# A novel molecular species incorporating a cyclic organolithate anion and a disiloxane-solvated lithium cation 
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The disiloxane $\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}$ is readily metallated by LiMe in thf (thf = tetrahydrofuran) to give the compound $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SiMe})_{3} \mathrm{LiC}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OLi}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}$, the crystal structure of which is reported.

In 1983 we reported the first diorganolithate, $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][\mathrm{Li}\{\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}\right] 1$ (thf $=$ tetrahydrofuran) ${ }^{1}$ and more recently we described the related cyclic species $\mathbf{2 .}{ }^{2}$ We have now obtained and structurally characterized the somewhat more distantly related cyclic lithate 3, which has unprecedented features.


We have found that the disiloxane $\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}{ }^{3}$ is metallated by LiMe in thf at room temperature, that is much more readily than $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH},{ }^{4}$ probably because of initial interaction of the siloxane oxygen atom with the Li of the LiMe. $\ddagger$ Recrystallization of the product, $\mathbf{3}$, from heptane gave crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study, which yielded the structure shown in Fig. 1.§
The main novel features of $\mathbf{3}$ are as follows.
(a) In contrast to $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, in each of which the lithate anion and the solvated lithium cation are well separated, compound $\mathbf{3}$ is a molecular dipolar species, the $\mathrm{Li}(2)^{+}$centre being attached to the lithate ion via the oxygen of the siloxane linkage. Both the $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Li}(2)$ atoms have essentially planar geometries [sum of angles $358.6(5)$ and $360.0(6)^{\circ}$, respectively]. The $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}$ angle is $138.5(3)^{\circ}$, a fairly normal value for a siloxane linkage. ${ }^{5}$ The angle between the bonds to the thf molecules, $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Li}(2)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2) 101.2(6)^{\circ}$, is narrow, presumably to minimize steric interactions.
(b) As far as we can ascertain compound $\mathbf{3}$ is the first example of a structurally characterized disiloxane-metal complex in which the ligand is attached to the metal only through its oxygen atom. In all other examples of coordination of disiloxane oxygen to a metal this bonding is supported by bonding through another atom of the ligand; examples include systems of the types $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Si},{ }^{6} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2},{ }^{7}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}-$ $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Si} .{ }^{8}$ (A polysiloxane analogue of a crown ether complex, $\left[\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{OSiMe}_{2}\right)_{7}\right]^{+},{ }^{9}$ and siloxane-solvation of Na and K centres within complex polysiloxane frameworks ${ }^{10}$ were recently reported.) Remarkably, since siloxanes are normally thought to be much weaker donors than organic ethers, ${ }^{6,7,11}$ the Li-O bond to the siloxane oxygen in $3,1.917(12) \AA$, is not significantly longer than those to the thf molecules, mean $1.912(12) \AA$. [See also (d) below. In contrast, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}$ bonds in the Pd and Zr compounds mentioned above are rather long, and this was attributed to the poor donor ability of siloxanes. ${ }^{6,7}$ ] The interaction of the oxygen lone pair with Li leads to a large increase in the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ bond length to a mean of $1.704(5) \AA$ from the $1.63-1.64 \AA$ usually found in disiloxanes. ${ }^{5}$
(c) The mean Li-C bond length in 3, 2.12(2) $\AA$, is not significantly different from that in $\mathbf{1}, 2.18(1) \AA$, or $\mathbf{2}, 2.156(4)$ $\AA$. To accommodate the demands of the six-membered ring the C-Li-C angle is lowered to $144.2(7)^{\circ}$, compared with $180^{\circ}$ in 1 and $171.4(7)^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{2}$. The closest contacts between the Li atom and the methyl groups are to $\mathrm{C}(6)$ and $\mathrm{C}(18)[\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{C}(6) 3.12, \mathrm{Li}-$ $\mathrm{C}(18) 3.00 \AA]$, there are corresponding contacts $(3.04 \AA)$ in 2 .
(d) In contrast to 2 , in which the exocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ bonds and endocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bonds are all essentially of equal length, mean $1.829(4)^{\circ}$, in $\mathbf{3}$ the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ bonds have a mean length of $1.835(4)^{\circ}$, effectively identical with those in 2 , but the endocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bonds have a mean length of only $1.806(6)^{\circ}$. This can be attributed to more effective delocalization of carbanionic charge by negative hyperconjugation ${ }^{12}$ directed towards oxygen rather than towards carbon. ${ }^{7}$ Such enhancement of the negative charge on the oxygen atom could strengthen the $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Li}(2)$ interaction. (Or, from an alternative viewpoint, this interaction could enhance the hyperconjugation.)
(e) The mean of the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}$ angles in $\mathbf{3}$ is $117.3^{\circ}$, compared with $115.9^{\circ}$ in 2 , but, the separate values, and those of the $\mathrm{Li}-$ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}$ angles, range more widely than in 2 . The $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ angles, mean $114.0(2)^{\circ}$, are markedly smaller than the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}-$ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ angles, mean $119.6^{\circ}$, these latter angles varying


Fig. 1 Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 3: C(1)-Si(1) 1.843(7), $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(2) 1.829(7), \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3) 1.809(6), \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(4) 1.804(6), \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(5)$ $1.834(7), \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(6) 1.836(7), \mathrm{C}(1)-\operatorname{Li}(1) 2.105(14), \mathrm{C}(2)-\operatorname{Li}(1) 2.13(2)$, $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3) \quad 1.706(5), \quad \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(4) \quad 1.702(5), \quad \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(2) \quad 1.917(12)$, $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Li}(2) 1.909(12), \mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Li}(2) 1.914(13), \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Me}$ (mean) 1.886(6); $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(2) 113.5(3), \mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3) 115.5(3), \mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3)$ $124.4(4), \mathrm{Si}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(5) \quad 117.8(4), \mathrm{Si}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(6) 120.8(4), \mathrm{Si}(5)-$ $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(6)$ 114.6(3), $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 144.2(7), \mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3)$ 93.2(4), $\mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(4) \quad 94.8(4), \quad \mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(1)$ 99.6(5), $\mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(5)$ $104.5(5), \mathrm{Li}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(6) \quad 97.2(5), \mathrm{Si}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(2) 109.1(5), \mathrm{Si}(4)-$ $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(2) 111.0(5) \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 125.6(7), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Li}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3) 133.2(7)$, $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Li}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3) 101.2(6), \mathrm{Si}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(4)$ 138.5(3), $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ 100.3(3), $\quad \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10) \quad 104.3(3), \quad \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1) \quad 99.4(3)$, $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12) 106.1(3), \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Me}$ (mean) 103.9(4).
from $115.5(3)$ to $124.4(4)^{\circ}$. As in 2 the two $\mathrm{CSi}_{3}$ systems on either side of the molecule are distorted and tilted (with respect to the Li-C bonds) differently; presumably this is predominantly to maximize Me $\cdots$ Me distances, but it also accommodates the observed Me $\cdots$ Li interactions. In consequence the molecule as a whole has no symmetry.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ can be expected to serve as a source of the dicarbanionic ligand $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$. This ligand should give novel cyclic and linear-polymeric derivatives of a range of metals, and may be especially effective in the case of cyclic derivatives of elements for which the dialkylmetals prefer a bent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ framework, e.g. $\mathrm{Ca},{ }^{13} \mathrm{Yb}^{14,15}$ and Eu. ${ }^{15}$
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$\ddagger$ Water $\left(0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClMe}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{16}(4.74$ g ) in 1,4-dioxane $\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed to leave $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{HOMe}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{3}$ which was distilled under vacuum then left exposed to the air for 3 days to give $\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}$, with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data identical with those previously reported. ${ }^{3}$ A solution of $\mathrm{LiMe}(1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $\left(0.90 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise at room temperature to a stirred solution of the $\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in thf $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and the solvent then removed under vacuum to leave a sticky solid, which was crystallized from heptane at room temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.43$ (coincident s, $48 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and $\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, thf) and $3.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, thf). $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 7.7\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 8.7$ $\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right), 25.1$ (thf) and 68.8 (thf). $\delta_{\mathrm{Si}}-10.8\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$ and $2.2\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{Li}}$ 0.63 and 0.78 , overlapping. The yield of 3 as estimated from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the initial product solution was $>90 \%$.
§ Crystal data for 3: $M=607.2$; monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n$ (nonstandard no. 14), $a=9.416(2), b=16.959(2), c=23.900(4) \AA, \beta=$ $93.51(10), U=3809(1) \AA^{3}, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.06 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, Z=4, F(000)=1336$, $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$, crystal size $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}$ $\mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.24 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=173(2) \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{CAD} 4$ diffractometer, $\theta-2 \theta$ scan mode, $2<\theta<25^{\circ}, 6677$ independent reflections. Structure solution by direct
methods (SHELXS-86) and full matrix least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ (SHELX-93) with all non-hydrogen atoms anisotropic and H atoms in riding mode with $U_{\text {iso }}=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. Final $R_{1}=0.089$ for 4429 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I), w R_{2} 0.253$ (all data). CCDC 182/870.
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