## An unusual imine (N=C) alkylalumination reaction

## **Pingrong Wei and David Atwood\***

Center for Main Group Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota 58105, USA

Addition of 3 equiv. of AlMe<sub>3</sub> to a heptadentate Schiff-base ligand made from salicylaldehyde and bis(2-aminoethyl)ethylenediamine  $(H_3L^1)$  results in an unusual alkylalumination of one of the imine bonds; addition of 2 equiv. of  $B(OMe)_3$  or AlMe<sub>3</sub> to one of these ligands leads to the formation of bimetallic derivatives containing four- and fivecoordinate metals, respectively.

In the course of our explorations of group 13 Schiff-base chelate complexes we have discovered an unusual imine (N=C) alkylalumination reaction. Schiff-base ligands (and the salen class, in particular) form a wide range of complexes with transition<sup>1</sup> and main-group metals.<sup>2</sup> One of the reasons why these ligands are so useful is because they do not undergo further reactions after coordinating a metal. In fact, transitionmetal complexes of the reduced form of the salen ligand (the salan class)<sup>3</sup> are difficult to isolate because they dehydrogenate back to the Schiff-base derivative when exposed to air.<sup>4</sup>

In turning our attention to ligands possessing multiple (more than four) binding sites we examined those derived from the condensation of 3 equiv. of salicylaldehyde (with H or Bu<sup>t</sup> at the 2,4-positions, or Cl at the 4-position, of the aryl ring) with bis(2-aminoethyl)ethylenediamine. The resulting ligands are denoted  $H_3L^1$ ,  $H_3L^2$  and  $H_3L^3$ , respectively. We had intended to prepare neutral bi- and tri-metallic derivatives of these ligands for potential catalytic applications. Previously reported group 13 complexes with this<sup>5</sup> and related systems<sup>6</sup> have focused on charged compounds useful in medicinal applications.

In the present work, two neutral bimetallic derivatives,  $(HL^2)[B(OMe)_2]_2 \mathbf{1}$  and  $L^1AIMe(AIMe_2) \mathbf{2}$ , could be prepared in good yields using the appropriate stoichiometry (Scheme 1).† The X-ray data for  $\mathbf{1}$  was such that a full solution could not be obtained. However, the overall morphology of the molecule is

clear (Fig. 1). The formation of **1** follows similar reactions for bimetallic salen derivatives.<sup>7</sup> This is not the case for **2** for which the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR data indicates that only five-coordinate aluminium is present ( $\delta$  65.40). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR there are two Al–Me shifts in a 1 : 2 ratio. This data can tentatively be attributed to the structure shown in Scheme 1. Surprisingly, in reactions with 3 equiv. of the aluminium reagent, one of the N=C bonds undergoes an unsual alkyalumination reaction in the formation of trimetallic derivative **3** (Scheme 1, Fig. 2).

In the structure<sup>‡</sup> one portion of the molecule coordinates an AlMe<sub>2</sub> unit by an oxygen and imine nitrogen, forming a six-



Fig. 1 Preliminary structure of 1 demonstrating the overall morphology of the molecule



Scheme 1 General syntheses of group 13 compounds with  $H_3L^n$  (n = 1-3)



Fig. 2 Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme for 3. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Al(1)–O(1) 1.769(3), Al(1)–C(30) 1.945(5), Al(1)-C(29) 1.947(5), Al(1)-N(1) 1.961(3), Al(2)-O(3) 1.816(3), Al(2)-O(2) 1.884(3), Al(2)-C(31) 1.935(5), Al(2)-C(32) 1.943(5), Al(3)-N(4) 1.822(3), Al(3)-O(2) 1.912(3), Al(3)-O(3) 1.944(3), Al(3)-C(33) 1.966(4), C(29) 119.1(2), O(1)-Al(1)-N(1) 95.77(14), C(30)-Al(1)-N(1) 110.5(2), C(29)-Al(1)-N(1) 106.1(2), O(3)-Al(2)-O(2) 79.95(11), O(3)-Al(2)-C(31) 115.9(2), O(2)-Al(2)-C(31) 108.8(2), O(3)-Al(2)-C(32) 110.6(2), O(2)-Al(2)-C(32) 116.9(2), C(31)-Al(2)-C(32) 118.7(2), N(4)-Al(3)-O(2) 120.37(13), N(4)-Al(3)-O(3) 90.82(13), O(2)-Al(3)-O(3) 76.15(11), N(4)-Al(3)-C(33) 127.4(2), O(2)-Al(3)-C(33) 111.6(2), O(3)-Al(3)-C(33) 93.9(2), N(4)-Al(3)-N(3) 83.96(13), O(2)-Al(3)-N(3) 89.82(11), O(3)-Al(3)-N(3) 159.98(12), C(33)-Al(3)-N(3) 104.7(2), Al(2)-O(2)-A(31) 100.78(12), Al(2)-O(3)-Al(3) 102.05(13), N(4)-C(21)-C(22) 112.0(3), N(4)-C(21)-C(23) 113.6(3), C(22)-C(21)-C(23) 110.3(3).

membered ring. This is a familiar coordination mode for Schiffbase ligands. The second portion of the molecule contains two aluminium atoms linked by bridging oxygens. The terminal AlMe2 group adopts a geometry that is structurally similar to the other AlMe<sub>2</sub> group. The central, five-coordinate aluminium atom is in a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry. The equatorial bond distances are systematically shorter than the axial distances. This is exemplified in the distances for axial, Al(3)-N(3) [2.091(3) Å] compared to equatorial, Al(3)-N(4)[1.822(3) Å] atoms. The oxygens follow a similar trend [Al(3)– O(2) 1.912(3), Al(3)–O(3) 1.944(3) Å]. Closely related to **3** is the trimetallic complex (salean)AlMe(AlMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [salean = N,N'bis(o-hydroxybenzyl)-1,2-diaminoethane].<sup>8</sup> It also contains four- and five-coordinate (trigonal bipyramidal) aluminiums bridged by oxygen atoms. In this complex the axial atoms are oxygen and form an angle of  $163.0(1)^\circ$  at distances of 1.982(3)and 1.959(3) Å. The differences in the N=C and N-C(Me) linkages are reflected in the N-C bond distances of 1.290(5) and 1.459(5) Å, respectively. Furthermore, the imine group appears as a singlet in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\delta$ 7.94) while the amine  $\hat{NCH}(Me)$ appears as a multiplet ( $\delta$  4.14).

The use of group 13 reagents in the reduction of alkynes, alkenes and carbonyl substituents is a well known, yet still expanding area of chemistry.<sup>9</sup> Alkylalumination reactions generally require the intermediacy of a group 4 catalyst.<sup>10</sup> The catalyst acts to activate the substrate in a manner that is still being defined. That an aluminium species is being produced in **3** that can alkylate carbon–nitrogen multiple bonds in the absence of a metal catalyst is an intriguing prospect. Based upon the fact that a compound containing two five-coordinate aluminium atoms can be isolated (**2**), the third equivalent of AlMe<sub>3</sub> presumably effects the alkylation in **3**. The coordination of the first two AlR<sub>n</sub> units makes the imine linkage vulnerable to this addition. This type of reaction bears some resemblance to the alkylation of nitriles in which the first step is the formation of an organoaluminium–nitrile adduct.<sup>11</sup> Whether the formation

of **3** is through an intra- or intermolecular alkylation remains to be determined.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant 9452892) and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society (Grant 31901-AC3). Partial support was provided by Union Carbide. The receipt of an NSF-CAREER (CHE-9625376) award is also gratefully acknowledged.

## Footnotes

\* E-mail: emgc@plains.nodak.edu

† Full experimental details will be published in due course. Only a partial list of data is given here. **1**: mp 182–184 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ1.13–1.41 (m, 54 H, CCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.85 (s, 6 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.16 (s, 6 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>) 2.72, 2.93, 3.35, 3.50 (m, 12 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.81 (s, 1H, NCHN), 6.84 (d, 1 H, Ph H), 7.06 (d, 2 H, Ph H), 7.17 (d, 1 H, Ph H), 7.51 (d, 2 H, Ph H), 8.07 (s, 2 H, N=CH). Analysis, Calc: C, 70.34; H, 9.38. Found: C, 69.95; H, 9.28%. **2**: mp > 260 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –0.92 to –0.73 (m, 9 H, AlCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.46–3.02, 3.28–3.71 (m, 12 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.86 (s, 1 H, NCHN), 6.63–7.41 (m, 12H, Ph H), 8.14 (s, 1 H, N=CH), 8.21 (s, 1 H, N=CH). <sup>27</sup>Al (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  65.40. Analysis, Calc: C, 65.00; H, 6.49. Found: C, 65.13; H, 6.24%. **3**·1.5C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me: mp > 260 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –0.99 to –0.53 (m, 15 H, AlCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.38 (d, 3 H, CCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.35 (s, 3 H, PhCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.73–2.77, 3.08, 3.48 (m, 12 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.53 (s, 1 H, NCHN), 4.14 (m, 1H, NCHCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.11 (m, 9 H, Ph H), 7.94 (s, 1 H, N=CH). <sup>27</sup>Al (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  54.50, 163.95. Analysis Calc. for **3**·C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me: C, 58.46; H, 6.08. Found: C, 58.15; H, 6.26%.

<sup>‡</sup> Crystallographic data: **1**, monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 15.4330(14), b = 20.6409(19), c = 22.7704(20) Å,  $\beta = 98.779(2)^\circ$ , U = 7168.5(5) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, 2670 observed  $[I > 4.0\sigma(I)]$ ,  $R_1 = 0.1932$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.5439$ . **3**·1.5C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me, monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ , a = 11.8994(6), b = 31.498(2), c = 13.7396(7) Å,  $\beta = 115.006(1)^\circ$ , U = 4667.0(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, 6024 observed  $[I > 2.0\sigma(I)]$ ,  $R_1 = 0.0620$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1218$ . CCDC 182/515.

## References

- R. H. Holm, G. W. Everett Jr. and A. Chakravorty, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, **7**, 83; M. D. Hobday and T. D. Smith, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1972, **9**, 311.
- 2 S. J. Dzugan and V. L. Goedken, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 2858; K. S. Chong, S. J. Rettig, A. Storr and J. Trotter, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1977, **55**, 2540; P. L. Gurian, L. K. Cheatham, J. W. Ziller and A. R. Barron, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1991, 1449; D. A. Atwood, J. A. Jegier and D. Rutherford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6779; M. G. Davidson, C. Lambert, I. Lopez-Solera, P. R. Raithby, P. R. and R. Snaith, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 3765; D. A. Atwood, J. A. Jegier and D. Rutherford, *Jnorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 63.
- 3 D. A. Atwood, J. Benson, J. A. Jegier, N. F. Lindholm, K. J. Martin, R. J. Pitura and D. Rutherford, *Main Group Chem.*, 1995, **1**, 99; D. A. Atwood, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1997, in the press.
- 4 A. Berkessel, J. W. Bats and C. Schwarz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1990, **29**, 106; A. Böttcher, H. Elias, E.-G. Jäger, H. Langfelderova, M. Mazur, L. Müller, H. Paulus, P. Pelikan, M. Rudolph and M. Valko, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 4131; A. Böttcher, H. Elias, L. Müller and H. Paulus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 623; D. A. Atwood, J. A. Jegier, N. F. Lindholm, K. J. Martin and D. Rutherford, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 1996, **38**, 305.
- 5 B. W. Tsang, C. J. Mathias, P. E. Fanwick and M. A. Green, J. Med. Chem., 1994, 37, 4400.
- 6 E. Wong, P. Caravan, S. Liu, S. J. Rettig and C. Orvig, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, 35, 715 and references therein.
- 7 P. Wei and D. A. Atwood, Inorg. Chem., 1997, in press.
- 8 D. A. Atwood, J. A. Jegier, K. J. Martin and D. Rutherford, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1453; D. A. Atwood and D. Rutherford, Comments Inorg. Chem., 1995, 19, 25.
- 9 J. A. Miller, in *Chemistry of Aluminum, Gallium, Indium and Thallium*, ed. A. J. Downs, Chapman and Hall, New York, p. 372.
- 10 E.-I. Negishi, D. Y. Kondakov, D. Choueiry, K. Kasai and T. Takahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 9577.
- 11 T. Mole and E. A. Jeffery, Organoaluminum Compounds, Elsevier, New York, 1972, p. 240.

Received in Columbia, MO, USA; 19th February 1997; 7/01185G