Raymond C. Jagessar and Dennis H. Burns*

Department of Chemistry, Wichita State University Wichita, Kansas 67260-0051, USA

Urea appended free-base porphyrins 2–4 bind strongly ($K > 10^5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$) to spherical Cl⁻ in (CD₃)₂SO and exhibit significant binding selectivity since they complex with Cl⁻ to a much greater extent (10³–10²:1) than with the trigonal NO₃⁻ and tetrahedral H₂PO₄⁻ anions.

The importance of anion binding and transport in chemical and biochemical processes has been the impetus for the design and fabrication of supramolecular anion receptors.¹ We have begun a project to prepare neutral anion receptors that exhibit selective anion binding, ultimately for the development of sensors and transport mimics that function in an aqueous environment. Here, we report the synthesis (Scheme 1) of our initial receptors **2–7**, superstructured porphyrins functionalized with urea moieties. Owing to the different pocket size and orientation of urea groups on the porphyrin scaffolding, it was anticipated that **2–4** would exhibit different aptitudes and selectivities for anion complexation than those seen with the previously prepared urea appended receptors.^{2–6} Furthermore, the addition of electronegative substituents (Cl, F) to the *para* position of the phenyl rings



Scheme 1: *Reagents and conditions*: i, p-RC₆H₄NCO, CHCl₃, room temp.; R = H (2, 5, 8), Cl (3, 6, 9) or F (4, 7, 10) of **3** and **4**, as well as the porphyrin's ability to bind metals in its core, allow for the moderation of the strength and selectivity of anion binding for the supramolecule.

These receptors are the first example of a class of neutral, free-base porphyrins† able to act as very selective anion receptors, with 2–4 binding Cl⁻ greater than 1000:1 compared to NO_3^- or 280:1 compared to $H_2PO_4^-$ (*vide infra*) (Cl⁻ receptors are biologically relevant, for Cl⁻ ion channels are involved in the facilitated exchange of Cl⁻ for HCO₃⁻ anions in erythrocytes, and, when not functioning properly, have been implicated in the genetic disease cystic fibrosis7). For comparison, the expanded porphyrin receptors can bind anions only when protonated, and thus exhibit modest anion selectivity due to nondirectional coulombic interactions3 (for example, sapphyrin binds $H_2PO_4^-$ and F^- ions with similar binding constants in methanol¹/. Cobaltocene and ferrocene appended porphyrins have recently been reported.⁸ The former is another example of a positively charged anion receptor, whereas the neutral ferrocene appended porphyrins do not bind anions unless metallated; both systems show little anion selectivity (no better than 4:1 binding selectivity between halides, nitrate and sulfate ions).

Porphyrins 2-4 were synthesized (Scheme 1) by the addition of 4 equiv. of the requisite isocyanate (8-10) to the cis isomer of $H_2TAPP^{9,10}$ 1 in $CHCl_3$ at room temp. and were obtained in yields of 70-85% after purification by silica-gel column chromatography and subsequent recrystallization from $CH_2Cl_2-C_6H_{14}$. The Zn complexes 5-7 were synthesized by stirring the free-base ligands with excess $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ in CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH (2:1, v/v) and were obtained in 90–95% yield. The model tetraphenylporphyrin was prepared using standard literature procedure.¹¹ The ¹H NMR spectra of 2-4 recorded in (CD₃)₂SO at ambient temperature revealed a singlet resonance for the β -pyrrole protons, indicative of the *cis* conformation of the molecules. In all cases, the urea NH protons were observed as two broad singlets, the one at high field presumably due to those in close proximity to the porphyrin ring, resulting from the shielding effect of the macrocycle. The proposed structures 2-4 were corroborated by HRFABMS.

UV–VIS spectral anion titration revealed anion binding through perturbation of the Soret and visible bands of **2–4** when the anions Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻ were added as their NBu₄⁺ salts. Addition of NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻ anions produced a hypsochromic shift with concomitant decrease in intensity of the Soret band after the addition of 1 equiv. of anion. Further addition of anion resulted in an increase in intensity (Fig. 1). Titration of porphyrins **2–4** with H₂PO₄⁻ produced a hypsochromic shift of the Soret band followed by a bathochromic shift and an increase in absorbance with the addition of >1 equiv. of anion. Furthermore, with porphyrins **3** and **4**, a broadened Soret band with the appearance of a shoulder was observed (Fig. 1). Changes in the Soret band combined with shifts of the porphyrin NH protons (*vide infra*) upon titration with the anions strongly suggests that the anions were bound within the porphyrin–urea pocket.

Chem. Commun., 1997 1685



Fig. 1 UV–VIS spectra of porphyrins **3** and **4** demonstrating the change in the Soret bands upon titration with anions in CH₂Cl₂. (Left) porphyrin **4** titrated with NBu₄Cl in mol equiv.: (*a*) 0, (*b*) 1, (*c*) 2, (*d*) 5, (*e*) 10. (Right) porphyrin **3** titrated with NBu₄H₂PO₄ in mol equiv.: (*a*) 0, (*b*) 1, (*c*) 2, (*d*) 10.

Quantification of the association constants of neutral hosts 2-4 was accomplished by following the titrations of the anions by ¹H NMR in the strongly solvating solvent (CD₃)₂SO. In all cases, proton shifts were observed for the receptor porphyrinphenyl, β -pyrrole, porphyrin NH, and urea-phenyl protons. Larger shifts were observed for the urea NH protons, with the downfield urea proton the most perturbed. The larger shift of the urea NH proton was indicative of its essential role in the anion recognition process.^{2,4} The association constants of 2-4 in (CD₃)₂SO determined from their binding curves (Fig. 2) for the complexation of Cl⁻ ion were calculated¹² to be $> 10^5$ dm³ mol⁻¹ (Table 1),¹³ so it was impossible to judge any selectivity in binding that might be occurring for these anions between the different porphyrins. However, binding constants for $Cl^- > 10^5$ dm³ mol⁻¹ are significantly larger than those that have been previously reported for urea functionalized anion receptors.¹⁻⁶ The very strong binding of Cl- ion allowed the mole ratio method¹⁴ to be used in the determination of the binding stoichiometry, which was found to be 1:1 for the three porphyrins.



Fig. 2 Binding curves for porphyrin 4 and Cl⁻ (\bigcirc), H₂PO₄⁻ (\bigcirc) and NO₃⁻ (\bigtriangledown) ions in (CD₃)₂SO

The association constants of **2–4** in $(CD_3)_2SO$ for the binding of $H_2PO_4^-$ ion were 400, 300, and 1400 dm³ mol⁻¹ (Table 1), respectively. Thus, there seemed to be some small selectivity between the *p*-fluorophenylurea porphyrin **4** and the other two porphyrins in their affinity for $H_2PO_4^-$. Presumably, the very electronegative *p*-F substituent produced a large enough increase in the acidity of the urea protons to strengthen their hydrogen bonding with the anion electrostatic field. Both Job's method of continuous variations¹⁴ and the mole ratio method,¹⁴

Table 1 Binding constants ($K/dm^3 mol^{-1}$) for porphyrins 2–4 and Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻ anions in (CD₃)₂SO

Porphyrin	Cl-	NO_3^-	$H_2PO_4^-$
2	$> 10^{5}$	90	400
3	$> 10^{5}$	60	300
4	$> 10^{5}$	55	1400

determined by UV–VIS spectrophotometry, showed the stoichiometry of binding was 1:1. The binding affinity of **2–4** for NO_3^- was even weaker (Table 1).‡ The noteworthy selectivity in the binding of Cl⁻ with **2–4** was presumably due to a less complementary fit of the trigonal NO_3^- or tetrahedral $H_2PO_4^-$ than that of spherical Cl⁻ within the urea binding pocket of the free-base porphyrins. Under analogous titration conditions, tetraphenylporphyrin exhibited negligible perturbation in its ¹H NMR and UV–VIS spectra, indicating the changes observed with ligands **2–4** were truly caused by anion binding.

Future investigations will examine solvent systems where the association constants of **2–4** for the complexation of Cl^- and other spherical anions are of the proper magnitude (*i.e.* < 10^5)¹³ to allow for an accurate determination of their binding constants, and therefore the determination of possible selectivity trends with the different porphyrins. Future experiments will also examine if metallated receptors **5–7** will bind anions with the same selectivity trends as those observed with the freebase porphyrins.

We thank Dr Todd Williams for obtaining the FAB mass spectra. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (EPS-9550487).

Footnotes and References

* E-mail: burns@wsuhub.uc.twsu.edu

[†] Neutral *meso*-octaethylporphyrinogens have been shown to bind selectively to F⁻ in CD₂Cl₂.^{1*a*} However, the lack of macrocyclic aromaticity (*i.e.*, little useful UV–VIS, fluorescence, or electrochemical information) makes this system less attractive for sensor development.

 \ddagger Although the complexation stoichiometries for porphyrins 2–4 and NO₃⁻ have yet to determined by Job's method,¹⁴ the calculated errors for the binding constants were small only when a 1:1 binding isotherm was utilized.

- For reviews, see (a) R. M. Izatt, K. Pawlak and J. S. Bradshaw, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2529; (b) B. Dietrich, Pure Appl. Chem., 1993, 65, 1457.
 For more recent examples (but by no means a comprehensive list), see (c) K. Kavallieratos, S. R. de Gala, D. J. Austin and R. H.. Crabtree, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 2325; (d) A. P. Davis, J. J. Perry and R. P. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 1793; (e) M. Berger and F. P. Schmidtchen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 8947; (f) V. Král, H. Furuta, K. Schreder, V. Lynch and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 1595; (g) P. A. Gale, J. L. Sessler, V. Král and V. Lynch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 5140.
- 2 J. Scheerder, J. F. J. Engbersen, A. Casnati, R. Ungaro and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 6448.
- 3 P. Bühlmann, S. Nishizawa, K. P. Xiao and Y. Umezawa, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 1647.
- 4 J. Scheerder, M. Fochi, J. F. J. Engbersen and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 7815.
- 5 E. Fan, S. A. Van Arman, S. Kincaid and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 369.
- 6 B. C. Hamann, N. R. Branda and J. Rebek, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 6837.
- 7 L. Stryer, *Biochemistry*, W. H. Freeman, New York, 1988, pp. 963–964; J. W. Hanrahan, J. A. Tabcharani, F. Becq, C. J. Mathews, O. Augustinas, T. J. Jensen, X.-B. Chang and J. R. Riordan, in *Ion Channels and Genetic Diseases*, ed. D. C. Dawson and R. A. Frizzel, Rockefeller University Press, New York, 1995.
- 8 P. D. Beer, M. G. B. Drew, D. Hesek and R. Jagessar, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1187; P. D. Beer, Chem. Commun., 1996, 689;
 P. D. Beer, M. G. B. Drew and R. Jagessar, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 881.
- 9 T. N. Sorrell, Inorg. Synth., 1980, 20, 163.
- 10 J. S. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 5215.
- 11 A. D. Adler, F. R. Longo, J. D. Finarelli, J. Goldmacher, J. Assour and L. Korsakoff, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 476.
- 12 The computer program EQNMR was used to calculate binding constants: M. J. Hynes, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 311.
- 13 Binding constants greater than 10⁵ dm³ mol⁻¹ cannot be determined accurately: T. Wang, J. S. Bradshaw and R. M. Izatt, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1994, **31**, 1097.
- 14 K. A. Connors, *Binding Constants*, Wiley, New York, 1987, pp. 24– 28.

Received in Columbia, MO, USA; 8th April 1997; 7/02389H