## New rhodacyclopentane with phenylvinylidene substituents, *mer*-[Rh{CH<sub>2</sub>C(=CHPh)C(=CHPh)CH<sub>2</sub>}Cl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]. Structure and formation through concerted cycloaddition of phenylallene molecules to [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]

## Kohtaro Osakada,\* Jun-Chul Choi, Susumu Sarai, Take-aki Koizumi and Takakazu Yamamoto\*

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226, Japan

[RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] reacts with an excess of phenylallene to give <u>a</u> new rhodacyclopentane, mer-[Rh{CH<sub>2</sub>C(=CHPh)-C(=CHPh)CH<sub>2</sub>]Cl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] 1, which is not obtained via the reaction of [RhCl( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=C=CHPh)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] 2 with phenylallene.

Several complexes of Ti, Ta, Co, Ir and Ni have been reported to undergo addition of two alkene molecules to give the corresponding saturated metallacyclopentanes.<sup>1</sup> Similar formation of metallacycles from the 2:1 reaction of allene and transition-metal complexes is much less common,<sup>2</sup> while the reaction is involved as a crucial step in cyclooligomerization of allene or substituted allene promoted by metal complexes.<sup>3</sup> Chlororhodium(I) complexes catalyze polymerization<sup>4</sup> or cyclooligomerization<sup>5</sup> of 1,2-dienes depending on the ligands bonded to the Rh center. Formation of a rhodacyclopentane from allene would be intriguing in relation to the the mechanism of the above cyclooligomerization of the 1,2-dienes catalyzed by Rh complexes. Here, we report the addition of phenylallene to [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], to give a novel rhodacyclopentane which is structurally characterized.

[RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] reacts with 3 equiv. of phenylallene to give mer-[Rh{CH<sub>2</sub>C(=CHPh)C(=CHPh)CH<sub>2</sub>}Cl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] **1** as shown in eqn. (1).†



The reaction mixture does not contain any other rhodacyclopentanes that would be formed through addition of phenylallene in a different orientation of the double bonds. Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure of 1 which has an octahedral coordination around the Rh center with three PMe3 ligands at meridional coordination sites.<sup>‡</sup> Three C-C bond distances in the five-membered chelate ring are quite similar, while the Rh-C(1)bond [2.16(1) Å] is longer than the Rh–C(10) bond [2.061(1) Å]owing to a larger trans influence of PMe<sub>3</sub> relative to Cl. The C-C bond distances [1.49(2) Å] are significantly longer than the corresponding bond of the platinacyclopentane prepared from dimerization of cycloheptatetraene in the presence of a platinum(0) complex.<sup>2b</sup> Both of the two C=C double bonds in 1 have Z structure owing to less steric congestion around the s-cis diene moiety than the other possible geometry of the double bonds.

The  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum gives rise to signals due to the CH<sub>2</sub> carbons at  $\delta$  22.26 and 13.21. The former peak, with a large J(CP) value (77 Hz), is assigned to the carbon bonded *trans* to PMe<sub>3</sub> and the latter to carbon *trans* to Cl. Two <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals due to the CH<sub>2</sub> hydrogens are observed at  $\delta$  3.02 and 2.11, which are assigned to the CH<sub>2</sub> group *trans* to PMe<sub>3</sub> and *trans* to Cl, respectively, based on the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C COSY spectrum. The other <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals as well as the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR

spectrum are in accord with the structure obtained by X-ray crystallography.

Reaction of a reduced amount of phenylallene with [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] has been examined in order to obtain an insight for the pathway of reaction (1). Reaction in a 1.2:1 ratio in hexane results in the formation of [RhCl( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=C=CHPh)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] **2** which is isolated as the hexane insoluble product.§



Fig. 2 shows the molecular structure of **2** which shows a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination around the Rh centre with P(1) and P(3) at the apical positions.<sup>‡</sup> Elongation of the  $\pi$ -coordinated C=C double bond [CH<sub>2</sub>=C 1.406(9), C=CHPh



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of 1 at 30% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Rh–Cl 2.503(3), Rh–P(1) 2.331(5), Rh–P(2) 2.326(4), Rh–P(3) 2.368(3), Rh–C(1) 2.16(1), Rh–C(10) 2.06(1), C(1)–C(2) 1.49(2), C(2)–C(3) 1.38(2), C(2)–C(11) 1.49(2), C(10)–C(11) 1.49(2), C(11)–C(12) 1.35(2); Cl–Rh–P(1) 86.9(1), Cl–Rh–P(2) 85.5(1), Cl–Rh–P(3) 95.8(1), Cl–Rh–C(1) 94.3(4), Cl–Rh–C(10) 176.3(3), P(1)–Rh–P(2) 168.9(1), P(2)–Rh–P(3) 94.6(2), P(1)–Rh–P(3) 94.1(2), P(1)–Rh–C(1) 94.3(4), P(3)–Rh–C(1) 92.7(4), P(2)–Rh–C(1) 84.1(4), P(2)–Rh–C(10) 94.3(4), P(3)–Rh–C(1) 169.6(4), P(3)–Rh–C(10) 87.8(3), C(1)–Rh–C(10) 82.0(5), Rh–C(1)–C(2) 107.6(9), C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 125(1), C(1)–C(2)–C(11) 111(1), C(11)–C(2)–C(3) 122(1), Rh–C(10)–C(11) 112.6(8), C(10)–C(11) -C(12) 128(1), C(2)–C(11)–C(10) 112(1), C(2)–C(11)–C(12) 119(1).



Fig. 2 ORTEP drawing of 2 at 50% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Rh–Cl 2.540(2), Rh–P(1) 2.320(2), Rh–P(2) 2.323(2), Rh–P(3) 2.325(2), Rh–C(1) 2.126(7), Rh–C(2) 1.988(6), C(1)–C(2) 1.406(9), C(2)–C(3) 1.353(9); Cl–Rh–P(1) 84.79(7), Cl–Rh–P(2) 97.80(7), Cl–Rh–P(3) 83.36(7), Cl–Rh–C(1) 118.3(2), Cl–Rh–C(2) 158.0(2), C(1)–Rh–C(2) 39.8(3), P(1)–Rh–P(2) 96.74(7), P(2)–Rh–P(3) 96.94(7), P(1)–Rh–P(3) 162.98(7), C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 142.1(7).



1.353(9) Å] as well as a bend of the cumulated double bonds [C(1)-C(2)-C(3) 142.1(7)°] indicate the presence of significant back-donation from the Rh center to the phenylallene ligand. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **2** above 25 °C shows partial liberation of a PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand to afford a square-planar rhodium(I) complex [Rh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=C=CHPh)Cl(PMe\_3)<sub>2</sub>] **3** which is isolated from the mixture and characterized by X-ray crystallography.¶

Reaction of 2 and phenylallene in a 1:2 molar ratio in toluene for 8 h at 20 °C does not give 1 but causes quantitative recovery of 2 as shown in Scheme 1.

The results are in contrast with previous reports indicating that several transition-metal complexes containing  $\pi$ -coordinated alkenes react further with alkenes to give the corresponding metallacyclopentane.<sup>1d,f,g</sup> The present study has disclosed that the  $\pi$ -coordinated phenylallene complex **2**, with an 18 electron Rh center, is not a precursor of the metallacycle **1** in reaction (1) and that formation of **1** proceeds through direct cycloaddition of two phenylallene molecules to [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>].

This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

## Footnotes

\* E-mail: kosakada@res.titech.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup> To a toluene (8 ml) solution of [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (158 mg, 0.43 mmol) was added phenylallene (150 mg, 1.3 mmol) at room temp. The initial pale yellow solution gradually turned pale brown accompanied by deposition of an off-white solid which was collected by filtration after the reaction for 8 h, and dried *in vacuo* to give **1** (203 mg, 79%).

‡ Crystal data: for 1:  $C_{27}H_{43}ClP_3Rh$ ,  $M_r = 598.92$ , orthorhombic, space group *Pbca* (no. 61), a = 35.023(6), b = 13.823(3), c = 12.155(2) Å,  $U = 5884 \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 8,  $D_c = 1.353 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 8.37 cm<sup>-1</sup> (graphite-monochromated radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å), F(000) = 2496. The data collection was made on a Rigaku AFC5R diffractometer at ambient temperature (293 K) using  $\omega$  scan mode for Lorentz and polarization effects. An empirical absorption correction ( $\psi$  scan) was applied. Of the unique 5877 reflections with  $2\theta \le 55^\circ$ , 1959 reflections with  $I > 3 \sigma(I)$  were used in the refinement. A total of 289 parameters (non-hydrogen atoms modelled anisotropically) were refined with  $w = [\sigma(F_0)]^{-2}$ . Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealised geometries and included in the structure calculation without refinement of the parameters. The structure converged to R = 0.054and  $R_{\rm w} = 0.044$ . For **2**: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>35</sub>ClP<sub>3</sub>Rh,  $M_{\rm r} = 482.75$ , orthorhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1$  (no. 19), a = 12.926(2), b = 15.979(2), c = 11.307(3) Å,  $U = 2336 \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.373 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 10.36 cm<sup>-1</sup> (graphite-monochromated radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å), F(000) = 1000. The data collection and absorption correction were carried out similarly to 1. Of the unique 2528 reflections with  $2\theta \le 55^\circ$ , 2066 reflections with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were used in the refinement. A total of 208 parameters were refined with  $w = [\sigma(F_o)]^{-2}$  and the structure converged to R = 0.038 and  $R_w = 0.029$ . Treatment of hydrogen atoms is similar to 1. CCDC 182/513.

§ To a hexane (8 ml) dispersion of [RhCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (115 mg, 0.31 mmol) was added phenylallene (44 mg, 0.38 mmol) at room temp. Stirring the mixture for 26 h led to separation of a yellow solid which was collected by filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Recrystallization from thf–hexane afforded **2** as yellow crystals (85 mg, 57%).

¶ Dissociation of PMe<sub>3</sub> from **2** is not thermodynamically favoured. The equilibrium constant for  $\mathbf{2} = \mathbf{3} + PMe_3$  is  $5.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ l mol}^{-1}$  even at 60 °C and is probably much smaller near 25 °C although precise measurement of the equilibrium constant was not feasible. Crystallographic results of **3** which show a square-planar coordination around the Rh center will be reported separately.

## References

- (a) A. R. Fraser, P. H. Bird, S. A. Bezman, J. R. Shapley, R. White and J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, **95**, 597; (b) J. X. McDermott, M. E. Wilson and G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, **98**, 6529; (c) R. H. Grubbs and A. Miyashita, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, **161**, 371; (d) S. J. McLain, C. D. Wood and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, **101**, 4558; (e) S. A. Cohen, P. R. Auburn and J. E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, **105**, 1136; (f) P. Binger, T. R. Martin, R. Benn, A. Rufinska and G. Schroth, Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 1984, **39**, 993; (g) K. Mashima and H. Takaya, Organometallics, 1985, **4**, 1464.
- 2 (a) G. K. Barker, M. Green, J. A. K. Howard, J. L. Spencer and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1978, 1839; (b) W. R. Winchester, M. Gawron, G. J. Palenik and W. M. Jones, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 1894.
- 3 D. J. Pasto, N.-Z. Huang and C. W. Eigenbrot, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 3160.
- 4 S. Otsuka and A. Nakamura, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Lett. Ed., 1967, 5, 973; J. P. Scholten and H. J. van der Ploeg, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 1972, 10, 3067; J. P. Scholten and H. J. van der Ploeg, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 1973, 11, 3205; J. Leland, J. Boucher and K. Anderson, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 1977, 15, 2785.
- 5 F. N. Jones and R. V. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 3838; S. Otsuka, A. Nakamura and H. Minamida, Chem. Commun., 1969, 191; J. P. Scholten and H. J. van der Ploeg, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 1685.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 17th March 1997; Com. 7/01859B