## **Montserrat Oliván and Kenneth G. Caulton\***

*Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-4001, USA*

 $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] [L = P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]$  serves as a formal source **of zerovalent 'RuL2', and undergoes unprecedented oxida**tive addition of both C–Cl bonds of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to a single metal **center, providing a convenient synthesis of the alkene** metathesis catalyst  $[Ru(CH_2)Cl_2L_2]$ .

Ruthenium carbenes of the form  $[Ru(CRR')Cl<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]$  (L = phosphine) play a central role in alkene metathesis methodology in organic chemistry. The original form1 of the ruthenium catalyst has now been simplified, $\frac{3}{2}$  but access to carbene complexes remains less than rational:  $\alpha$ -elimination from an alkyl complex or use of a diazoalkane reagent are the primary synthetic methodologies.

$$
L_nM + X_2CRR' \to L_n(X)_2M=CRR'
$$
 (1)

*gem*-Dihalogeno compounds are formally attractive as a source of a carbene ligand [eqn. (1)], but converting this idea into reality has been elusive. Eqn. (1) makes clear that L*n*M must be a 14-valence electron species, or its equivalent, and the scarcity of such species accounts for the rarity of eqn. (1). Eqn. (1) might be expected to proceed stepwise, with an X–M–  $CRR'X$  intermediate, and indeed, there are numerous examples of halogenomethyl ligands that have been formed from  $CX<sub>2</sub>RR'<sub>3</sub>$  However, a double oxidation addition of an  $R<sub>2</sub>CX<sub>2</sub>$ sp<sup>3</sup> carbon is unprecedented. Set against this background, we report here the first oxidative addition of both C–Cl bonds of a *gem*-dihalide to a single metal center, where all constituents of  $R_2CX_2$  become attached to a single metal in the product,<sup>4</sup> and an example where this occurs in high yield to produce the simplest of ruthenium alkene metathesis catalysts,  $[\text{Ru(CH}_2)Cl_2L_2]$ , with  $L = P(C_6H_{11})_3$ .

Reaction† of  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] [L = P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ in pentane or benzene under argon occurs over 3 h at 25 °C (1 : 4 mol ratio) or 15 min at 60  $\degree$ C (1:1.5 mole ratio) to give the known molecule  $[RuCl_2(CH_2)L_2]$ ,<sup>2*b*</sup> characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and 31P NMR spectroscopies. The 1H NMR signal of the carbene ligand is the most unique spectroscopic feature, appearing at  $\delta$  19.4. If the reaction is carried out with  $CD_2Cl_2$ ,  $[RuCl_2(CD_2)L_2]$  is the only isotopomer produced (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H) NMR assay),‡ showing that there is no scrambling of the metaland carbon-derived hydrogen. This reaction is remarkable because it involves a four-electron reduction of  $CH_2Cl_2$  (to  $Cl$ <sup>-</sup> and what is formally  $CH_2^{2-}$ ). It thus depends upon  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]$  being a formal source of uncharged  $RuL<sub>2</sub>$  (*i.e.* zerovalent Ru), by virtue of reductive elimination of hydride from  $Ru<sup>H</sup>$ , as  $H<sub>2</sub>$ . When this reaction is repeated in a closed NMR tube, we see  $({}^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$  NMR) no growth and decay of any intermediate. Since there is no evidence for production of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Cl$  or  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , this reaction is an unprecedented oxidative addition of both C–Cl bonds of  $CH_2Cl_2$  to a single metal center. This reaction proceeds more slowly in an NMR tube under 1 atm argon, than in a well agitated, round-bottom flask with a considerable head-space, a fact we attribute to the accumulation of H2, which shifts eqn. (2) to the left and thus decreases the amount of unsaturated  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)L<sub>2</sub>]$ , which is apparently the necessary reaction partner for  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>5</sup>

$$
[Ru(H)2(H2)2L2] \Leftrightarrow [Ru(H)2(H2)L2] + H2 (2)
$$

This idea of competitive inhibition by  $H_2$  is supported by the fact that, if  $\text{[Ru(H)_2(H_2)_2L_2]}$  is stirred with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1:4) at 25 °C under 1 atm  $H_2$  in pentane, there is no reaction over 3 h. The reaction is thus not outer-sphere electron transfer from  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]$ , and a 16-electron complex is the reactive species.

Since  $\text{RuH}_3\text{Cl}_2$ <sup>6</sup> is also produced in <15% yield in this reaction, we considered that HCl {which we independently verified could convert  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]$  to  $[RuH<sub>3</sub>(Cl)L<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$ might participate in the reaction which forms the carbene complex. However, when the reaction of  $\text{[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>}$  with  $CH_2Cl_2$  is executed in the presence of NEt<sub>3</sub> (1:4:2 mole ratio), the carbene product and yield are unchanged, as is (qualitatively) the rate. No [NHEt<sub>3</sub>]Cl precipitates. This gives support for the idea that  $H_2$  is the fate of all metal-bound H, that  $[RuH_3(Cl)L_2]$  is produced in a side reaction, and that a 'ClRuCH2Cl' species mediates the reaction. However, any such species must react further to give carbene product faster than it reacts with NEt<sub>3</sub>, to quaternize the amine (giving 'ClRuCH<sub>2</sub>- $NEt<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>); chloromethyl ligands readily react with nucleophiles.3*a*

The idea of multiple oxidative addition to  $\text{[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]}$ , and the idea that facile multiple losses of  $H_2$  from this molecule permits it to serve as a formal equivalent of zerovalent 'RuL<sub>2</sub>' deserves further exploration.

This work was supported by the NSF and by material support from Johnson-Matthey/Aesar. M. O. thanks the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Cultura for a postdoctoral fellowship.

## **Footnotes and References**

## \* E-mail: caulton@indiana.edu

 $\ddot{\textbf{i}}$  [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(=CH<sub>2</sub>){P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>] (method A): To a suspension of  $[RuH_2(H_2)_2\{P(C_6H_{11})_3\}_2]^7$  (100 mg, 0.15 mmol) in pentane (7 ml) was added  $CH_2Cl_2$  (38  $\mu$ l, 0.60 mmol) *via* a syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred at room temp. for 3 h. During this time, the suspension changed from white to brown–red. The red solid obtained by filtration was washed with pentane and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 70 mg (63%). Alternatively (method B), the reaction could be carried out heating at 60  $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min, starting from  $\text{[RuH}_2\text{(H}_2)_2\text{[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]}$  (100 mg, 0.15 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (14.4 µI, 0.22 mmol) in pentane  $(5 \text{ ml})$ . Yield:  $75 \text{ mg } (67\%)$ . All the spectroscopic data are consistent with those reported previously.2*b* When the crude suspension was dried *in vacuo* and dissolved in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR show the presence of  $[RuH_3Cl{P(C_6H_{11})_3}_2]$ ,<sup>6</sup> in addition to  $[RuCl_2(=CH_2)\{P(C_6H_{11})_3\}_2]$ , in a yield of <15%. This was shown<br>independently to be formed by the action of H<sub>2</sub> on formed by the action of  $H_2$  on  $[Ru(CH_2)Cl_2{P(C_6H_{11})_3}_2].$ 

 $\ddagger$  [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(=CD<sub>2</sub>){P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]: this compound was prepared analogously as described for  $[RuCl_2(*CH*<sub>2</sub>)(P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$  (method A) by starting from  $[RuH_2(H_2)_2\{P(C_6H_{11})_3\}_2]$  (50 mg, 0.075 mmol) and  $CD_2Cl_2$  (19  $\mu$ l, 0.30 mmol). <sup>2</sup>H NMR (61 MHz,  $C_6H_6$ ):  $\delta$  19.40 (s, Ru=CD<sub>2</sub>).

- 1 S. D. Nguyen, L. K. Johnson, R. H. Grubbs and J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1992, **114**, 3974.
- 2 (*a*) P. Schwab, M. B. France, J. W. Ziller and R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1995, **34**, 2039; (*b*) P. Schwab, R. H. Grubbs and J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1996, **118**, 100.
- 3 (*a*) H. B. Friedrich and J. R. Moss, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.,* 1991, **33**, 235; (*b*) H. Werner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1983, **22**, 927;

*Chem. Commun***., 1997 1733**

(*c*) H. Haarman, J. M. Ernsting, M. Kranenburg, H. Kooijman, N. Veldman, A. L. Spek, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen and K. Vrieze, *Organometallics,* 1997, **16**, 887; (*d*) M. Huser, M. Youinou and J. Osborn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1989, **28**, 1386; (*e*) W. Herrmann, W. R. Thiel, C. Brossmer and K. Ofele, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1993, **461**, 51.

- 4 For examples of  $M_2 + R_2CX_2 \rightarrow XM(\mu-CR_2)MX$ , see; M. A. Ciriano, M. A. Tena and L. A. Oro, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.,* 1992, 2123; G. E. Ball, W. R. Cullen, M. D. Fryzuk, B. R. James and S. J. Rettig, *Organometallics,* 1991, **10**, 3767; S. J. Young, B. Kellenberger, J. H. Reibenspies, S. E. Manning, O. P. Anderson and J. K. Stille, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1988, **110**, 5744.
- 5 Eqn. (2) is apparently the mechanism by which  $N_2$  adds to  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>]$  to give  $[Ru(H)<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>];$  S. Sabo-Etienne, M. Hernandez, G. Chung, B. Chaudret and A. Castel, *New J. Chem.,* 1994, **18**, 175; M. L. Christ, S. Sabo-Etienne, G. Chung and B. Chaudret, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1994, **33**, 5316.
- 6 B. Chaudret, G. Chung, O. Eisenstein, S. A. Jackson, F. J. Lahoz and J. A. López, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, 113, 2314; M. L. Christ, S. Sabo-Etienne and B. Chaudret, *Organometallics,*, 1994, **13**, 3800.
- 7 B. Chaudret and R. Poilblanc, *Organometallics,* 1985, **4**, 1722.

*Received in Bloomington, IN, USA, 6th May 1997; 7/03097E*