Synthesis of chiral carbohydrate-centered dendrimers

Michael Dubber and Thisbe K. Lindhorst*†

Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany

D-Glucose was converted into its per-*O*-(2-aminoethyl)functionalized derivative 4, which served as initiator core for the construction of the chiral, monodisperse PAMAM-type carbohydrate-centered hybrid dendrimer 7.

Dendrimer chemistry is a rapidly developing field of research.¹ Dendrimers offer the prospect of novel materials with advantagous properties, allowing them to serve as soluble catalysts,² dendritic boxes³ or other supramolecular dendritic arrangements. Furthermore, new applications such as enantioselective synthesis with chiral dendrimers, or favourable bioapplications such as in transfection⁴ and glycobiology, are currently being explored. Dendrimer chemistry has been combined with carbohydrate chemistry in order to form multivalent glycomimetics⁵ (glycodendrimers) with antiadhesive properties or other carbohydrate containing dendrimers.⁶ Other than from the point of view of glycobiology, the combination of carbohydrates and dendrimers is attractive for the manipulation of dendritic structures leading to modified properties of, as yet, unestimated value. Carbohydrate cores are especially appealing for the design of dendrimers due to their easy availibility from the chiral pool and renewable resources, biocompatibility, low toxicity and natural polyfunctionality. In addition, intrinsic chirality may be introduced into dendrimers by using carbohydrate cores.⁷ Furthermore, the stereochemical shape of dendrimers may be easily manipulated by choosing differently configurated monosaccharides, and the core multiplicity may be altered by utilizing mono-, di- or even oligosaccharides as carbohydrate cores, such as trehalose or raffinose. This is of special interest as the shape and composition of dendrimers may dramatically affect their host-guest relationships.8

Here we report the first synthesis of a carbohydrate-centered Starburst[®] PAMAM dendrimer based on a reaction sequence which is suited to converting reducing sugars into carbohydrate derivatives which can serve as initiator cores for the development of PAMAM generations. This was exemplified with p-glucose. First, allyl α -p-glucoside **1**, which was obtained by Fischer glycosylation, was perallylated to **2** under phase transfer catalysis (Scheme 1). Partially allylated products were not formed as the allylation rate increases with the extent of allylation of the starting material under these conditions.⁹ Ozonolysis of the resulting allyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-allyl- α -D-glucoside (**2**) was performed in a NaHCO₃-buffered CH₂Cl₂-

MeOH reaction mixture¹⁰ to yield the desired penta-hemiacetal after reduction with PPh₃. This was characterized as the corresponding pentahydrate by NMR spectroscopy in D₂O. The penta-hemiacetal was submitted to a reductive amination reaction: application of BnNH₂ led to nearly inseparable mixtures comprised of cyclic amines, due to intramolecular reactions. However, the use of Bn₂NH and sodium acetoxybor-ohydride¹¹ as the reducing agent gave the desired benzyl cluster **3** at -10 °C in high yields without purification problems. Then **3** was converted into the pentaamine **4** by heterogeneous catalytic transfer hydrogenation reaction¹² with ammonium formate and Pd (10% on charcoal) in MeOH in a clean reaction. Thus the target compound **4** could be synthesized starting from allyl glucoside (**1**) in 43% overall yield.

The glucose derivative 4 represents a stereochemically welldefined, oligofunctional molecule with five uniformly functionalized spacers. It may serve as core molecule for the synthesis of carbohydrate-centered glycoclusters¹³ or of carbohydrate-centered dendrimers. It was submitted to the reaction sequence leading to PAMAM dendrimer generations, consisting of the exhaustive Michael addition of the polyamine to methyl acrylate, followed by amidation of the resulting methyl esters with ethylenediamine.¹⁴ Indeed reaction of **4** with methyl acrylate led to the decaester 5 in quantitative yield, which was quantitatively converted into the first generation hybrid PAMAM dendrimer 6 with ethylenediamine (Scheme 2). Further branching at the amino functions at the periphery of the molecule led to the eicosaester 7, also in quantitative yield. The monodisperse structures of 5-7 could unequivocally be confirmed by NMR spectroscopy as 1H-13C HMBC NMR allowed the detection of each individual branch of every molecule.[‡] All synthesized compounds are chiral.§ However, the specific rotation values of the carbohydrate-centered dendrimers decrease with increasing generation, according to observations made in the literature,¹⁵ whereas the molar rotation values remain in the same range (ca. 400).

In conclusion, a reaction sequence has been elaborated which is generally applicable for the facile and uniform conversion of free saccharides into fully *O*-(2-aminoethyl)-functionalized derivatives such as **4**. These are suited for dendritic expansion, opening the door into a wide array of structurally diverse, but well-defined, carbohydrate-centered PAMAM dendrimers. The new hybrid dendrimers may be custom-designed by choice of the carbohydrate core giving rise to possible advantageous



Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions*: i, allyl chloride (5 equiv.), 40% aq. NaOH, Bu₄NBr (1 equiv.), 35 °C, 16 h, 76%; ii, O₃, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂–MeOH 6: 1, work-up with PPh₃; iii, Na(AcO)₃BH, AcOH, Bn₂NH, THF, work-up with 1 M NaOH, 74% (2 steps); iv, Pd–C (10%), NH₄HCO₂, MeOH, 76%



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i, methyl acrylate (22 equivs.), MeOH, 3 d, room temp., quant.; ii, ethylendiamine (600 equivs.), MeOH, 5 d, +5 °C, quant. iii, methyl acrylate (60 equivs.), MeOH, 3 d, room temp., quant.

properties compared to classical PAMAMs, such as altered solubilities and overall shapes, better biodegradibility, lower toxicity and chirality.

The authors wish to thank Dr V. Sinnwell for NMR experiments, Professor Dr J. Thiem for his assistance and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) for financial support.

Notes and References

† E-mail: tklind@chemie.uni-hamburg.de

‡ All compounds showed consistent NMR and mass spectral data. Selected *data* for **7**: MALDI-TOF (positive) [Calc. for C₁₄₆H₂₅₇N₂₅O₅₆: 3258.8. Found 3261.0 (M + 1)]; $\delta_{\rm H}(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{OD}) 5.02 \text{ (d, 1 H, } J_{1.2} 3.2, \text{H-1})$, 4.03–3.93 (m, 2 H, OCH2CH2N), 3.88–3.59 (m, 73 H, H-5, H-6, H-6', 4 OCH_2CH_2N , 20 OCH_3), 3.54 (dd \approx t, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 9.2, $J_{3,4}$ 9.2, H-3), 3.34–3.27 [m, 21 H, H-2, 10 C(O)NCH₂CH₂N], 3.26 (dd \approx t, 1 H, J_{4,5} 9.2, H-4), 3.0–2.89 [m, 20 H, 10 NCH₂CH₂C(O)N], 2.89–2.76 (dd \approx t, 50 H, 5 OCH_2CH_2N , 20 $NCH_2CH_2CO_2Me$), 2.64–2.57 [dd \approx t, 20 H, 10 C(O)NCH₂CH₂N], 2.54–2.49 (dd \approx t, 40 H, 20 NCH₂CH₂CO₂Me), 2.49–2.41 [dd \approx t, 20 H, 10 NCH₂CH₂C(O)N]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 175.9 (20 CO₂Me), 175.7-175.5 (10 CO₂N), 99.2 (C-1), 84.5 (C-3), 83.2 (C-2), 80.9 (C-4), 73.1 (C-5), 73.0, 72.9, 72.5, 71.8, 70.7, 68.3 (C-6, 5 OCH2CH2N), 55.7, 55.6, 55.5, 55.0, 54.7 (5 OCH₂CH₂N), 55.0 [20 C(O)NCH₂CH₂N], 53.4 (20 CO₂CH₃), 52.7, 52.6, 52.6, 52.5, 52.5 [10 NCH₂CH₂C(O)N], 51.7 (20 NCH2CH2CO2Me), 39.7 [10 C(O)NCH2CH2N], 35.8, 35.7, 35.6, 35.6, 35.5 [10 NCH₂CH₂C(O)N], 34.8 (20 NCH₂CH₂ CO₂Me).

§ Selected specific optical rotations: **1** $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ +160.5 (*c* 1.09, MeOH); **3** $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{27}$ +35.2 (*c* 1.18, CHCl₃); **5** $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{27}$ +34.6 (*c* 0.96, MeOH); **7** $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ +13.1 (*c* 0.38, MeOH).

- 1 F. Zeng and S. C. Zimmerman, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 1681.
- 2 M. T. Reetz, G. Lohmer and R. Schwickardi, Angew. Chem., 1997, 109, 1559; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 1526.
- 3 J. F. G. A. Jansen, E. M. M. de Brabander-van den Berg and E. W. Meijer, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1995, **114**, 225.
- 4 M. X. Tang, C. T. Redemann and F. C. Szoka, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 1996, **7**, 703.
- 5 D. Zanini and R. R. Roy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 2088.
- 6 N. Jayaraman, S. A. Nepogodiev and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 1193.
- 7 H. W. I. Peerlings and E. W. Meijer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, 3, 1563; P. K. Murer, J.-M. Lapierre, G. Greiveldinger and D. Seebach, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1997, 80, 1648.
- 8 R. Esfand, A. E. Beezer, J. C. Mitchell and L. J. Twyman, *Pharm. Sci.*, 1996, **2**, 1; D. M. Watkins, Y. Sayed-Sweet, J. W. Klimash, N. J. Turro and D. A. Tomalia, *Langmuir*, 1997, **13**, 3136.
- 9 R. M. Nouguier and M. Mchich, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3296.
- 10 S. L. Schreiber, R. E. Claus and J. Reagan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 23, 3867.
- 11 A. F. Abdel-Magid, K. G. Carson, B. D. Harris, C. A. Maryanoff and R. D. Shah, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 3849.
- 12 S. Ram and L. D. Spicer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 515.
- 13 C. Kieburg, M. Dubber and T. K. Lindhorst, Synlett, 1997, 1447.
- 14 A. D. Miltzer, D. A. Tirrell, A. A. Jones, P. T. Inglefield, D. M. Hedstrand and D. A. Tomalia, *Macromolecules*, 1992, 25, 4541.
- 15 D. Seebach, J.-M. Lapierre, G. Greiveldinger and K. Skobridis, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1994, 77, 1673.

Received in Liverpool, UK, 20th January 1998; 8/00560E