## Selective conversion of bromo oxirane into tetrahydropyranylacrylate by epoxide ring opening

Munetaka Tokumasu, Asami Sasaoka, Ryukichi Takagi, Yoshikazu Hiraga and Katsuo Ohkata\*†

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739, Japan

In order to construct the tetrahydropyran ring of rhopaloic acid A and hippospongic acid A, the ring-expansion of methyl 2-bromomethyl-6,7-epoxyhept-2-enoate with various acids was studied; treatment of the bromo oxirane with Lewis acids (TiBr<sub>4</sub>, MgBr<sub>2</sub> and ZnBr<sub>2</sub>) afforded a tetrahydrofuran derivative as the major product, while reaction of the oxirane with silver salt (AgNO<sub>3</sub>/KPF<sub>6</sub>) gave a tetrahydropyran derivative as the major product.

Marine sponges are known to produce structurally and bioactively interesting terpenoids such as rhopaloic acid A (1) and hippospongic acid A (2) which exhibit potent inhibition in gastrulation of starfish (Asterina pectinifera) embryos.<sup>1,2</sup> In particular, rhopaloic acid A, which was isolated from a marine sponge, Rhopaloeides sp., exhibited potent cytotoxicities in vitro against human myeloid cells, human leukemia cells, etc.1 The interesting structure of these compounds consists of a hydrophilic pyranylacrylic acid moiety and a hydrophobic isoprenoid part. The interest in the structural features and potential of 1 and 2 and their analogues as probes of biological activities prompted us to undertake the selective synthesis of the pyranylacrylate moiety, 2-(1-methoxycarbonylvinyl)tetrahydropyran derivative 3.

Our synthetic strategy consists of ring-expansion of oxiranes into tetrahydropyran rings followed by connection of various hydrophobic aliphatic chains (Scheme 1). The conversion of oxirane compounds to cyclic ethers is an interesting and useful synthetic methodology. Although extensive work has been reported on *endo*-cyclization of epoxy alcohols,<sup>3</sup> the ring expansion reaction of the epoxy group has hardly been investigated.<sup>4</sup> Recently, Murai and co-workers reported a method for the synthesis of the tetrahydropyran ring by the selective opening of the oxirane ring without directing groups by utilizing the epoxy group as a nucleophile.<sup>4b</sup> Here we describe the selective expansion of the oxirane ring into the tetrahydropyran ring along with simultaneous formation of an acrylate moiety.

Baylis–Hillman reaction of pent-4-enal with methyl acrylate afforded the corresponding ester 4 in 79% yield. Bromination of 4 with  $CBr_4$ –PPh<sub>3</sub> gave bromo ester 5 as a 1:1 mixture of geometric isomers in 52% yield. Epoxidation of 5 with MCPBA furnished epoxy bromo ester 6 in 85% yield (Scheme 2). Treatment of **6** with various acids afforded ring expansion products (tetrahydropyran and tetrahydrofuran derivatives **7a–c** and **8a–c**) and ring-opened products (**9a,b** and **10a,b**), Scheme 3 and Table 1. The product distribution was dependent upon the ring-opening reagents. Reaction of **6** (*E/Z*, 1:1 mixture) with 47% aqueous HBr in THF afforded a mixture of ring-opened products **9b** (11%) and **10b** (57%). Treatment of **6** with TiCl<sub>4</sub> gave the analogous chlorides **9a** (47%) and **10a** (44%), while reaction of **6** with TiBr<sub>4</sub> gave **8b** (46%), **9b** (14%) and **10b** (32%). Reactions of **6** with MgBr<sub>2</sub> and ZnBr<sub>2</sub> gave tetrahydrofuran derivative **8b** as a mixture of *cis/trans* isomers in 52 and 64% yields, respectively. The *cis/trans* ratio of the furan derivatives varied slightly depending on the Lewis acid used.

Since proton acid (HBr) and Lewis acids such as TiCl<sub>4</sub>, TiBr<sub>4</sub>, MgBr<sub>2</sub> and ZnBr<sub>2</sub> are considered to be oxophilic, it is reasonable to assume that the acids reacted with the epoxy group to give the intermediate **11**, followed by inter- or intramolecular nucleophilic attack to give **8a,b**, **9a,b** and **10a,b** (Scheme 3). On the other hand, the silver salt, which is known to have a high halide affinity, might be expected to eliminate bromide ion together with concomitant opening of the epoxy group in **6**. In fact, treatment of **6** with a mixed reagent (AgNO<sub>3</sub>-KPF<sub>6</sub>) in Et<sub>2</sub>O-H<sub>2</sub>O (100:1) selectively gave tetrahydropyran derivative **7c** (11%; *cis/trans* = 0.4:1). The use of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as solvent resulted in an increase in yield. The relative stereochemistry of the diastereoisomers was determined by the vicinal coupling patterns.<sup>‡</sup>§ In the silver-promoted reaction, the



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i, methyl acrylate, DABCO, room temp., 2 weeks, 79%; ii, PPh<sub>3</sub>, CBr<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp., 30 min, 52%; iii, MCPBA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temp., 48 h, 85%



Scheme 1



Table 1 Reaction of bromo e	poxide 6	with HBr	and Lewis	acids
-----------------------------	----------	----------	-----------	-------

					Product yield (%) (cis/trans ratio) <sup>a</sup>			
Entry	Reagent	Solvent	Conditions	Х	7	8	9	10
1	47% aq HBr	THF	25 °C, 6 h	Br	0	0	11	57
2	TiCl <sub>4</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−78 °C, 6 h/25 °C, 6 h	Cl	0	0	47	44
3	TiBr <sub>4</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-78 °C, 3 h/25 °C, 10 h	Br	0	46 (5:1)	14	32
4	MgBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>2</sub> O	−78 °C, 3 h/25 °C, 8 h	Br	0	52(1:1)	12	15
5	ZnBr <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−78 °C, 6 h/25 °C, 6 h	Br	0	64(0.4:1)	10	9
6	AgNO <sub>3</sub> /KPF <sub>6</sub>	$Et_2O-H_2O(100:1)$	25 °C, 18 h	$ONO_2$	11(0.4:1)	0	0	0
7	AgNO <sub>3</sub> /KPF <sub>6</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	25 °C, 6 h	ONO <sub>2</sub>	56 (0.5:1)	0	0	0

<sup>a</sup> The cis/trans ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

bridged oxonium ion 12 can be considered to form in the initial step by the intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the epoxy group. Then, the *endo*-attack to the intermediate 12 by nucleophile (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) would produce 2-tetrahydropyranylacrylate derivative 7c.<sup>4-6</sup>

The synthetic methodology shown above could be applied to synthesis of not only rhopaloic acid A (1), hippospongic acid A (2) and their analogues, but also to other natural products containing 5- and 6-membered oxygen heterocycles.<sup>3</sup>

NMR and MS measurements were made using JEOL GSX-270 and SX-102A spectrometers, respectively, at the Instrument Center for Chemical Analysis, Hiroshima University.

## Footnotes

† E-mail: ohkata@alpha01.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

<sup>‡</sup> Typical experimental procedure: to a suspension of AgNO<sub>3</sub> (70 mg, 0.4 mmol) and KPF<sub>6</sub> (80 mg, 0.4 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was added **6** (10 mg, 0.04 mmol). After stirring for 6 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was poured into 5% aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The extracts were dried and evaporated and the residue was then purified by preparative TLC on silica gel (EtOAc–hexane, 3 : 7 v/v). Each geometric isomer, *trans*-**7c** and *cis*-**7c**, was obtained by recycle HPLC [Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd., JAIGEL-H (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)].

All new compounds were fully characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and gave satisfactory high resolution mass spectra. Correct elemental analyses were also obtained for selected compounds. Selected spectroscopic data for trans-7c: v(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1717 (CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 1625, 1277 (NO<sub>2</sub>); δ<sub>H</sub>(270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.31 (dd, J 1.5, 1.0, 1 H), 5.98 (t, J 1.5, 1 H), 5.00 (m, 1 H), 4.29 (ddd, J 13.2, 2.5, 2.0, 1 H), 4.28 (dddd, J 9.3, 2.0, 1.5, 1.0, 1 H), 3.80 (dd, J 13.2, 1.5, 1 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 2.25-2.15 (m, 1 H), 2.09-1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.66-1.51 (m, 1 H); δ<sub>C</sub>(270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 166.1, 140.9, 124.0, 78.0, 75.4, 74.3, 51.8, 31.8, 27.8 (HRMS: found, 185.0815 [M - NO2]+. Calc. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 185.0814). For *cis*-7c: v(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1720 (CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 1637, 1280 (NO<sub>2</sub>);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.25 (t, *J* 1.5, 1 H), 5.94 (dd, *J* 1.5, 1.0, 1 H), 4.75 (tdd, J 6.8, 1.5, 1.0, 1 H), 4.61 (dd, J 11.7, 3.9, 1 H), 4.50 (dd, J 11.7, 5.9, 1 H), 4.29 (m, 1 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 2.41-2.31 (m, 1 H), 2.15-2.05 (m, 1 H), 1.81–1.67 (m, 2 H); δ<sub>C</sub>(270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 166.0, 140.6, 125.3, 76.1, 74.9, 68.2, 51.8, 26.8, 26.3 (HRMS: found, 185.0820 [M - NO2]+. Calc. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 185.0814).

§ It is considered that the *cis* isomer exists as a nearly equimolar mixture of two indistinguishable conformers, whereas the *trans* isomer consists of two

unequally populated conformers, the predominant e,e conformer and the much less abundant a,a conformer which has axial vinyl and nitro groups. The methine proton at C-2 of the tetrahydropyran ring shows a distinct difference in pattern between *cis*- and *trans*-7c. The axial disposition of a methine proton of *trans*-7c ( $\delta$  4.28) is clearly indicated by its coupling constants, which consist of an axial–axial (*J* 9.3), an axial–equatorial (*J* 2.0) and two allyl <sup>4</sup>*J* couplings (*J* 1.5 and 1.0). On the other hand, the coupling constants of the methine proton of *cis*-7c ( $\delta$  4.75) consist of an averaged vicinal (t, *J* 6.8) and two allyl <sup>4</sup>*J* couplings (*J* 1.5 and 1.0) since the tetrahydropyran ring of *cis*-7c is more flexible compared with that of *trans*-7c.

## References

- S. Ohta, M. Uno, M. Yoshimura, Y. Hiraga and S. Ikegami, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 2265.
- 2 S. Ohta, M. Uno, M. Tokumasu, Y. Hiraga and S. Ikegami, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 7765.
- W. C. Still and A. G. Romero, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2105;
   S. L. Schreiber, T. Sammakia, B. Hulin and G. Schulte, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2106;
   S. T. Russel, J. A. Robinson and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 351;
   K. C. Nicolaou, C. V. C. Prasad, P. K. Somers and C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5330;
   K. C. Nicolaou, C. V. C. Prasad, P. K. Somers and C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5335;
   T. Suzuki, O. Sato and M. Hirama, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 4747;
   T. Hudlicky and G. Barbieri, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 4598;
   I. Paterson, R. D. Tillyer and J. B. Smaill, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 7137;
   C. Mukai, Y. Sugimoto, Y. Ikeda and M. Hanaoka, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 2183.
- 4 (a) H. G. Richey, Jr. and D. V. Kinsman, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1969, 2505;
  (b) N. Hayashi, K. Fujiwara and A. Murai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 341;
  N. Hayashi, K. Fujiwara and A. Murai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 6173.
- 5 L. A. Paquette and M. K. Scott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6760.
- 6 T. Nakata, G. Schmid, B. Vranesic, M. Okigawa, T. Smith- Palmer and Y. Kishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, **100**, 2933; F. David, J. Org. Chem., 1981, **46**, 3512; E. Alvarez, M. T. Díaz, R. Pérez, J. L. Ravelo, A. Regueiro, J. A. Vera, D. Zurita and J. D. Martín, J. Org. Chem., 1994, **59**, 2848; T. Nakata, S. Nomura and H. Matsukura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 213; T. Nakata, S. Nomura, H. Matsukura and M. Morimoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 217.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 4th February 1997; Com. 7/00788D