Synthesis and characterization of the first dodecanuclear M–Ag–S cage cluster: [NEt₄]₂[$\{M_2Ag_2S_2O_2(S_2C_2H_4)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)$]·CH₂Cl₂·MeCN (M = Mo, W)

Ping Lin, Xintao Wu,* Wenjian Zhang, Jun Guo, Tianlu Sheng, Quanming Wang and Jiaxi Lu

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China

The first dodecanuclear heterobimetallic cage cluster with a central μ_6 -S ligand, containing the core $[\{M_2Ag_2-S_2O_2(edt)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]^{2-}$ (M = Mo, W; H_2edt = ethane-1,2-dithiol), is synthesized and characterized; the average Ag- μ_6 -S bond length of the core $[\{Mo(W)_2Ag_2-S_2O_2(edt)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]^{2-}$ is 2.528 (2.531) Å.

The chemistry of heterometallic cluster compounds has attracted considerable attention for their uses as models for the active sites in a variety of metalloenzymes and their potential applications as functional materials in several fields.¹ Heterometallic cluster compounds containing $[MS_4]^{2-}/M'^+$ (M = Mo, W; M' = Cu, Ag) have been extensively studied.² At present, some polynuclear M^{VI} -Ag-S (M = Mo, W) cluster compounds such as NEt₄[(PPh₃)₂Ag(µ-S)₂Mo(µ-S)OCu(CN)] (butterfly),³ (cubane-like),4 $[{Ag(PPh_3)}_{3}MoS_4Cl]$ $[Ag_{6}M_{2}S_{6}O_{2} (Me_3CS)_2(PPh_3)_4$] (double-cubane-like),⁵ $[M_2S_8Ag_4(PPh_3)_4]$ (cage),⁶ $[W_4Ag_5S_{16}\cdot Nd(dmf)_8]_n$ (one-dimensional chain)⁷ and $[WS_4Ag \cdot NH_3C(CH_2OH)_3 \cdot H_2O]_n$ (double chain)⁸ have been characterized. A few MV-Ag-S complexes such as [M2Ag- $S_4(edt)_2(PPh_3)$ [- (incomplete cubane-like)⁹ and [{(PPh_3)Ag}_2- $Mo_2S_4(tdt)_2$] (cubane-like)¹⁰ have been reported. However, no non-polymeric M-Ag-S (M = Mo, W) complexes with more than nine metal centers have, as yet, been synthesized.

Herein the syntheses and characterization of novel dodecanuclear heterobimetallic clusters $[NEt_4]_2[\{M_2Ag_2-S_2O_2(S_2C_2H_4)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]\cdot CH_2Cl_2\cdot MeCN (M = Mo 1, W 2)$ with cage cores $[\{M_2Ag_2S_2O_2(S_2C_2H_4)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]^{2-}$ (M = Mo, W) are described, the first molybdenum(v) or tungsten(v)-silver clusters containing μ_6 -S ligand. To our knowledge, this type of cluster containing μ_6 -S is rare in molybdenum or tungsten(v)copper(silver) clusters, the only example being $[NEt_4]_2[Mo_6Cu_6S_6(\mu_6-S)O_6(S_2)_6]$ synthesized by our group;¹¹ reaction of AgNO₃ with $[NEt_4]_2[Mo_2S_2O_2(S_2)_2]$ did not lead to an analogous Mo-Ag complex.

The starting material [NEt₄]₂[Mo₂O₂S₂edt₂] **3** was prepared according to ref. 12 and [NEt₄]₂[W₂S₄(edt)₂] **4** was obtained by published procedure;13 all other chemicals were purchased. Both compounds 1 and 2 were synthesized in the air by the 'spontaneous self-assembly' method. To the solution of [NEt₄]₂[Mo₂S₂O₂(edt)₂] **3** (0.356 g, 0.5 mmol in 20 ml MeCN-20 ml CH₂Cl₂) was added AgNO₃ (0.17 g, 1.0 mmol in 20 ml MeCN-20 ml CH₂Cl₂), and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 min. Then two drops of an (NH₄)₂S solution (containing 8% S) were added, the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min, and the resulting brown precipitate was filtered off. The orange filtrate was allowed to stand at room temp. in the air for 5 days after which 0.06 g of red crystals of 1 were obtained.[†] Similarly, to a solution of $[NEt_4]_2[W_2S_4(edt)_2] 4 (0.470 \text{ g}, 0.5 \text{ mmol in } 20 \text{ ml}$ MeCN-20 ml CH₂Cl₂) was added four drops of aqueous H₂O₂ $(30\% H_2O_2)$ under stirring for 2 h, AgNO₃ (0.17 g, 1.0 mmol in20 ml MeCN-20 ml CH₂Cl₂) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 min. Two drops of (NH₄)S solution were added and the reaction mixture stirred for 5 min, and the resulting brown precipitate was filtered off. The yellow filtrate was allowed to stand at room temp. in the air for 5 days after which 0.07 g of orange crystals of 2 were obtained.[†]

X-Ray structure analysis[†] revealed the existence of the cage core of $[\{M_2Ag_2S_2O_2(edt)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]^{2-}$ (M = Mo, W), a tripolymer consisting of three [M₂S₂O₂(edt)₂]²⁻ and two Ag⁺ fragments, with an S atom in the center binding to six Ag atoms. Cluster 1 is isomorphous with the corresponding tungsten cluster 2 and only the structure of 1 is thus described. An ORTEP drawing of the anion of 1 is shown in Fig. 1. From the configuration of the twelve metal atoms and all the S atoms, the core can be described as a cage with an S^{2-} at its center. The cage has two windows each composed of a puckered $Ag_3S_6C_6$ 15-membered ring which is composed of three Ag atoms combining three $-SC_2H_4S^-$ units; the S^{2-} ion can enter the cage through the window and is coordinated to six Ag atoms, forming μ_6 -S. The Ag- μ_6 -S distances range from 2.504 to 2.556 Å, av. 2.528 Å (in 2 the av. Ag $-\mu_6$ -S length is 2.531 Å). There are three weak Ag-Ag metal-metal bonds with Ag(1)-Ag(2), Ag(3)-Ag(4), Ag(5)-Ag(6) 3.0652, 3.0354, 3.0799 Å, respectively; angles Ag(1)– μ_6 -S–Ag(2), Ag(3)– μ_6 -S–Ag(4), Ag(5)-µ₆-S-Ag(6) are 74.24, 74.13, 75.18°, respectively.

Each Mo atom displays tetragonal-pyramid (*TPY*) coordination geometry, with two S atoms of $-SC_2H_4S^-$ (S_{edt}), two bridging S atoms of binuclear molybdenum (S_{br}) and one terminal oxygen atom. The oxygen atom occupies the axial position of each *TPY* and the four remaining sulfido atoms are



 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:Fig.1} \textbf{Fig. 1} \mbox{ORTEP} \mbox{diagram of } [\{Mo_2Ag_2S_2O_2(edt)_2\}_3(\mu_6-S)]^{2-} (10\% \mbox{displacement ellipsoids}). \mbox{Selected distances (Å) and angles (°): } Mo(1)-Mo(2) \\ 2.8861(14), \mbox{Mo(3)}-Mo(4) 2.8859(13), \mbox{Mo(5)}-Mo(6) 2.8802(14), \mbox{Mo}-S_{edt} \\ (av) 2.438(3), \mbox{Ag}(1)-Ag(2) 3.0652(14), \mbox{Ag}(3)-Ag(4) 3.0354(14), \mbox{Ag}(5)- \\ Ag(6) 3.0799(15), \mbox{Ag}(1)-S 2.556(3), \mbox{Ag}(2)-S 2.523(3), \mbox{Ag}(3)-S 2.533(3), \\ Ag(4)-S 2.504(3), \mbox{Ag}(5)-S 2.539(3), \mbox{Ag}(2)-S 2.510(3), \mbox{Ag}(5)-S 2.694(3), \mbox{Ag}(5)-S 2.539(3), \mbox{Ag}(6)-S 2.510(3), \mbox{Ag}(5)-Z(13), \\ S(3)-\mbox{Ag}(3) 2.863(3), \mbox{S}(4)-\mbox{Ag}(1) 2.853(3), \mbox{S}(5)-\mbox{Ag}(4) 2.889(3), \\ S(6)-\mbox{Ag}(5) 2.758(3); \mbox{Ag}(1)-\mbox{S}-\mbox{Ag}(2) 74.24(8), \mbox{Ag}(3)-\mbox{S}-\mbox{Ag}(4) 74.13(9), \\ \mbox{Ag}(5)-\mbox{S}-\mbox{Ag}(6) 75.18(9), \mbox{S}_{br}-\mbox{Mo}-\mbox{S}_{br} (av) 101.73(11), \mbox{S}_{ed}-\mbox{Mo}-\mbox{S}_{ed} (av) \\ 81.27(11). \end{array}$

Chem. Commun., 1997 1349

equatorial. The average S_{br} -Mo– S_{br} angle is 101.73°. Each Mo atom is chelated by one $-SC_2H_4S^-$ forming a five-membered ring, and the average Mo– S_{edt} and average S_{edt} -Mo– S_{edt} angle are 2.438 Å and 81.27°, respectively. The average Mo–Mo distance of 2.8841 Å is almost the same as in [M₂Ag- S_4 (edt)₂(PPh₃)]⁻⁹ (2.881 Å) and slightly longer than in [NEt₄]₂[Mo₂S₄(edt)₂]¹⁷ (2.863 Å).

Each $-SC_2H_4S^-$ is coordinated by two Ag atoms through its two S atoms with Ag–S_{edt} ranging from 2.496 to 2.694 Å, and the average Ag–S_{edt} distance is 2.584 Å.

It is interesting that the distances of six S_{br} atoms of the three $[Mo_2S_2O_2(edt)_2]$ moieties of cluster 1 to the corresponding Ag atoms are different, and all are longer than the longest Ag-Sedt [Ag(5)-S(16) 2.694(3) Å] or the longest Ag- μ_6 -S distance [Ag(1)-S, 2.556(3) Å]. Two S_{br} atoms of one $[Mo_2S_2O_2(edt)_2]$ are also weakly bonded to the corresponding Ag atoms [S(1)-Ag(6) 2.794(3), S(1)-Ag(2) 2.721(3) Å] while only one S_{br} atom of a second $[Mo_2S_2O_2(edt)_2]$ is bonded [S(6)-Ag(5)]2.758(3) Å], the other S_{br} atom of this $[Mo_2S_2O_2(edt)_2]$ shows a longer Ag. S_{br} non-bonding distance [S(5)-Ag(4) 2.889(3) Å]; for the third $[Mo_2S_2O_2(edt)_2]$, none of S_{br} atom bonds to Ag $[S(4) \cdots Ag(1) 2.853(3), S(3) \cdots Ag(3) 2.863(3) Å]$. The three longer Ag. Sbr non-bonding distances suggest that there is only weak interaction between Ag(1) and S(4), Ag(3) and S(3), Ag(4) and S(5). Therefore, there are three four-coordinate Agatoms in compound 1 [Ag(2), Ag(5), Ag(6)]; (two S_{edt}, one S_{br} and μ_6 -S atom) to give a distorted tetrahedral geometry [angles S-Ag-S are in the wide range $68.00(10)-128.11(10)^{\circ}$]. The other Ag atoms [Ag(1), Ag(3), Ag(4)] are three-coordinate, each being coordinated by three S atoms (μ_6 -S and two S_{edt}).

This research was supported by grants from the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Science Foundations of the Nation and Fujian Province.

Footnotes

* E-mail: wxt@ms.fjirsm.ac.ch

- † Spectroscopic data for 1: IR (KBr pellet): ν/cm^{-1} : $\nu(Mo-\mu-S)$ and $\nu(Mo-\mu_3-S)$ 468.6 m, 439.7 m; $\nu(Mo-O)$ 954.6 s. UV (dmf solution): λ/nm (ε/dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 266 (3.7 × 10⁴), 302 (3.8 × 10⁴).
- For **2**: IR (KBr pellet): ν/cm^{-1} : $\nu(W-\mu-S)$ and $\nu(W-\mu_3-S)$ 464.8 m, 424.3 m; $\nu(W-O)$ 962.3 s. UV (dmf solution): λ/nm ($\varepsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$): 280 (3.17 × 10⁴), 340 (1.45 × 10⁴).

[‡] For all structural analyses, all calculations were performed on a Indyworkstation of a silicon Graphics instrument with SHELXTL program.¹⁴ The structure was solved by direct methods, and the positions of Mo/W and Ag atoms were obtained from E maps. The remaining nonhydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier maps. The refinement of structures was performed by full least-squares techniques on F^2 using SHELXL-93.¹⁵ Data were corrected with program SADABS.¹⁶

Crystal data: 1: C₃₁H₆₉Ag₆Cl₂Mo₆N₃O₆S₁₉, $M_r = 2482.29$, tetragonal, space group $I4_1/a$, a = b = 42.013(4), c = 16.034(2) Å, U = 28301.4 Å³, Z = 16, $D_c = 2.331$ g cm⁻³, T = 293(2) K; λ (Mo-K α) = 0.71073 Å, θ

range 1.93–23.26°. Siemens Smart CCD diffractometer, ω scan. 10141 unique reflections were used in the refinement and 7231 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ used to calculate *R* and R_w . The last successful full-matrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters for Mo, Ag, S, O, C atoms of the cluster core, isotropic thermal parameters for all N, C, Cl atoms of the cations and the solution molecule (538 variables) converged to R = 0.0499, $R_w = 0.1062$, GOF = 1.079 (no attempt was made to locate hydrogen atoms).

2: $C_{31}H_{69}Ag_6Cl_2N_3O_6S_{19}W_6$, $M_r = 3010.26$. a = b = 42.0176(6), c = 16.0098(3) Å, U = 28265.0 Å³, $D_c = 2.830$ g cm⁻³, T = 293(2) K, θ range 1.37–23.24°. 10126 unique reflections were used in the refinement and 7609 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ used to calculate *R* and R_w . Anisotropic thermal parameters for W with other details as above. R = 0.0586, $R_w = 0.1440$, GOF = 1.020. CCDC 182/517.

References

- E. I. Stiefl and R. R. Chianelli, *Nitrogen Fixation*, Plenum, New York, 1993; C. L. Coyle, T. R. Halbert and E. I. Stiefl, *US Pat.*, 4978464, 1990; U. Riaz, O. Curnow and M. D. Curtis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 1416.
- A. Müller, E. Diemann, R. Jostes and H. Bögge, Angew. Chem., 1981,
 93, 957; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1981, 20, 934; S. Sarkar and
 S. B. S. Mishra, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1984, 59, 239; X.-T. Wu,
 P.-C. Chen, S.-W. Du, N-Y. Zhu and J.-X. Lu, J. Cluster Sci., 1994, 5,
 265; C. E. Holloway and M. Melnik, Rev. Inorg. Chem., 1993, 13, 233;
 H.-W. Hou, X.-Q. Xin and S. Shi, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1996, 53, 25.
- 3 S.-W. Du, N.-Y. Zhu, P.-C. Chen, X.-T. Wu and J.-X. Lu, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 339.
- 4 N.-Y. Zhu, J.-H. Wu, S.-W. Du, X.-T. Wu and J.-X. Lu, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 1992, **11**, 1201.
- 5 S.-W. Du, N.-Y. Zhu, P.-C. Chen and X.-T. Wu, *Polyhedron*, 1992, **11**, 2489; S.-W. Du and X.-T. Wu, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 1993, **30**, 183.
- 6 A. Müller, H. Bögge, E. Koniger-Ahlborn and W. Hellmann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 2301; A. Müller, H. Bögge and E. Koniger-Ahlborn, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1978, 739.
- 7 Q. Huang, X.-T. Wu, Q.-M, Wang, T.-L. Sheng and J.-X. Lu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 868.
- 8 Q. Huang, X.-T. Wu, T.-L. Sheng and Q.-M. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **27**, 4931.
- 9 N.-Y. Zhu, X.-T. Wu and J.-X. Lu, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 235.
- 10 H.-B. Chen, S.-F. Lu, J.-Q. Huang, Q.-J. Wu and X.-Y. Huang, *Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 1994, 732.
- 11 X.-T. Wu, Wang, B. Wang, Zheng, Y.-F. Zheng and J.-X. Lu, Jiegou Huaxue (Chin. J. Struct. Chem.), 1988, 71, 47.
- 12 W.-J. Zhang, Master thesis, Fujian Institute of Research, 1996, p. 11.
- 13 W. H. Pan, T. Chandler, J. H. Enemark and E. I. Stiefel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 4265.
- 14 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL Version 5.03, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1990.
- 15 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL93, Program for the Refinement of crystal structure. University of Göttingen, 1993.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, University of Göttingen, 1996.
- 17 G. Bunzey and J. H. Enemark, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 682.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 20th May 1997; 7/03468G