What are the reacting species in the oxidation of bisulfite by hydrogen peroxide in water clusters?

Mark A. Vincent, Ian H. Hillier* and Ian J. Palmer

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK M13 9PL

Ab initio calculations suggest that in the oxidation of HSO_3^- to sulfuric acid by H_2O_2 under acid conditions, SO_2 and not HSO_3^- , is the reacting species, a result relevant to modelling the formation of acid rain.

One of the most studied of the multiphase reactions in the troposphere is the oxidation of SO_2 to sulfuric acid in water droplets by oxidants such as H_2O_2 .^{1,2} The elucidation of the mechanism of this reaction is naturally central to modelling the formation of acid rain. It is usual to invoke the involvement of the bisulfite anion, HSO_3^- , in proposed reaction mechanisms.^{3,4} However, the actual mechanism by which the proposed intermediate, the peroxymonosulfite ion, is produced, is unclear. Indeed many S^{IV} species have been proposed to be involved along the reaction pathway.^{3,4}

To provide further insight into this reaction we have studied the mechanism by employing high level *ab initio* electronic structure calculations. These were carried out using a $6-311+g^{**}$ basis^{5–7} and included electron correlation at the B3LYP level⁸ *via* the Gaussian 94 suite of programs.⁹ Two possible transition states for the reacting H₂O₂ and HOSO₂⁻ species, leading to the proposed intermediate peroxymonosulfite ion,^{3,4} have been determined. One of the transition states included two explicit water molecules and the other included no solvent (Fig. 1). A similar direct participation of additional water molecules has been invoked in the calculation of the reaction of SO₃ with H₂O to form H₂SO₄.¹⁰

A common feature of both of these calculated transition states are the two very long S–O bond lengths (2.75–2.87 Å), and two others (1.47–1.48 Å) close to the value in SO₂ itself (1.46 Å). In addition, in both transition structures there is a well developed hydroxide group. Furthermore, if the unsolvated transition state is located without the SO₂ being present, the structure changes very little, and involves proton transfer between HO⁻ and H₂O₂. Thus both transition states essentially correspond to the dissociation of the bisulfite ion to SO₂. However, it would be expected that in acid solution the developing negative charge on the OH group would be neutralized at an early stage to yield free SO₂.

Indeed there is evidence that in the absence of H_2O_2 protonation of aqueous bisulfite can lead to the formation of SO₂. NMR studies of aqueous bisulfite¹¹ have demonstrated



Fig. 1 Transition states for the reaction of $HOSO_2^-$ and H_2O_2 , (*a*) with and (*b*) without water (bond lengths in Å)

exchange of the oxygen atoms associated with the sulfur atom, suggesting ease of formation of SO₂. Computationally we have previously found from *ab initio* calculations¹² that protonation of the OH oxygen atom of HOSO₂⁻, in a small water cluster, also leads to the formation of SO₂ with little or no barrier, again suggesting the direct involvement of SO₂. This latter result is supported by the experimental¹¹ evidence that exchange of oxygen in the bisulfite ion is first order in both H₃O⁺ and HOSO₂⁻.

These results, both experimental and theoretical, suggest that under acid conditions the reacting species in water droplets containing bisulfite is probably SO₂. In view of this we have modelled the subsequent reaction of sulfur dioxide and H₂O₂. The corresponding transition state for the reaction leading to peroxymonosulfurous acid, and involving two explicit water molecules was located, and is shown in Fig. 2. This structure



Fig. 2 Transition state for the reaction of SO₂ and H_2O_2 involving two water molecules (bond lengths in Å)

involves somewhat greater perturbation of the SO₂ moiety than in the corresponding reaction of HOSO₂⁻ (Fig. 1), with a well developed H₃O⁺ entity acting as a proton shuttle between H₂O₂ and SO₂. Both this structure and the corresponding transition state for the reaction of HOSO₂⁻ with H₂O₂ involve a sixmembered ring, which is probably less strained than the cyclic transition state for the reaction without explicit solvation. When this structure is further solvated using a continuum approach¹³ the barrier from the hydrogen bonded reactants is calculated to be 12.3 kcal mol⁻¹ (1 cal = 4.184 J). This value is somewhat larger than the experimental barrier⁴ of 8.8 kcal mol⁻¹, for the formation of the peroxymonosulfite ion from H₂O₂ and HOSO₂⁻ in acid solution.

There are thus compelling reasons to believe that the reactive species involved in the formation of acid rain, from bisulfite and hydrogen peroxide in acid solution, is SO₂.

We thank EPSRC for support of this research.

Footnote and References

* E-mail: ian.hillier@man.ac.uk

- 1 G. P. Gervat, P. A. Clark, A. R. W. Marsh, I. Teasdale, A. S. Chandler, T. W. Choularton, M. J. Gay, M. K. Hill and T. A. Hill, *Nature*, 1988, 333, 241.
- 2 A. S. Chandler, T. W. Choularton, G. J. Dollard, A. E. J. Eggleton, M. J. Gay, T. A. Hill, B. M. R. Jones, B. J. Tyler, B. J. Bandy and S. A. Penkett, *Nature*, 1988, **336**, 562.
- 3 M. R. Hoffmann and J. O. Edwards, J. Phys. Chem., 1975, 79, 2096.
- 4 J. V. McArdle and M. R. Hoffmann, J. Phys. Chem., 1983, 87, 5425.
- 5 A. D. McLean and G. S. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 72, 5639.

Chem. Commun., 1997 1725

- 6 R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 72, 650.
- 7 T. Clarke, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Comput. Chem., 1983, 4, 294.
- 8 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648.
- 9 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. A. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. J. P. Stewart, M. Head-

Gordon, C. Gonzalez and J. A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 94, Revision A.1, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.

- 10 K. Morokuma and C. Muguruma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 10316.
- D. A. Horner and R. E. Connick, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 25, 2414.
 M. A. Vincent, I. J. Palmer and I. H. Hillier, *J. Mol. Struct.*, (*THEOCHEM*), 1997, 394, 1.
- 13 J. B. Foresman, T. A. Keith, K. B. Wiberg, J. Snoonian and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 16098.

Received in Exeter, UK, 6th June 1997; 7/03949B