A novel intramolecular photocyclization of methyl 2-(2,3-dimethylbut-2-enyloxymethyl)naphthalene-1-carboxylate *via* 1,9-hydrogen abstraction

Kazuhiko Mizuno,*† Shin-ichi Konishi, Yasuharu Yoshimi and Akira Sugimoto

Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Engineering, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

Irradiation of a benzene solution containing methyl 2-(2,3-dimethylbut-2-enyloxymethyl)naphthalene-1-carboxylate 1 gave an eight-membered keto ether 2 as the main product *via* a novel 1,9-hydrogen abstraction of the ester carbonyl group.

Photochemical hydrogen abstraction reactions have been extensively investigated from synthetic and mechanistic viewpoints.¹ Intramolecular long-range hydrogen abstraction such as 'remote oxidation' is one of the most attractive subjects in the photochemistry of carbonyl groups.² However, little is known about the hydrogen abstraction of an excited state of an ester carbonyl group except for an intramolecular 1,5-hydrogen abstraction *via* a 6-membered transition state.³ Intermolecular hydrogen abstraction has also been reported as a rare case although the efficiency was quite low.⁴ We now report a novel intramolecular 1,9-hydrogen abstraction of an ester carbonyl group to give an eight-membered keto ether *via* an exciplex.

Irradiation of a benzene solution containing methyl 2-(2,3-dimethylbut-2-enyloxymethyl)naphthalene-1-carboxylate **1** through a Pyrex filter (>280 nm light) under an argon atmosphere gave **2** as the main product accompanied by $(2\pi + 2\pi)$ photocycloadducts **3** and **4** and C–O bond cleavage product **5** (Scheme 1). Similar irradiation of **1** in MeCN also gave **2**, but **5** was mainly obtained (Table 1). Irradiation of **6** in benzene did not afford the corresponding eight-membered ring compound,



Table 1 Yields for photoreaction of 1 in benzene and MeCN

Solvent	Yield (%) ^{<i>a</i>}				
	2	3	4	5	
Benzene MeCN	48 10	6 <5	33 <5	13 80	

^{*a*} Yields based on unrecovered **1** were determined by GLC analysis after irradiation with a 500 W high-pressure mercury lamp for 100 h.



but instead gave $(2\pi + 2\pi)$ photocycloadduct **7** in low yield (Scheme 2).

The products were isolated by column chromatography on silica gel. The structure of **2** was determined from its spectral data.[‡] The FT-IR spectrum of **2** showed the disappearance of the ester carbonyl group of **1** at 1743 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of an aromatic carbonyl group at 1682 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the existence of three kinds of methylene group, two nonequivalent methyl groups and six aromatic protons. These data indicate the elimination of MeOH from the starting material **1**. Finally, the structure of **2** was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1). The structures of $(2\pi + 2\pi)$ photocycloadducts **3** and **4** were determined from their spectral properties and comparison with the intramolecular photocycloadducts obtained from 2-(2,3-dimethylbut-2-enyloxymethyl)naphthalene-1-nitrile, previously reported by McCullough *et al.*⁵ and by us.⁶

The formation of the eight-membered keto ether **2** and the photocycloadducts **3** and **4** was not sensitized by the addition of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ of Michler's ketone ($E_T = 275$ kJ mol⁻¹),⁷ and was not quenched by 0.5 mol dm⁻³ of 2-methylbuta-1,3-diene ($E_T = 251$ kJ mol⁻¹)⁷ and molecular dioxygen. The relative intensity of the fluorescence of **1** in cyclohexane was smaller than that of methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate. This is due to the intramolecular quenching of the fluorescence of the methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate chromophore by the alkene moiety, although an intramolecular exciplex emission between the methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate chromophore and the 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene chromophore was not observed. When the



Fig. 1 X-Ray crystal structure of 2

Chem. Commun., 1998 1659



fluorescence lifetime of **1** was measured by single photon counting in cyclohexane, the major component (short-lived, < 0.3 ns) was assigned to the methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate moiety and the minor component (long-lived, 5.8 ns) to the singlet exciplex, because the fluorescence lifetime of methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate has a value of 1.3 ns.

From these results, we propose the mechanism for the formation of the eight-membered keto ether shown in Scheme 3. The first step is the formation of an intramolecular exciplex 8 via the excited singlet state of the methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate chromophore. The second step is the abstraction of an allylic hydrogen by the ester carbonyl group followed by radical coupling of 9 to give the hemiacetal 10, which then eliminates MeOH to afford the eight-membered keto ether 2. Although intramolecular 1,5-hydrogen abstraction by an ester carbonyl group via a six-memebered transition state is known, long-range intramolecular hydrogen abstraction has never been reported. The exciplex 8 also gives $(2\pi + 2\pi)$ photocycloadducts 3 and 4. The cleavage product 5 is probably produced via 9. In the photoreaction of 1, the charge transfer nature of the intramolecular exciplex plays an important role in the novel intramolecular 1,9-hydrogen abstraction. It is because the ester carbonyl group of 6 has a less electron donating alkene that it did not abstract the allylic hydrogen. In MeCN, the formation of the eight-membered keto ether 2 is observed in trace amounts, but the C–O bond cleavage product 5 was mainly obtained. This is reasonably explained by a photoinduced electron transfer process.⁸

We are indebted to Professor T. Takata and Emeritus Professor H. Inoue (Osaka Prefecture University) for helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan, and the Nagase Science and Technology Foundation.

Notes and References

† E-mail: mizuno@chem.osakafu-u.ac.jp

‡ Selected data for **2**: mp 172–173 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (270 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.82–7.69 (m, 3 H), 7.50–7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.07 (d, *J* 8.54, 1 H), 4.89 (br s, 2 H), 4.41 (s, 2 H), 3.51 (s, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 135.5, 133.8, 132.5, 132.2, 129.2, 128.8, 128.2, 127.2, 125.9, 125.8, 123.7, 121.9, 73.5, 73.4, 48.4, 20.8, 20.2; $v_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1682; *m/z* 266 (M⁺).

Crystal data for **2**: $C_{18}H_{18}O_2$, M = 266.34, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$ (#14), a = 8.6862(6), b = 12.468(2), c = 13.468(1) Å, $\beta = 105.418(7)^\circ$, V = 1406.0(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.258$ g cm⁻³, T = 296 K, $\mu = 0.80$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 568, crystal size $= 0.650 \times 0.600 \times 0.330$ mm, Rigaku AFC5R diffractometer. Final *R* value was 0.038 for 2105 reflections ($R_w = 0.043$). CCDC 182/914.

- 1 For a review, see: P. J. Wagner, Acc. Chem. Res., 1971, 4, 168; 1983, 16, 461; 1989, 22, 83.
- 2 For a review, see: R. Breslow, Acc. Chem. Res., 1980, 13, 170.
- 3 R. M. Duhaime, D. A. Lombardo, I. A. Skinner and A. C. Weedon, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 873; T. Gomibuchi, K. Fujiwara, T. Nehira and M. Hirama, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 5753.
- 4 K. Fukui and Y. Odaira, Tetrahedron Lett., 1969, 10, 2887.
- 5 J. J. McCullough, W. K. MacInnis, C. J. L. Lock and R. Faggiani, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4644.
- 6 K. Mizuno, S. Konishi, T. Takata and H. Inoue, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 7775.
- 7 Methyl naphthalene-1-carboxylate ($E_T = 256 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$); S. L. Murov, I. Carmichael and G. L. Hug, *Handbook of Photochemistry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993, p. 17, 76 and 77.
- 8 Photocleavage reaction via photoinduced electron transfer: F. D. Saeva, in *Photoinduced Electron Transfer I*, ed. J. Mattay, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, pp. 59–92.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 18th May 1998; 8/03711F