Dinuclear cyclometallated platinum(II) complex as a sensitive luminescent probe for SDS micelles

Li-Zhu Wu,^a Tsz-Chun Cheung,^{a,b} Chi-Ming Che,^{a*†} Kung-Kai Cheung^a and Michael H. W. Lam^b

^a Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, PR China

^b Department of Biology and Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Ave., Hong Kong, PR China

The photoluminescent properties of two dinuclear cyclometallated Pt^{II} complexes, $[Pt_2L^1_2(\mu-dppm)]^{2+}$ 1 and $[Pt_2L^2_2(\mu-dppm)]^{2+}$ 2, in SDS micellar solution are studied and 1 is found to undergo enhancement of luminescence as well as switching of emissive states upon incorporation into SDS micelle.

Metal-metal to ligand charge transfer (MMLCT) emission has been receiving growing interest in the photophysics and photochemistry of dinuclear PtII diimine complexes.1 Such emission depends on the extent of PtII-PtII and/or ligand-ligand interactions. These interactions are weak under normal circumstances, but are sensitive to the surrounding environment. Thus, with suitable molecular design, such MMLCT emission of dinuclear Pt^{II} systems may serve as a means to probe the chemical environment in which the Pt^{II} complex is presented. One potentially important application is the probing of transformations in micellar microheterogenerous environments.² Here, we highlight a conformational non-rigid dinuclear cyclometallated Pt complex $[Pt_2L_2(\mu-dppm)]^{2+1}$ (dppm = $Ph_2PCH_2PPH_2$) (Fig. 1) as a new spectroscopic probe for SDS micelles.3 The flexible conformation of the PtII complex prompts the intramolecular metal-metal and/or ligand-ligand interactions, and hence its MMLCT emission, to be sensitive to the microheterogenerous environments of the SDS micelles (Scheme 1).

The ligand L¹ [4-(*p*-diethylphosphonophenyl)-6-phenyl-2,2'-bipyridine] was obtained from the reaction between 4-(p-bromophenyl)-6-phenyl-2,2'-bipyridine and diethyl phosphite in the presence of a Pd⁰ catalyst.⁴ The dinuclear Pt^{II} complex 1 was prepared by stirring [PtL1Cl] and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane in MeCN-EtOH. It was isolated as a PF₆⁻ salt. For comparison, an analogous complex, [Pt₂L²₂(µdppm)]2+ [L² = 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-phenyl-2,2'bipyridine] (Fig. 1), without $PO(OC_2H_5)_2$ groups was also prepared.[‡] The structure of $2[PF_6]_2$ has been characterized by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 2).§ The two planar [PtL²] units are nearly parallel to each other with a dihedral angle of 4.6°, and staggered with a torsion angle of 27.2°. The configurations of



Fig. 1 Complexes 1 and 2

the two [PtL²] units are identical and are related by a C_2 axis passing through the $-CH_2-$ unit of the dppm ligand. The intramolecular Pt–Pt distance is 3.150(1) Å. This is shorter than the intramolecular Pt–Pt distance of $[Pt_2L^3_2(\mu-dppm)]^{2+}$ (L³ = 6-phenyl-2,2'-bipyridine) (3.301 Å)⁵ but is comparable to that in $[Pt_2(NH_3)_4(\mu-C_4H_5N_2O_2)_2]^{2+}$ (3.131 Å).⁶ The structure of **1** is expected to resemble that of **2** as the spectroscopic properties of **1**[PF₆]₂ and **2**[PF₆]₂ are similar,¶ we envisage that there is also weak metal–metal and/or ligand–ligand interactions in the former complex.

Emission spectra of $1[PF_6]_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 and $2[PF_6]_2$ in MeCN show low energy bands at 648 nm and 661 nm, respectively, which are both attributable to the MMLCT $\{3[(d\sigma^*)\sigma(\pi^*)]\}$ transitions.¹ However, in MeOH, no emission from **1** can be observed. This is most probably due to quenching by solvent molecules at the open coordination sites. As shown in Fig. 3(a), addition of SDS micelles to an aqueous solution of 1 leads to an emission with λ_{max} at 530 nm ($\hat{\tau} = 1.4 \,\mu\text{s}$), which is similar to the MLCT emissions of related mononuclear cyclometallated PtII complexes.7 Interestingly, no MMLCT emission can be observed. We attribute that the SDS micelle creates a protecting environment for the PtII complex so that enhancement of emission is recorded upon incorporation of the dinuclear PtII complex. The fact that the emission of 1-SDS micelle is very different from those observed in CH₂Cl₂ solution and solid state suggests no intramolecular and/or intermolecular PtII-PtII interaction between the two cyclometallated PtII units upon incorporation of the complex in the SDS micelle.

It is well established that with increasing ionic strength, micelles will undergo substantial transformations in size and shape to create cylindrical micelles with large aggregation number and SDS head groups will be brought closer in proximity.⁸ We found that the emission properties of **1** in the SDS micelle are dramatically altered by the addition of counter cations. Fig. 3 shows the emission spectra of **1** in the SDS micellar solution recorded at different NaCl concentrations. Initial addition of NaCl slightly enhances the intensity and





Fig. 2 Perspective view of 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pt–Pt 3.150(1), Pt(1)–N(1) 2.15(1), Pt(1)–C(29) 2.02(1), P(1)–C(2) 1.84(1), N(1)–C(14) 1.34(1), N(2)–C(19) 1.35(1), Pt(1)–P(1) 2.25(1), Pt(1)–N(2) 2.00(1), P(1)–C(1) 1.82(1), P(1)–C(8) 1.82(1), N(1)–C(18) 1.37(1), N(2)–C(23) 1.36(1); P(1)–Pt(1)–N(1) 106.1(2), P(1)–Pt(1)–C(29) 95.5(2), N(1)–Pt(1)–C(29) 104.0(5), Pt(1)–P(1)–C(1) 115.4(3), Pt(1)–P(1)–C(8) 116.2(3), P(1)–Pt(1)–C(29) 95.5(2), N(1)–Pt(1)–N(2) 77.3(2), N(2)–Pt(1)–C(29) 80.9(3), Pt(1)–P(1)–C(2) 113.4(3), C(1)–P(1)–C(2) 105.6(4).



Fig. 3 Emission spectra of 1 at various [NaCl] in SDS micellar solution. [SDS] = 1.52×10^{-2} mol dm⁻³, [1] = 3.46×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³, [NaCl] mol dm⁻³: (a) 0, (b) 0.06, (c) 0.2, (d) 0.3, (e) 0.4, (f) 0.5.

lifetime of the 530 nm emission. An additional emission maximum at 650 nm gradually develops as [NaCl] increases. The 650 nm emission corresponds well to the ³MMLCT emission of 1 recorded in CH_2Cl_2 solution and in solid state. Time resolved emission spectra of 1 in SDS micellar solution recorded at [NaCl] = 0.5 M show different decay rates for the 530 and 650 nm emission maxima. This indicated that the two emissions are coming from two different excited states. Presumably they are the MLCT excited states of the two noninteracting cyclometallated PtII units and the MMLCT excited states of two interacting ones (Scheme 1), respectively. The sensitive responses of the luminescent properties of 1 to [NaCl] can be rationalized as follows. The cyclometallated PtII molecules may not reside too deep in the SDS micellar cores and are still subjected to quenching by the solvent molecules. Addition of Na⁺ brings the SDS head groups closer in proximity

through a reduction in electrostatic repulsion. This would reduce water penetration at the micellar surface and enhance the hydrophobicity of the medium so that solvent induced nonradiative decay at the open coordination sites is prohibited. Thus, the intensity and lifetime of the 530 nm emission are both enhanced upon initial addition of NaCl. Importantly, the alignment of the SDS head group with Na⁺ cations would also force two cyclometallated PtII units close enough for intramolecular metal-metal and/or ligand-ligand interaction (Scheme 1). This ultimately leads to an accessible MMLCT excited state and accounts for the 650 nm emission at high NaCl concentration. The alignment of the SDS head groups in the micellar solution would be expected to depend on the size of the counter cations. We found that addition of K⁺ or NH₄⁺ gave a similar effect on the photoluminescence of the micellar solution of **1**. However, the bulky NMe₄⁺ cation did not give rise to any low energy emission with $\lambda_{max} \ge 600$ nm. The above data show that the emission properties of a

The above data show that the emission properties of a cyclometallated dinuclear Pt^{II} complex are sensitive to the micelle environment. Switching from MLCT to MMLCT emission is observed upon micellar transformation. This reveals the potential application of weak metal–metal interaction present in a conformational non-rigid molecule as a sensitive probe for micellar microheterogeneous environments.

We acknowledge support from Hong Kong Research Grant Council and the University of Hong Kong.

Notes and References

† E-mail: cmche@hkucc.hku.hk

[‡] *NMR data*: **1**[PF₆]₂: ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 270 MHz), δ 1.40 (t, CH₂CH₃, 12 H), 4.29 (q, CH₂CH₃, 8 H), 4.88 [t, PCH₂P, ³J(PtPCH₂) 13 Hz]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (MeCN), δ 19.46 [PCH₂P, ¹J(PtP) 2037 Hz], 16.75 [(EtO)OP]. **2**[PF₆]₂: ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 270 MHz), δ 5.23 [t, PCH₂P, ³J(PtPCH₂) 13 Hz]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (MeCN), δ 19.16, ¹J(PtP) 2060 Hz.

§ *Crystal data* for 2[PF₆]₂; C₆₉H₅₂Cl₂F₁₂N₄Pt₂P₄·2C₃H₇NO, M = 1893.33, monoclinic, space group *C*2/*c* (no. 15), T = 301 K, a = 13.099(2), b = 38.901(4), c = 14.259(5) Å, $\beta = 90.98(2)^{\circ}$, U = 7264(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.732$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 40.75 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 3720, an orange crystal of dimensions 0.50 × 0.07 × 0.05 mm, data collected by an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and empirial absorption corrections based on the ψ -scan of four strong reflections. Convergence for 3551 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ was reached at R = 0.029, wR = 0.032, goodness-of-fit = 1.48, (Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.03, the final difference Fourier map was featureless with maximum positive and negative peaks of 0.91 and 0.60 e Å⁻³ respectively. CCDC 182/806.

¶ Spectroscopic data: 1[PF₆]₂: UV–VIS (CH₂Cl₂) [λ /nm, (ε /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 290 (6.58 × 10⁴), 320 (4.30 × 10⁴). Emission spectrum (CH₂Cl₂, 298 K) (λ _{max}/nm, τ /µs): 648 (2.30). 2[PF₆]₂: UV–VIS (MeCN) [λ /nm, (ε /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹)]: 293 (4.79 × 10⁴), 320 (4.12 × 10⁴), 400–526 (3.90 × 10³–1.00 × 10³), 532–561 (9.70 × 10²–2.00 × 10²). Emission spectrum (MeCN, 298 K) (λ _{max}/nm, τ /µs): 661 (0.19).

- V. H. Holding and V. M. Miskowski, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **111**, 145;
 J. A. Bailey, V. M. Miskowski and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 369;
 H. K. Yip, C. M. Che, Z. Y. Zhou and T. C. W. Mak, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1369.
- 2 J. H. Fendler, *Membrane Mimetic Chemistry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1982.
- 3 H. Q. Liu, T. C. Cheung and C. M. Che, Chem. Commun., 1996, 1039.
- 4 T. Hirao, T. Masunaga, Y. Ohshiro and T. Agawa, Synthesis, 1981, 56.
- 5 T. C. Cheung, K. K. Cheung, S. M. Peng and C. M. Che, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 1645.
- 6 J. P. Laurent, P. Lepage and F. Dahan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 7335
- 7 C. W. Chan, T. F. Lai, C. M. Che and S. M. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, **115**, 11245; M. Maestri, V. Sandrini, A. von Zelewsky and P. Jolliet, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1988, **71**, 134.
- 8 J. P. Krathovil, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1980, 75, 271.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 3rd March 1998; 8/01754I