Stoichiometric assembly of [Sb(PCy)₃]₂Li₆·6HNMe₂·2C₆H₅Me; a highly fluxional antimony(III) phosphinidine complex containing Me₂NH ligation of lithium[†]

Michael A. Beswick,* Jonathan M. Goodman, Christopher N. Harmer, Alexander D. Hopkins, Michael A. Paver, Paul R. Raithby, Andrew E. H. Wheatley and Dominic S. Wright*

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW

All of the Me₂NH produced by the reaction of $[Sb(NMe_2)_3]$ with CyP(H)Li (Cy = C₆H₁₁) coordinates the Li⁺ cations of the product $[Sb(PCy)_3]_2Li_6\cdot 6HNMe_2\cdot 2C_6H_5Me$ 1; the complex contains the first example of a group 15 metal phosphinidine anion { $[Sb(PR)_3]^3$ -}.

Although group 13 metal imido (RN2-) and phosphinidine (RP²⁻) compounds are now comparatively common,¹ far fewer imido complexes are known for the heaviest (most metallic) group 14 (Sn, Pb) and 15 (Sb, Bi) elements. We have recently investigated the use of the stepwise metallation of primary amines with organolithiums and [Sb(NMe₂)₃] as a general strategy to a range of polyimido antimony anions, e.g. the [Sb(NR)₃]³⁻ trianion of the cage complex [{Sb(NCH₂CH₂Ph)₃}₂Li₆·2thf].^{2,3} These species have an extensive coordination chemistry towards alkali, transition and p-block metals, where they act as surprisingly robust multidentate ligands.⁴ A related methodology, involving the transmetallation reactions of lithiated primary amines and phosphines with imido tin(II) cubanes,⁵ provides an approach to the metallacyclic phosphinidine complex $[Sn(\mu-PCy)_2(\mu-PCy)]_2$ -(Li·thf)₄.6

We report here the stoichiometric assembly of the complex $[Sb(PCy)_3]_2Li_6 \cdot 6HNMe_2 \cdot 2C_6H_5Me 1$ from the reaction of $[Sb(NMe_2)_3]$ with CyP(H)Li (Scheme 1).‡ The cage arrangement of 1 is similar to that occurring in the imido antimony(III) complex $[{Sb(NCH_2CH_2Ph)_3}_2Li_6 \cdot 2thf]^3$ and in a few unsolvated group 14 cages of Si^{IV} and Ge^{IV.7} However, this complex contains the first phosphinidine anion of a group 15 element (As–Bi). Although solvation of alkali-metal cations by NH₃ has been well documented for solutions of the metals in liquid ammonia,⁸ the incorporation of a small protic ligand such as Me₂NH (a gas above 7 °C) in a reaction involving conventional organic solvents is a surprising structural feature of 1.

2[Sb(NMe₂)₃] + 6CyP(H)Li _____thf-toluene

 $[Sb(PCy)_3]_2Li_6$ •6HNMe₂•2C₆H₅Me 1

Scheme 1

A low-temperature X-ray crystallographic study of 1§ shows it to be a mixed-metal cage complex, $[Sb(PCy)_3]_2Li_6.6HN-Me_2.2C_6H_5Me$, consisting of a 14-membered $\{Sb_2P_6Li_6\}$ core (Fig. 1). In addition there are two lattice-bound toluene molecules per molecule of 1. The structure, which arises from the association of two $[Sb(PCy)_3]^{3-}$ trianions by six Li⁺ cations, can be described as a hexameric phosphido-lithium 'stack' capped at the open Li₃P₃ faces by two Sb atoms. However, direct evidence of the integrity of the $[Sb(PCy)_3]^{3-}$ trianions of 1, and the fact that these units dominate the bonding pattern of the core,⁹ is given in the ⁷Li NMR spectrum in which a binomial septet (${}^{1}J_{31P7Li}$ 14 Hz) occurs as a result of the coupling of all the Li⁺ cations to the P atoms of two intact trianions (203–298 K) (Fig. 2). Preliminary semi-empirical PM3 calculations \P indicate that interchange of the six Li⁺ cations occurs by a



Fig. 1 The molecular structure of $[Sb(PCy)_3]_2Li_6$ -6HNMe₂·2C₆H₅Me 1 showing the atom numbering scheme. The C₆H₅Me₃ lattice solvation and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Sb(1)–P(1) 2.519(6), Sb(1)–P(2) 2.517(5), Sb(1)–P(3) 2.516(5), Li(1)–P(3) 2.59(3), P(1)–Li(3) 2.56(3), Li(1)–P(1) 2.55(3), Li(3)–P(2) 2.62(3), P(1)–Li(2) 2.62(3), N(3)–Li(3) 2.08(3), N(2)–Li(2) 1.96(4), N(1)–Li(1) 2.10(4); P(3)–Sb(1)–P(2) 101.3(2), P(2)–Sb(1)–P(1) 103.4(2), P(3)–Sb(1)–P(1) 100.3(2).



Fig. 2 Dynamic 'carousel' process and the 7Li NMR spectrum of 1

Chem. Commun., 1997 1879

dynamic ('carousel') process, analogous to that observed for $[Me_2Si(NSiMe_3)_2]_2InLi$ in solution,¹⁰ in which the Sb^{III} centres are not involved. The ³¹P NMR chemical shift (δ –263.5) is vastly different to that observed for $[(2,4,6-But_3C_6H_2)P=Sb{CH(SiMe_3)_2}]$ (δ 600),¹¹ reflecting the development of negative charge on the P centres of **1**.

In the polymeric ladder structure of the monolithiated cyclohexyl phosphine precursor to 1 (CyPHLi·thf) $_{\infty}$,¹² the P–Li bonds (av. 2.61 Å) are similar to those found in 1 [range 2.55(3)–2.66(3) Å]. The Sb–P bonds in **1** (av. 2.52 Å) are close to those observed in [SbP(2,4,6-But₃C₆H₂)]₂ [range 2.561(2)-2.583(1) Å] (the only other Sb or Bi phosphinidine complex to be structurally characterised).¹³ An interesting structural feature of complex 1 is that the P-Sb-P angles (av. 101.6°) are significantly larger than the N-Sb-N angles (av. 94.5°) found in the related imido complex [{Sb(NCH₂CH₂Ph)₃}₂Li₆·2thf].³ Although the flattening of the [Sb(PCy)₃]³⁻ trianion may partially reflect the differences in the extents of Lewis-base solvation of the cores {two thf in $[{Sb(NCH_2CH_2Ph)_3}_2Li_6\cdot 2thf]$ and six Me₂NH in 1}, it is likely that the major reason for this is the lower electronegativity difference between Sb and P (ca. 0.2 on the Pauling scale; cf. 1.1 between Sb and N).

A particularly novel structural feature of **1** is the complexation of the six Li⁺ cations of the core by Me₂NH. This is a gas above 7 °C and its formation can be envisaged as normally providing the thermodynamic driving force in the reactions of [Sb(NMe₂)₃] with organic acids. However, the stoichiometric inclusion into 1 of all the Me₂NH formed in this reaction is surprising since it not only competes for Li+ coordination with thf but exists as a gas under normal conditions. ¹H NMR spectroscopic studies and elemental analysis of 1 illustrate that, although the Me₂NH ligation is almost completely removed by placing the complex under vacuum (0.1 atm, 15 min), this solvation is comparatively robust and is largely retained in fresh samples not subjected to reduced pressure. Recent ab initio calculations¹⁴ on the deprotonation of organic acids (RH) with lithium amides (R'₂NLi)¹⁵ suggest that complexation of the amine produced (R'_2NH) to the Li⁺ cation {in $[(R'_2NH)LiR]$ } may contribute significantly to the thermodynamics of these reactions. The formation of 1 provides the first direct evidence in favour of this proposition and that even for gaseous amines Li⁺ ligation is likely to play a role.

The incorporation of a phosphorus donor functionality in the $[Sb(PCy)_3]^{3-}$ trianion creates a softer ligand set and a greater ligand bite than the imido congeners and may allow the stabilisation of low oxidation state transition-metal centres.

We thank the EPSRC (M. A. B., D. S. W., P. R. R., C. N. H., A. E. H. W.), Electron Tubes, Ruislip, UK (A. D. H.) and Jesus College for a Fellowship (M. A. P.) for financial support. We also thank Oxford Molecular for the gift of VAMP 5.6.

Footnotes and References

* E-mail: dsw1000@cam.ac.uk

† This ChemComm is also available in enhanced multi-media format via the World Wide Web: http://chemistry.rsc.org/rsc/cccenha.htm

‡ Synthesis of 1: to a stirred and chilled suspension of $[LiP(H)Cy]_n$ (7.53 mmol of monomer) in toluene (5 ml) was added a solution of [Sb(NMe₂)₃] (2.51 mmol, 1.36 cm³, 1.84 mol dm⁻³ in toluene). The suspension was stirred and gradually allowed to warm towards 0 °C at which stage a yellow precipitate was observed. This was dissolved by the addition of chilled toluene (8 cm³) and thf (1 cm³). The red solution produced was stored at -35 °C for 48 h over which period crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies grew. The complex loses Me_2NH of solvation gradually at 25 $^\circ C$ and this is almost completely removed by placing 1 under vacuum (15 min, 0.1 atm). However, if isolated without exposure to vacuum the Me₂NH is largely retained. The following data refer to this material: yield 1.02 g (57%); decomp. 170 °C; IR (Nujol), major bands at 1257m, 1178s, 1051s, 992.5m, 897s, 846s, 730m, 694w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400.16 MHz, +25 °C, C₆D₅CD₃), δ7.0 (5 H, m, aryl C–H, C₆H₅CH₃), 3.94 (13 H, s, Me, Me₂NH), 2.5-1.2 [33 H, overlapping m, Cy and C₆H₅CH₃ (2.10)]; ⁷Li NMR (1.55.513 MHz, +25 °C, C₆D₅CD₃), δ 5.57 (binomial spt, ¹J_{31P71}, 14 Hz) (rel. to LiCl–D₂O) (δ 7.14 rel. to PhLi–C₆D₅CD₃); ³¹P NMR (162.000 MHz, +25 °C, C₆D₅CD₃), δ –263.5 [rel. to neat (MeO)₃P; br s, width at half-height 1130 Hz]; Analysis Calc. C, 52.3; H, 8.7; N, 5.6. Found: C, 52.0; H, 8.4; N, 4.4 (no N remains after 15 min at 0.1 atm).

§ Crystal data for 1; $C_{31}H_{62}Li_3N_3P_3Sb$, M = 712.32, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 13.460(3), b = 18.123(3), c = 16.589(4) Å, $\beta =$ group $T_2/(r, u = 15.400(3), v = 18.125(3), v = 10.389(4)$ A, $p = 99.83(2)^\circ$, U = 3987(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.187$ Mg m⁻³, T = 150(2) K, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.834 mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1496. Yellow cubes, crystal dimensions $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm. 3717 reflections ($2\theta < 45.0^{\circ}$) were collected on a Siemens R3mV diffractometer using Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å), graphite monochromator and ω -2 θ scans (2.57 $\leq \theta \leq$ 19.99°). 3717 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.021$) after a semiempirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved using direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares based on F^2 (SHELXL93),¹⁶ with all non-hydrogen atoms assigned anisotropic displacement parameters; cvclohexvl, toluene and amine H atoms were fixed in idealised positions and allowed to ride on the relevant C or N atoms. The refinement converged to $R_1 = 0.0748$ for data $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and $wR_2 = 0.2722$ for all data, goodness of fit = 1.013, and weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)]$ $+(0.0597P)^{2}+18.32P$], where $P = (F_{o}^{2}+2F_{c}^{2})/3$. Largest peak and hole in the final difference map; 0.913, $-0.689 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. Crystals of **1** were weakly diffracting. Restraints were applied for the lattice-bound toluene which is disordered over two (50:50) sites about a twofold axis. CCDC 182/564. ¶ Calculations were carried out using VAMP 5.6 (Oxford Molecular)

[¶] Calculations were carried out using VAMP 5.6 (Oxford Molecular) (J. J. P. Stewart, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1989, **10**, 209) on a Silicon Graphics R4400 workstation.

- 1 M. A. Paver, C. A. Russell and D. S. Wright, *Comprehensive* Organometallic Chemistry, 1995, vol. 1, ch. 5.
- 2 A. J. Edwards, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, M.-A. Rennie and D. S. Wright, Angew. Chem., 1994, 106, 1334; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1277.
- 3 R. A. Alton, D. Barr, A. J. Edwards, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, M.-A. Rennie, C. A. Russell and D. S. Wright, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1481.
- 4 M. A. Beswick, C. N. Harmer, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner, D. S. Wright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 1740; D. Barr, A. J. Edwards, S. Pullen, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, M.-A. Rennie, C. A. Russell and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1994, **106**, 1960; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 1875.
- 5 R. E. Allan, M. A. Beswick, A. J. Edwards, M. A. Paver, M.-A. Rennie, P. R. Raithby and D. S. Wright, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 1991.
- 6 R. E. Allan, M. A. Beswick, N. L. Cromhout, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner, M. Trevithick and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1501.
- L. Zsolnai, G. Huttner and M. Dreiss, Angew. Chem., 1993, 105, 1549; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 1439; M. Dreiss, G. Huttner, N. Knopf, H. Pritzkow and L. Zsolnai, Angew. Chem., 1995, 107, 354; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 316; D. J. Brauer, H. Burger, G. L. Liewald and J. Wilke, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 287, 305; D. J. Brauer, H. Burger and G. L. Liewald, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 308, 119; M. Veith, A. Spaniol, J. Pôhlmann, F. Gross and V. Huch, Chem. Ber., 1993, 126, 2625.
- 8 Non-aqueous Solvent Systems, ed. T. C. Waddington, Academic Press, London, 1965, ch. 1, pp. 1–45 (W. L. Jolly and C. J. Hallada, *Liquid Ammonia*).
- 9 N. D. R. Barnett, W. Clegg, L. Horsburgh, D. M. Lindsay, Q.-Y. Liu, F. M. Mackenzie, R. E. Mulvey and P. G. Williard, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2321.
- 10 M. Veith, M. Zimmer and S. Müller-Becker, Angew. Chem., 1993, 105, 1771; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 1733.
- 11 A. H. Cowley, J. G. Lasch, N. C. Norman, M. Pakulski and B. R. Whitlesey, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 881.
- 12 E. Hey-Hawkins and S. Kurz, Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon, 1994, 90, 281.
- 13 P. Jutzi, U. Meyer, S. Opiela, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, Organometallics, 1991, 9 1459.
- 14 D. R. Armstrong, M. G. Davidson, R. P. Davies, H. J. Mitchell, R. M. Oakley, P. R. Raithby, R. Snaith and S. Warren, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **17**, 1942.
- 15 B. J. Wakefield, Organolithium Methods, Academic Press, New York, 1988; P. G. Williard, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon, New York, 1991, vol. 1; L. Maier, Organic Phosphorus Compounds, ed. G. M. Kosolapoff and M. Maier, Wiley, New York, 1972, vol. 1.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL 93, University of Göttingen, 1993.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 9th June 1997; 7/03979D

1880 Chem. Commun., 1997