## A novel, internally-solvated phosphinomethanide; crystal structure of $Li[C(SiMe_3)_2{P(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_2}]$

### William Clegg, Simon Doherty,\*† Keith Izod\*† and Paul O'Shaughnessy

Department of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK NE1 7RU

# Metallation of $P\{CH(SiMe_3)_2\}(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_2$ with Bu<sup>n</sup>Li yields a novel lithium phosphinomethanide in which the ligand exhibits an unprecedented tridentate PN<sub>2</sub> coordination mode and in which there are no short contacts between lithium and the planar carbanion centre.

Over the last decade an extensive chemistry has emerged for phosphorus-stabilised carbanionic ligands such as the phosphinomethanides,  $R_2PCR_2$ , and diphosphinomethanides,  $(R_2P)_2CR$ . Interest in these ligands arises from their ability to bind to metal centres in a variety of coordination modes, providing varying degrees of steric hindrance and electron donation. Mono-, di- and tri-phosphinomethanides are known to coordinate as monodentate C-donors,<sup>1</sup>  $\eta^2$ -CP-donors,<sup>2</sup> bidentate PP-donors,<sup>3</sup> heteroallyl ligands,<sup>4</sup> and bridging ligands.<sup>5</sup> We now describe a unique coordination mode for such a ligand in a lithium derivative of an amino-functionalised phosphinomethanide.

phosphine Treatment of the tertiary P{CH(Si- $Me_{3}_{2}$  (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>-2)<sub>2</sub> 1 with 1 equiv. of Bu<sup>n</sup>Li in diethyl yields the novel phosphinomethanide Li[C(Siether  $Me_{3}_{2}$  [P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>-2)<sub>2</sub>] 2, isolated in high yield as yellow, air-sensitive plates (Scheme 1).<sup>‡</sup> Whilst metallation of the related ligand HC(PMe<sub>2</sub>)(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> requires heating under reflux with BunLi for three weeks in hexane,1 metallation of 1 was complete within 12 h at room temperature. It is reasonable to attribute the enhanced susceptibility of 1 towards deprotonation to chelation assistance, *i.e.* the coordination of an aminogroup to lithium prior to the deprotonation step.



### Scheme 1

The room temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **2** exhibits two SiMe<sub>3</sub> signals, together with extremely broad resonances due to the benzylic and NMe<sub>2</sub> protons. These latter signals sharpen considerably at 60 °C, which suggests a dynamic process, possibly involving changes in chelate ring conformation or dissociation of the NMe<sub>2</sub>-groups, in solution. In contrast, the <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>7</sup>Li NMR spectra of **2** consist of a sharp quartet and doublet respectively [<sup>1</sup>J(<sup>31</sup>P-<sup>7</sup>Li) 88.9 Hz], suggesting that a Li–P interaction is maintained in solution.

Since the addition of polydentate amines to lithium phosphinomethanides favours the formation of C-bonded species,<sup>1</sup> we were interested to observe the influence of intramolecular coordination of the dimethylamino groups on the structure of **2**. Unexpectedly, an X-ray diffraction study of **2** revealed an unprecedented tridentate  $PN_2$  coordination mode for the phosphinomethanide ligand (Fig. 1).§ The lithium lies in a trigonal pyramidal environment (sum of angles at Li = 326.1°), coordinated solely by the phosphorus and nitrogen atoms of the ligand, forming two puckered, six-membered chelate rings, each with a bite angle of *ca.* 98°. The carbanion centre C(1) is perfectly planar [sum of angles at C(1) =  $359.4^{\circ}$ ] and has no significant intra- or inter-molecular contacts to Li. Few examples of planar, isolated carbanions have been reported and the majority of these contain highly delocalised polyaryl-methanide derivatives.<sup>6</sup>

As is common in phosphinomethanide species, the P–C(1) distance in **2** [1.735(3) Å] is substantially shorter than that expected for a P–C single bond, suggesting significant P–C multiple bond character. Indeed the P–C(1) distance in the tertiary phosphine {(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>CH}P(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> **3**, which is isomeric with **1**, is 1.865(2) Å.<sup>7</sup> The P–C(1) distance in **2** is considerably longer than both the P–CH<sub>2</sub> distance of 1.702(2) Å in [(PhCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NLi·CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>n</sub>, a Li complex of a neutral P<sup>V</sup> ylide,<sup>8</sup> and the P–C bond length range of 1.64(1) to 1.690(5) Å in a series of lithiated phosphine oxide compounds.<sup>9</sup> Such a difference is to be expected since these latter compounds are P<sup>V</sup> derivatives with substantial ylidic character. The Si–C(1) distances of 1.818(3) and 1.826(3) Å are similar to previously reported Si–C distances in other silicon-stabilised carbanions.<sup>10</sup>

The Li–P distance of 2.427(6) Å is short in comparison to analogous distances in lithium phosphinomethanides where the ligand binds in its more usual coordination modes. For example, the Li–P distances in  $[\text{Li}\{C(PMe_2)(SiMe_3)_2\}]_2^1$  and (tmen)-Li $\{C(PPh_2)_2(SiMe_3)\}^3$  are 2.519(4) and 2.530(7) Å, respectively. This may reflect the increased ionic contribution to the Li–P interaction associated with the delocalisation of charge from the carbanion centre to phosphorus.



**Fig. 1** Molecular structure of **2** (H atoms omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Li–P 2.427(6), Li–N(1) 2.096(6), Li–N(2) 2.083(6), C(1)–P 1.735(3), C(1)–Si(1) 1.818(3), C(1)–Si(2) 1.826(3), P–Li–N(1) 98.4(2), P–Li–N(2) 97.4(2), N(1)–Li–N(2) 130.3(3), Li–P–C(1) 126.31(16), P–C(1)–Si(1) 126.26(18), P–C(1)–Si(2) 113.61(17), Si(1)–C(1)–Si(2) 119.49(16).

Chem. Commun., 1998 1129

Investigations into the coordination behaviour of this and related amino-functionalised phosphinomethanides are currently in progress.

#### **Notes and References**

† E-mail: k.j.izod@ncl.ac.uk; simon.doherty@ncl.ac.uk

‡ *Characterisation* of **2**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 343K),  $\delta$ 0.39 (s, SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 0.62 (s, SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 (br s, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 3.10 (br s, CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>), 6.91–7.16 (m, ArH); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  –18.2 [q, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>31</sup>P–<sup>7</sup>Li) 88.9 Hz]; <sup>7</sup>Li NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  1.25 (d).

§ *Crystal data* for **2**: C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>42</sub>LiN<sub>2</sub>PSi<sub>2</sub>, *M* = 464.7, triclinic, space group *P*Ī, *a* = 9.1358(12), *b* = 9.3158(13), *c* = 17.369(2) Å, *α* = 86.816(3), *β* = 98.275(3), *γ* = 68.972(4)°, *U* = 1378.1(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, *D<sub>c</sub>* = 1.120 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, *μ* = 0.20 mm<sup>-1</sup> (Mo-Kα radiation, *λ* = 0.71073 Å), *T* = 160 K, crystal size 0.28 × 0.18 × 0.02 mm. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on *F*<sup>2</sup> values of all 4816 unique data (8451 data measured on Bruker AXS SMART diffractometer,  $2\theta_{max} = 50^{\circ}$ , *R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.0501) with anistropic displacement parameters and riding isotropic hydrogen atoms; *wR* = 0.1161 for all *F*<sup>2</sup> values, conventional *R* = 0.0539 for *F* values of 2925 reflections having *F*<sub>0</sub><sup>-2</sup> >  $2\sigma(F_0^{-2})$ , goodness of fit = 0.985, for all *F*<sup>2</sup> values and 291 refined parameters. A final difference map was essentially featureless. Programs were standard Bruker AXS SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL together with local programs. CCDC 182/837.

1 H. H. Karsch, K. Zellner, P. Mikulcik, J. Lachmann and G. Muller, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 190.

- 2 H. H. Karsch, B. Deubelly, J. Hofmann, U. Pieper and G. Muller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, **110**, 3654.
- 3 H. H. Karsch, G. Grauvogl, P. Mikulcik, P. Bissinger and G. Muller, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 465, 65.
- 4 H. H. Karsch, A. Appelt and G. Muller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1986, **25**, 823.
- 5 H. H. Karsch, G. Ferazin and P. Bissinger, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 505.
- 6 U. Pieper and D. Stalke, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 1201 and references therein; T. Kottke and D. Stalke, Chem. Ber./Recueil, 1997, 130, 1365; N. Wiberg, G. Wagner, G. Reber, J. Riede and G. Muller, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 35; F. Adam, C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock and J. D. Smith, Chem. Commun., 1996, 741.
- 7 W. Clegg, S. Doherty, K. Izod and P. O'Shaughnessy, unpublished work.
- 8 D. R. Armstrong, M. G. Davidson and D. Moncrieff, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., Engl.* 1995, **34**, 478.
- W. Zarges, M. Marsch, K. Harms, F. Haller, G. Frenking and G. Boche, *Chem. Ber.*, 1991, **124**, 861; S. E. Denmark, K. A. Swiss and S. R. Wilson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 2515; C. J. Cramer, S. E. Denmark, P. C. Miller, R. L. Dorow, K. A. Swiss and S. R. Wilson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 2437.
- 10 C. Eaborn, K. Izod and J. D. Smith, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 89 and references therein.

Received in Basel, Switzerland, 16th February 1998; 8/01342J