A novel organometallic oxide cluster with multi-valley sites: synthesis and structure of $[NBu^n_4]_2[\{(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Rh\}_2Mo_6O_{20}(OMe)_2]$ and its framework transformations

Satoshi Takara, Takanori Nishioka, Isamu Kinoshita and Kiyoshi Isobe*

Department of Material Science, Faculty of Science, Osaka City University, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan

A novel organometallic oxide cluster $[NBu^n_4]_2[\{(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)Rh\}_2Mo_6O_{20}(OMe)_2]$ 1 with multi-valley sites is synthesized by the reaction of $[NBu^n_4]_2[Mo_2O_7]$ and $\{(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)RhCl(\mu - Cl)\}_2]$ in a molar ratio of 4:1 in methanol, and displays unique framework transformations upon treatment with organometallic and oxometalate compounds.

Theoretical studies on catalytic hydrocarbon transformation on metal oxides showed that coordinatively unsaturated metal sites and valley sites in integrated cubic cluster models play an important role for activation of hydrocarbons.¹ Indeed, organometallic oxide clusters, such as the incomplete cubane-type cluster [{(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh}₂Mo₃O₉(OMe)₄] **2**,^{2*a*} the face-shared triply fused cubane-type cluster [{(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh}₄Mo₄O₁₆] **3**,^{2*b*} the valley-site structure [{(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh}₄V₆O₁₉]^{2*c*,*d*} and the planar cluster [NBuⁿ₄]₂[(η^3 -C₄H₇)₂Rh}₂V₄O₁₂]^{2*f*} supported on silica, exhibit significant catalytic abilities and higher selectivities for oxidation and metathesis of propene.³ Thus, our current efforts concentrate on the design and development of synthetic strategies for new organometallic oxide clusters with active sites owing to characteristic structures such as lacunary, incomplete cubic, or valley-site frameworks.^{2*a*-*e*}

Here, we report on the structure and synthesis of the organometallic oxide cluster $[NBun_4]_2[\{(\eta-C_5Me_5)Rh\}_2-Mo_6O_{20}(OMe)_2]$ **1** with multi-valley sites and its framework transformations.

A mixture of $[NBu^{n}_{4}]_{2}[Mo_{2}O_{7}]^{4}$ (2.55 g, 3.24 mmol) and $[\{(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})RhCl(\mu-Cl)\}_{2}]^{5}$ (0.50 g, 0.81 mmol) in 20 ml of methanol was allowed to stand for 4 h with stirring at room temp. and concentrated to 5 ml. Diethyl ether (80 ml) was then added slowly to give an orange precipitate of **1**. The crude product is recrystallized from methanol–diethyl ether (1.22 g, 79% yield based on Rh).† The formation of **1** may proceed according to eqn. (1).‡

 $\begin{array}{l} [\{(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)RhCl(\mu\text{-}Cl)\}_2] + 3[NBu^n_4]_2[Mo_2O_7] + 2MeOH \\ \rightarrow [NBu^n_4]_2[\{(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Rh\}_2Mo_6O_{20}(OMe)_2] \end{array}$

$$+ 4[NBu^{n}_{4}]Cl + H_{2}O$$
(1)

An X-ray analysis of **1** reveals that the anion of **1** has C_i symmetry and is composed of four MoO₆ and two MoO₅(μ -OMe) octahedra interlinked along edges and two (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh groups each sharing two edges with the octahedra (Fig. 1).§ The framework of **1** is in some respects similar to that of the γ -[Mo₈O₂₆]⁴⁻ isomer found in [(Me₃N(CH₂)₆NMe₃]₂-[Mo₈O₂₆]·2H₂O, which also has C_i symmetry and is composed of six MoO₆ octahedra interlinked along edges with the octahedra.⁶ The structure of **1** can be regarded as a framework which contains two (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh(μ -OMe) groups rather than two MoO₅ trigonal bipyramids. It is noteworthy that (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Rh moieties and methoxy groups in the framework of **1** form two unique large valley sites, constructed by Rh(1)Mo-(3*)Mo(1)Mo(2*) and Rh(1*)Mo(3)Mo(1*)Mo(2), while two small ones are constructed by Mo(1)Mo(2)Mo(3) and Mo-

(1*)Mo(2*)Mo(3*). For these two kinds of valley sites, a spacefilling model¶ suggests that the small sites are much more open with exposed highly basic oxygens [O(2), O(4), O(8)], whereas the larger ones are rimmed with bulky pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, methoxy and Mo=O groups so as to form shallow cavities.

Bearing in mind that 1 might show high reactivity due to the presence of valley sites, we have been prompted to investigate the reactivity of 1 towards organometallic (electrophile) and oxometalate (nucleophile) compounds. Consequently, cluster framework transformations of 1 occur in both, for example, by treatments with $\{(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Rh\}^{2+}$ and with $[Mo_2O_7]^{2-}$ in methanol to produce the incomplete double cubane-type cluster of 2 and the triply fused cubane-type cluster of 3, respectively (Scheme 1). The basic bridging oxygens [O(2), O(4), O(8)] in the small valley sites can interact freely with metal cations, hence in the formation of 2, landing of the added $\{(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Rh\}^{2+}$ cations on the small valley sites probably triggers an initial step of the transformation. On the other hand for the formation of 3, the added $[Mo_2O_7]^{2-}$ anions will approach the rhodium(iii) centres in the shallow cavities by electrostatic force of attraction: the parts of Rh^{III} centres in the shallow cavities are most exposed to attack by nucleophiles. By the action of an excess of [Mo2O7]2- some of the weak Rh-O



Fig. 1 Structure of the anion in 1. Selected bond lengths (Å): Mo(1)-O(1) 1.696(3), Mo(1)-O(2) 1.744(3), Mo(1)-O(3) 2.152(3), Mo(1)-O(4) 1.928(3), Mo(1)-O(7) 1.916(3), Mo(2)-O(4) 2.178(3), Mo(2)-O(5) 1.695(4), Mo(2)-O(6) 1.708(3), Mo(2)-O(7) 2.299(3), Mo(2)-O(8) 1.892(3), Mo(2)-O(11) 1.411(6), Mo(3)-O(2) 2.363(3), Mo(3)-O(3) 1.908(3), Mo(3)-O(7) 2.277(3), Mo(3)-O(8) 1.946(3), Mo(3)-O(9) 1.703(4), Mo(3)-O(10) 1.704(4), Rh(1)-O(3) 2.068(3), Rh(1)-O(4) 2.100(3), Rh(1)-O(11) 2.109(3).

Chem. Commun., 1997 891



Scheme 1 Rh = Rh(η^5 -C₅Me₅). Reagents and conditions: i, [{(η^5 -C₅Me₅)RhCl(μ -Cl)}] 1 equiv., MeOH, 27 °C; ii, [NBuⁿ₄]₂[Mo₂O₇] 4 equiv., MeOH, 27 °C; iii, *p*-hydroquinone, MeOH, reflux; see ref. 2(*a*).

[*e.g.* Rh(1)–O(11) and Rh(1)–O(3)] bonds in the large valley sites may be cleaved to cause a new condensation of oxomolybdates on the vacant sites of the $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Rh centres to afford the most stable species, the triply fused cubane-type cluster [{ $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Rh}_4Mo_4O_{16}$] **3**. Although the transformations mentioned above to form **2** and **3** might contain complicated steps to be elucidated, the unique valley sites of **1** can fulfil its function to initiate the framework transformations and cluster **1** may act as a convenient molecular platform towards other cationic and anionic transition-metal fragments. The transformations are also particularly important for constructing new oxide cluster architecture; the multi-valley sites cluster **1** is a prominent building block for preparation of larger clusters.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Professors Atsushi Yagasaki (Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan) and Vadim Yu. Kukushkin (St. Petersburg State University, Russian Federation) for invaluable discussions. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research (No. 07404038) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan and the Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from The Japan Science Society.

Footnotes

* E-mail: isobe@cubane.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

† The cluster was analysed satisfactorily (C, H, N) for 1. Selected IR data (1, Nujol) ν/cm^{-1} 440w, 487w, 522m, 638m, 680s, 742m, 858s, 900s, 1040m, 1063m, 1162w, 1663m, 3500m (br).

‡ Excess dimolybdate acts as a base for deprotonation of methanol molecules coordinated to molybdenum atoms. 1 Equiv. of dimolybdate is insufficient to produce **3**, formation of **3** needing 4 equiv. of $[Mo_2O_7]^{2-}$ relative to **1**, as described in Scheme 1.

§ Crystal data for C₅₄H₁₀₈Mo₆N₂O₂₂Rh₂, 1: single crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a methanol solution. An orange crystal of dimensions $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm was mounted on a glass fibre. Cluster 1 crystallizes in the trigonal space group $R\overline{3}$ (no. 148) with a = 41.954(3), c = 12.925(3) Å, U = 19701(4) Å³, Z = 9, and $D_c = 1.455$ g cm⁻³. A total of 10722 reflections were collected on a Rigaku AFC-7 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation *via* a ω -scan technique in the limit of $2\theta \le 55.0^{\circ}$ at 296 K. The reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were corrected for Lorentz-polarization factors but not for extinction. An absorption correction was applied using an empirical ψ -scan method. All crystallographic calculations were carried out using teXsan programs. The positions of the rhodium and molybdenum atoms were obtained by SHELXS86 direct methods. The remaining atoms were located on difference Fourier maps. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at calculated positions and used for structure-factor calculations. 388 variables were refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques using 5960 independent reflections and converged to R = 0.035, $R_w = 0.041$ and GOF = 1.21. A final difference Fourier map yielded $\Delta \rho_{max} = +0.40$ e Å⁻³, $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38$ e Å⁻³. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths, angles and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 182/428.

¶ Available as supplementary data.

Complexes 2 and 3 were identified by spectroscopic data; see ref. 2(a) and 2(b).

References

- K. Sawabe, N. Koga, K. Morokuma and Y. Iwasawa, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 97, 6871; R. Cain, L. J. Matienzo and F. Emmi, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 29, 228.
- 2 (a) Y. Do, X.-Z. You, C. Zhang, Y. Ozawa and K. Isobe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, **113**, 5892; (b) Y. Hayashi, K. Toriumi and K. Isobe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, **110**, 3666; (c) Y. Hayashi, Y. Ozawa and K. Isobe, Inorg. Chem., 1991, **30**, 1025; (d) Chem. Lett., 1989, 425; (e) K. Isobe and A. Yagasaki, Acc. Chem. Res., 1993, **26**, 524; (f) H. Akashi, K. Isobe, Y. Ozawa and A. Yagasaki, J. Cluster. Sci., 1991, **2**, 291.
- 3 M. Ichikawa, W. Pan, Y. Imada, M. Yamaguchi, K. Isobe and T. Shido, J. Mol. Catal., A, 1996, **107**, 23; Y. Imada, T. Shido, H. Ohtani, K. Isobe and M. Ichikawa, Chem. Lett., 1995, 717; K. Takahashi, M. Yamaguchi, T. Shido, H. Ohtani, K. Isobe and M. Ichikawa, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1301.
- 4 N. H. Hur, W. G. Klemperer and R.-C. Wang, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1990, 27, 79.
- 5 C. White, A. Yates and P. M. Maitlis, Inorg. Synth., 1992, 29, 228.
- 6 M. L. Niven, J. J. Cruywagen and J. B. B. Heyns, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 2007.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 7th March 1997; Com. 7/01618B