Synthesis and structure of $[Sn(mit)_6Cu_4]$; a $[SnCu_4]$ cage supported by Sn–Cu **cluster bonding [mit =** $(\overline{CH})_2N(Me)C(\overline{-S})\overline{-N}$ **]**

Robert E. Allan,*a* **Alan Bashall,***b* **Julie S. Palmer,***a* **Mary McPartlin,***b* **Marta E. G. Mosquera,***a* **Jeremy M. Rawson,***a* **Andrew E. H. Wheatley***a* **and Dominic S. Wright****a*

a Chemistry Department, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW b School of Applied Chemistry, University of North London, Holloway Road, London, UK N7 8DB

The reaction of $[\text{Sn}(mit)_2]_n$ with CuCl gives the **heterobimetallic complex [{Sn(mit)6Cu4] 1, in which the** *hypho***-hexagonal-bipyramidal [SnCu4] core is stabilised by SnII–CuI cluster bonding between the** *endo* **lone pair of the** Sn centre and the tetrahedral Cu₄ fragment.

Much of our recent research has focused on the applications of a range of neutral and anionic p-block metal based ligands in the preparation of mixed-metal complexes.1,2 The organometallic anions of group 14 metals ($[R_3E]^-$; E = Ge–Pb)^{2*b*,3} and their metallo-organic relatives ($[{RY}_{3}E]^-$; Y = O, S, N, P)^{1a,4} are a readily prepared and extensive class of ligands. Unfunctionalised tri(organo) metal anions have been employed as metal-centred monodentate ligands to a variety of main-group3 and transition metals,⁵ giving heterobimetallic complexes containing metal–metal bonds. In contrast, the metallo-organic derivatives [e.g. tris(alkoxy) and tris(amido) stannates] generally act as bidentate or tridentate ligands, utilising the heteroatoms in their coordination to metal ions.4,6 However, the ability of these species to behave as multifunctional (metal- and heteroatom-centred) ligands has been utilised in the construction of mixed-metal complexes such as $[\{(\text{CO})_5\text{MSn}(\mu OBu^t$ ₃In{Mo(CO)₅}] (M = Cr, Mo).⁷

We report here that the addition of [CuCl] to a suspension of $[Sn(mit)₂]_n^8$ [mit = $(\overline{CH})_2N(Me)C(\overline{-S})\overline{-N}$] in the gives the novel heterobimetallic cage complex $[Sn(mit)_6Cu_4]$ 1.† The complex contains an unprecedented SnCu₄ cage arrangement in which a tris(amido) stannate unit supports the cluster by a combination of heteroatom and metal-centred donation. The synthesis of **1** can be conceived as occurring *via* the initial formation of $[Cu(mt)]_4^9e$ which is then complexed by a $[Sn(mit)₂]$ monomer (Scheme 1). The latter can be described as a synergic complexation reaction (*i.e.* resulting from a combination of N donation to Sn and lone pair donation from Sn to Cu).

A low-temperature (173 K) crystallographic study of **1**‡ shows it to be the heterobimetallic Cu^ISn^{II} complex $[Sn(mit)_{6}Cu_{4}]$ (Fig. 1), which has crystallised with four molecules of thf. The cage molecules of **1** are constructed from a C_{3v} symmetric *hypho*-hexagonal [SnCu₄] metal core linked together by a combination of N,S- and N, μ -S-chelating mit

Scheme 1

ligands.9 The SnII atom of **1**, which is bonded to the N centres of three mit ligands $[Sn(1)-N(5a) 2.202(6)$ Å], adopts a typicalpyramidal geometry $[N(5a)-Sn-N(5aa) 90.0(2)^{\circ}]$ which is symptomatic of the presence of a stereochemically active *endo* lone pair, which is directed along the major axis of the $[SnCu₄]$ core, toward Cu(3). Three symmetry related mit ligands form bridges between the Sn atom and each of the equatorial Cu atoms of the core $[S(7a)-Cu(2) 2.266(2)$ Å], with the remaining mit ligands N_{μ} -S-chelating the three available $Cu₃$ faces of the Cu4 pyramid. The arrangement of the mit ligands in **1** results in identical trigonal planar geometries for the equatorial Cu centres and in a pyramidal coordination geometry for the apical Cu atom $[S(7b) - Cu(3) - S(7ba)$ 113.78(5)^o]. The remaining vacant coordination site of the latter corresponds to the shortest Sn^{\dots} Cu contact made with the Sn^{II} lone pair $[\text{Sn}(1)\cdots\text{Cu}(3)]$ 2.727(2) Å being close to the sum of the metallic radii 2.69 Å, 10 Sn(1) \cdots Cu(3)–S(7b) 104.72(7)°]. In addition, the Sn centre also has longer interactions with the equatorial Cu atoms of the $Cu₄$ fragment $[Sn(1) \cdots Cu(2) 2.902(1) \text{ Å}]$.

Although no Ge–, Sn– or Pb–CuI bonded complexes have been structurally characterised, bonds between the heavier group 14 elements and AuI have been observed in a number of simple σ -bonded complexes.¹¹ The presence of relatively short $Sn...$ Cu contacts in **1** and the geometries of Cu(3) and $Sn(1)$ strongly indicate that Cu–Sn bonding supports the core. In addition, the involvement of the Sn centre results in a large rearrangement in the $Cu₄$ core from the folded-square arrangement present in $\left[\text{Cu(mit)}\right]_4$ {Cu…Cu range 2.671(2)–3.132(2) Å in [Cu(mit)]4;9*^e* Cu(2)···Cu(3) 2.683(1) in **1**; *cf.* 2.56 Å in Cu metal}.10

In order to assess the extent to which Sn...Cu and Cu...Cu interactions support the cage of 1, an extended Hückel molecular orbital (EHMO)¹² calculation was performed on its $[SnCu₄]^{6+}$ metal core.§ The lowest lying metal–metal bonding

Fig. 1 Cage structure of 1, showing the SnCu₄ arrangement. Key bond lengths (Å) and angles (°); $Sn(1) \cdots Cu(2)$ 2.902(1), $Sn(1) \cdots Cu(3)$ 2.727(2), $Cu(2) \cdots Cu(3)$ 2.683(1), $Sn(1) - N(5a)$ 2.202(6), $Cu(2) - S(7a)$ 2.266(2), Cu(2)–S(7ba) 2.300(2), Cu(3)–S(7a) 2.315(2), Cu(2)–N(5b) 1.967(6), $C(6a) - S(7a)$ 1.731(7), $C(6a) - N(5a)$ 1.335(9), $C(6b) - S(7b)$ 1.736(8), $C(6b)$ –N(5b) 1.34(1); S(7b)–Cu(3)–S(7ba) 113.78(5), Sn(1)…Cu(3)–S(7b) 104.72(7), N(5a)–Sn–N(5aa) 90.0(2).

*Chem. Commun***., 1997 1975**

Fig. 2 Extended Hückel molecular orbital calculation (EHMO) of [SnCu4]6+; (*a*) lowest metal-metal bonding MO, (*b*) the out-of-phase combination (LUMO)

orbital involves the totally in-phase interaction of the SnII lone pair with all four Cu centres [Fig. 2(*a*)], with the corresponding out-of-phase interaction forming the LUMO [Fig. 2(*b*)]. The bonding between the metal centres is predominantly of s or s/p character, with less than 10% d character being contributed from the Cu centres. The strongest bonding interaction occurs between Sn and the apical Cu centre (overlap population 0.15), with weaker bonding occurring between the Sn and equatorial Cu atoms (overlap population 0.10) and with Cu···Cu interactions only being present between the apical and equatorial Cu ions (overlap population 0.07). The formation of the $[SnCu₄]^{6+}$ cluster is accompanied by the donation of charge from SnII approximately equally to the Cu^I centres (total 0.35 e). The magnitudes of the Cu···Cu interactions in **1** are similar to those calculated by Hoffman for naked $[Cu]_4^{4+}$ using the same EHMO parameters.13 The small attraction between the Cu centres in the latter arises from mixing of the s and p orbitals with the d orbitals.13 The cluster MO of **1** corresponds to a similar combination of Cu atomic orbitals as in $\lbrack Cu \rbrack_{n}^{n+}$ with the s orbital of Sn. Clearly, the geometric constraints and bonding preferences of the ligands are likely to have the largest effect on the metal core geometry of **1**. However, the structural and calculational findings support the view that weak Sn···Cu metal–metal cluster bonding reinforces the cage arrangement.

We gratefully acknowledge the EPSRC (R. E. A., M. M., J. S. P.), the Royal Society (D. S. W.), the Leverhulme Trust (M. A. B.) and the Spanish Government (M. E. G. M) for financial support.

Footnotes and References

† *Synthesis of* **1**; [But NH2] (0.26 ml, 2.5 mmol) was added to a solution of $[Sn(NMe₂)₂]$ (0.52 g, 2.5 mmol) in toluene (20 ml). After bringing briefly to reflux, a yellow solution of [SnNBu^t]₄ was produced to which was added Hmit (0.28 g, 2.5 mmol). Stirring at room temp. (10 min) gave a white precipitate {later confirmed by elemental analysis and 1H NMR to be $[Sn(mit)₂]$ _{*n*}·*x*C₆H₅Me (*x* = 0.4–0.5)} The solvent was removed under vacuum and thf was added (20 ml). CuCl (0.125 g, 1.25 mmol) was added and, after stirring the mixture at reflux (5 min), a clear yellow solution was produced. Removal of *ca.* 10 ml of solvent under vacuum and subsequent storage at room temp. (2 d) gave colourless cubic crystals of **1** (0.17 g, 52% with respect to CuCl supplied). Decomp. *ca.* 200 °C with final melting at *ca.* 320 °C; IR (Nujol), $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1541w, 1524m (C \div C and C \div N str), 1294s, 1280s, 1143s, 1124s, 1063s, 743s, 716s, 697s, 679s; 1H NMR [250 Mz, $(CD_3)_2SO, +25 °C$], $\delta 6.89$ (s, CH of mit), 3.58 (m, thf), 3.43 (s, Me of mit), 1.78 (m, thf); ¹¹⁹Sn [149.1 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO, +25 °C, relative to SnCl₂-D₂O], δ 317.3 (s); analytical results indicate that only *ca*. 1.5 thf molecules are retained in the lattice when **1** is isolated under vacuum (0.1 atm, 10 min). Found C, 31.2; H, 3.7; N, 14.2. Calc. for $[Sn(mit)_6Cu_4] \cdot 1.5thf$: C, 31.1; H, 3.6; N, 14.5%.

‡ *Crystal data* for **1**·4thf: C40H72Cu4N12O4S6Sn, *M* = 1340.23, rhombohedral, space group *R*³, $a = 16.0538(6)$, $c = 39.001(3)$ Å, $U = 8704.8(8)$ Å³, $Z = 6$, $D_c = 1.552$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $T = 173(2)$ K, μ (Mo- $K\alpha$) = 2.15 mm⁻¹, $F(000)$ = 4080. Data were collected on a Siemens P4 diffractometer using an oil-coated rapidly cooled crystal14 of dimensions $0.32 \times 0.30 \times 0.28$ mm by the θ -2 ω method (1.55 $\le \theta \le 24.92^{\circ}$). Of a total of 4144 collected reflections, 3363 were independent ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0791$). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares on F^2 to final values of $R_1[F > 4\sigma(F)] = 0.062$ and $wR_2 = 0.192$ (all data);15,16 largest peak and hole in the final difference map 1.299 and -1.318 e Å⁻³. Three symmetry related molecules of thf had slight configurational disorder and a fourth thf molecule was equally disordered over two sites of C_3 symmetry. CCDC 182/583.

§ Calculations were carried out using the same arrangement as found in the solid-state structure of 1. Parameters utilised- Sn, ζ 2.120, H_s -16.16, ζ _p 1.820, H_p –8.32; Cu ζ_s 2.200, H_s –11.40, ζ_p 2.200, H_p –6.06, ζ_{d1} 5.950, *H*_d -14.00, *c*₁ 0.5933, ζ_{d2} 2.300, *c*₂ 0.5744.

- 1 M. A. Paver, C. A. Russell and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1995, **107**, 1077; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl*., 1995, **34**, 1545; M. A. Beswick, C. N. Harmer, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 1740; D. Barr, A. J. Edwards, S. Pullen, M. A. Paver, P. R. Raithby, M.-A. Rennie, C. A. Russell and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1994, **106**, 1960; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl*., 1994, **33**, 1875; A. J. Edwards, M. A. Paver, M.-A. Rennie, C. A. Russell, P. R. Raithby and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1995, **107**, 1088; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1012.
- 2 (*a*) C. Belle, M. A. Beswick, M. K. Davies, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2619; (*b*) M. A. Beswick, M. K. Davies, P. R. Raithby, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 1109.
- 3 D. Reed, D. Stalke and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1991, **103**, 1539; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**. 1459; P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, G. A. Lawless and B. Royo, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 554; D. R. Armstrong, M. G. Davidson, D. Moncrieff, D. Stalke and D. S. Wright, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1413.
- 4 M. Veith, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **90**, 3 and references therein.
- 5 See for example: F. Calderazzo, F. Pampaloni, G. Pelizzi and F. Vitali, *Polyhedron*, 1988, **7**, 2039; B. Crociani, M. Nicolini, D. A. Clemente and G. Bandoli, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1973, **49**, 249.
- 6 See for example: A. Steiner and D. Stalke, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem.* Commun., 1993, 1702; M. Veith and R. Rösler, *Angew. Chem.*, 1988, **94**, 867; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, **27**, 1381.
- 7 M. Veith and K. Kunze, *Angew. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 92; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **103**, 95.
- 8 Several mixed-metal complexes have been prepared by the reactions of early main-group metal thiolato complexes with transition-metal salts, for examples, see M. G. Davidson, P. R. Raithby, R. Snaith, D. Stalke and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem.*, 1991, **103**, 1696; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**, 1648; M. G. Davidson, S. C. Llewellyn, M.-I. Lopez-Solera. P. R. Raithby, R. Snaith and D. S. Wright, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 573.
- 9 For other complexes of CuI containing *N,S*-bridged organic groups, see: (*a*) E. S. Raper, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **61**, 115; (*b*) P. Karagiannidas, P. Aslamidis, S. Papastefanon, D. Mentzafos, H. Hountas and A. Tergis, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1989, **156**, 265; (*c*) R. Uson, A. Laguna, J. Jimenez, M. P. Gomez, A. Sainz and P. G. Joner, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1990, 3457; (*d*) E. W. Ainscough, B. F. Anderson, E. N. Baker, A. G. Bingham, M. L. Brader, A. M. Brodie and G. J. Gainsford, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1985, **105**, L5; (*e*) E. S. Raper, J. R. Creighton and W. Clegg, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1991, **183**, 179; (*f*) J. P. Fackler Jr., C. A. Lopez, R. L. Staples, S. Wang, R. E. P. Winpenny and R. P. Lattimer, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 146; (*h*) M. A. Beswick, C. Brasse, M. A. Halcrow, P. R. Raithby, C. A. Russell, A. Steiner, R. Snaith and D. S. Wright, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 3793.
- 10 M. L. McGlashan, *Chemistry Data Book*, ed. J. G. Stark and H. G. Wallace, John Murray, London, 1976 and references therein.
- 11 (*a*) W. Clegg, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B*, 1978, **34**, 278; (*b*) Z. Demidowicz, R. L. Johnson, J. C. Machell, D. M. P. Mingos and J. D. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1988, 1751; (*c*) S. Wang, G. Garson, C. King, J.-C. Wang and J. P. Fackler Jr., *Inorg. Chem*., 1989, **28**, 4623; (*d*) D. M. P. Mingos, H. R. Powell and T. L. Stolbert, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1992, **17**, 334; (*e*) A. Bauer and H. Schmidbauer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 5324; (*f*) M. Contel, K. W. Hellmann, L. H. Gade, I. J. Scowen, M. McPartlin and M. Laguna, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 3713.
- 12 C. Mealli and D. M. Proserpio, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1990, **67**, 399 (PC version 4.0, 1994).
- 13 P. K. Mehrotra and A. Hoffman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 2187.
- 14 D. Stalke and T. Kottke, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1993, **26**, 615.
- 15 SHELXTL PC version 5.03, Siemens Analytical Instruments, Madison, WI, 1994.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXA, University of Göttingen, 1990.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 21st July 1997; 7/05220K