne also reacted at the β -carbon atom giving a 1-silylpenta-1,4-diene with (E)-configuration (J 18.6)Hz). Since reaction of triethylsilylalkynes and allyltrimethylsilane gave 1-triethylsilylpenta-1,4-dienes and not trimethylsilyl derivatives, the silvl groups in the products are derived from silylalkynes. The allylation took place with alk-1-ynes as well as silvlalkynes giving 2-substituted-1,4-dienes. Aliphatic and aromatic alk-1-ynes including a tertiary alkyl derivative could be allylated. The reaction was also carried out in other noncoordinating solvents such as benzene, chlorobenzene and dichloromethane. Some examples are shown in Table 1 in which chlorobenzene gave better results than methylcyclohexane because of the higher solubility of the substrates. Disubstituted alkynes, diphenylacetylenes and oct-4-yne, gave rise to oligomerization, and allylation products could not be detected.

Deuteriation experiments indicated the formation of vinylgallium intermediates (Scheme 2). Thus, the present allylation takes place *via* allylgallation with carbon–carbon triple bonds. The organometal treatment before the aqueous workup is essential to attain satisfactory yields, which probably is required for the effective protonation of the vinylgallium intermediates.⁴ While the allylgallation of silylalkynes gave *cis*-addition products, mixtures of isomers were obtained from alk-1-ynes depending on the substituents. *cis*-Addition took place predominantly with secondary and a tertiary alk-1-ynes, while comparable amounts of *trans* isomers formed for primary and aryl derivatives. The stereochemistries appear to reflect the relative thermodynamic stability of *E*- and *Z*-vinylgallium intermediates.

Substituted allylsilanes added to alkynes at the more hindered site irrespective of the structure of the starting organosilicon compounds. A 1:1 mixture of but-2-enylsilane and but-3-en-2-ylsilane⁵ gave 3-methyl-1,4-diene exclusively (Scheme 3). Both oct-1-en-3-ylsilane **1** and oct-2-ene-1-ylsilane **2**⁶ reacted similarly (Scheme 4).

Two mechanisms (I or II) are conceivable for the allylgallation reaction: (I) formation of an allylgallium intermediate by the transmetallation of allylsilane with $GaCl_3$ followed by the



Chem. Commun., 1997 743

addition to a carbon-carbon triple bond or (II) attack of allylsilane to alkyne activated by GaCl₃, the structure of which can be either open or bridged (Scheme 5). Our recent studies showed both examples of the carbogallation reaction. The dimerization reaction of silvlalkynes with GaCl₃ may involve an alkynylgallium intermediate formed by the transmetallation reaction (mechanism I).³ In the aromatic β -silylvinylation reaction, attack of aromatic hydrocarbons to GaCl3-activated silylethyne is a probable pathway (mechanism II).4 The regioselectivity of substituted allylsilanes can be a probe to discriminate between the two mechanisms in the present system. Isomeric allylsilanes would give the same products via mechanism I provided that the allyl transposition of the allylgallium intermediate is rapid enough. Mechanism II would involve an $S_E 2'$ pathway via allyl transposition of allylsilanes; C–C bond formation taking place at the γ -carbon atom of the silicon group. The reactions of substituted allylsilanes are consistent with mechanism I (Scheme 4). The lower yield in the reaction of 2 compared to 1 may be due to the inefficiency of the transmetallation. Well documented cis-stereochemistry of such







Scheme 5 Possible mechanisms of the allylgallation reaction

Allylmetallation reactions of other group 13 elements except for gallium are known. It is now known that allylgallation takes place with both silylalkynes and alk-1-ynes. Treatment of alk-1-ynes with allylsilane and a catalytic amount of $AlCl_3$ or EtAlCl₂ was recently reported to give the allylsilylation product. Jung proposed a mechanism involving allylation of silicon-stabilized vinyl cations,^{2a} while Yamamoto proposed allylalumination related to our mechanism II (Scheme 5).^{2b} In spite of the use of a similar allylating reagent system, allylsilane and a Lewis acid of the group 13 element, different mechanisms are proposed in these reactions and for our reaction. Determination of the precise mechanisms will be subject of future work.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, Japan (No. 07554065 and 08404050). Generous gifts of silicon reagents from Shin-Etsu Chemicals Co., LTD. are also gratefully acknowledged.

Footnotes

* E-mail: yama@mail.pharm.tohoku.ac.jp

† Present address: Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-77, Japan.

[‡] Typical experimental procedure: under an argon atmosphere, a 1.0 m solution of GaCl₃ in methylcyclohexane (1 ml, 1.0 mmol) was added dropwise over 10 min to a mixture of 1-trimethylsilyl-2-cyclohexyl-acetylene (0.18 g, 1.0 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (0.23 g, 2.0 mmol) in methylcyclohexane (10 ml) at room temp. After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and 0.92 m methylmagnesium bromide in thf (6.4 ml, 6.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The cooling bath was removed, and stirring was continued for 1 h at room temp. Water was then added, and organic materials were extracted twice with hexane. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) gave (*E*)-1-trimethylsilyl-2-cyclohexylpenta-1,4-diene (0.17 g, 77%).

References

- (a) Reviews: J. F. Normant and A. Alexakis, Synthesis, 1981, 841; 1 P. Knochel, Carbometallation of Alkenes and Alkynes, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost, 1991, vol. 4, ch. 4.4, p. 865; (b) Mg. K. Okada, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 6076; (c) Zn. E. Negishi and J. A. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 6761; G. A. Molander, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 5409; (d) Y. N. Bubnov, M. S. Grigorian, A. V. Tsyban' and B. M. Mikhailov, Synthesis, 1980, 902; Y. N. Bubnov, A. V. Tsyban' and B. M. Mikhailov, Synthesis, 1980, 904; D. A. Singleton, S. C. Waller, Z. Zhang, D. F. Frantz and S.-W. Leung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 9986; (e) Al. J. A. Miller and E. Negishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 5863; (f) In. S. Araki, A. Imai, K. Shimizu, M. Yamada, A. Mori and Y. Butsugan, J. Org. Chem., 1995, **60**, 1841; (g) Ti. J. J. Eisch and M. P. Boleslawski, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1987, **334**, Cl; (h) Zr. T. Takahashi, N. Suzuki, M. Kageyama, D. Y. Kondakov and R. Hara, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 4811; (i) Ta. K. Takai, M. Yamada, H. Odaka, K. Utimoto, T. Fujii and I. Furukawa, Chem. Lett., 1995, 315.
- 2 (a) S. H. Yeon, J. S. Han, E. Hong, Y. Do and I. N. Jung, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, **499**, 159; (b) N. Asao, E. Yoshikawa and Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 1996, **61**, 4874.
- 3 M. Yamaguchi, A. Hayashi and M. Hirama, Chem. Lett., 1995, 1093.
- 4 M. Yamaguchi, Y. Kido, A. Hayashil and M. Hirama, *Angew. Chem.*, in the press.
- 5 H. Šakurai, Y. Kudo and H. Miyoshi, *Bull. Chem. Soc., Jpn.*, 1976, **49**, 1433; A. Hosomi, A. Shirahata and H. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1978, 901.
- 6 J. G. Smith, S. E. Drozda, S. P. Petraglia, N. R. Quinn, E. M. Rice, B. S. Taylor and M. Viswanathan, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 4112.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 23rd January 1997; Com. 7/00552K