Jacqueline M. McInnes and Philip Mountford*†‡

Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK NG7 2RD

Mixtures of $[Ti(NBu^t)Cl₂(py)₃]$ **1** and $PhC(NAr)H (Ar =$ **C6H3Me2-2,6 or C6H4Me-4) gave quantitative conversion to** [Ti(NAr)Cl₂(py)₃] and PhC(NBu^t)H, the products of Ti=N-Bu^t/C=NAr transition metal imide/organic imine metathesis; examination of the kinetics for $Ar = C_6H_4Me-4$ **showed that the rate limiting step for this process is zero order in [1], demonstrating that these reactions do not involve metal imide particiption in the rate limiting step.**

One attraction of transition metal imido compounds is their potential use in metal-mediated imido group (NR) transfer reactions. The addition of unsaturated substrates to M=NR linkages is now well documented, but examples of reactions resulting in complete removal (functional group transfer) of NR from metal to substrate are still somewhat more restricted, especially by comparison with the rich functional group transfer chemistry of metal oxo complexes.¹ Very recently there have been reports of transition metal imide/organic imine $(L_nM=NR/$ $R_2C=NR'$ functional group metathesis reactions,² and these have been proposed in some instances to arise *via* metal imidemediated processes, possibly involving cycloaddition of C=NR' to M=NR. Such processes appear to be the azaanalogues of the widely used metal alkylidene $(L_nM=CR_2)/$ alkene $(R'C_2=CR'_{2})$ metathesis reaction³ and are therefore potentially hugely important transformations in stoichiometric and catalytic synthesis. Identification of the possible role(s) of the metal centre in such processes is clearly essential. As part of an ongoing study of early transition metal imido chemistry, we found that a number of titanium imido complexes undergo well characterised cycloaddition and other coupling reactions of the Ti=NR group $(R = But or ary)$ with organic substrates.⁴ In parallel studies we have observed reactions of [Ti(NBu^t)Cl₂- (py) ₃]^{4*b*} with certain mono- and α -di-imines that yield the expected products of imide/imine functional group metathesis. The results herein show that examples of apparently metalmediated imide/imine metathesis reactions must be subjected to mechanistic investigations before they can be properly authenticated.

Reaction of $[Ti(NBu^t)Cl_2(py)_3]$ 1 with one equivalent of the *N*-2,6-dimethylphenylbenzaldehyde imine **2** at 60 °C for 96 hours in $CDCI₃$ gave (by NMR) quantitative and stoichiometric conversion to PhC(NBut)H **4** and the arylimido compound $[Ti(NC_6H_3Me_2-2,6)Cl_2(py)_3]$ **3** [eqn. (1)].§ Repeating the

$$
(py)_3Cl_2Ti = NBu^t + \bigcup_{P_1}^{N} \xrightarrow{Ar} (py)_3Cl_2Ti = NAr + \bigcup_{P_1}^{N} \xrightarrow{Bu^t} (1)
$$

3
$$
Pr \xrightarrow{H} H
$$

2
$$
Ar = C_6H_3Me_2.2,6
$$

reaction on a preparative scale confirmed the exchange of *tert*butylimide for the 2,6-dimethylphenylimide ligand. Similarly, reaction of **1** with one equivalent of the less bulky *N*-arylimine PhC(NTol)H **5** (Tol = \hat{C}_6H_4Me-4) gave a quantitative yield of **4** after only 4 hours at 60 $^{\circ}$ C in CDCl₃, along with the tolylimido product $\vec{6}$ [eqn. (2)]. Products **3**, **4** and $\vec{6}$ were identified by comparison with authentic samples^{4b} and the reactions were

fully reproducible in both $CDCl₃$ and $C₆D₆$. At first sight, eqns. (1) and (2) are apparently straightforward examples of metalmediated imide/imine metathesis reactions. Furthermore, reaction of 1 with certain N -aryl- α -diimines also leads to the expected arylimido titanium products and the corresponding *N*-*tert*-butyl-a-diimines.

In a separate experiment [eqn. (3)] an equimolar mixture of

$$
Bu^{t}NH_{2} + \begin{matrix} N & \text{Toil } k_{1} \\ \text{Ph} & \text{Itil } k_{-1} \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{R_{1}} \text{ToINH}_{2} + \begin{matrix} N & \text{Butil } \\ \text{Ph} & \text{Itil } \\ 4 & 4 \end{matrix} \qquad (3)
$$

Bu^tNH₂ with 5 in CDCl₃ (no titanium imido compound added) gave an equilibrium mixture (K_{eq} *ca.* 1, *i.e.* $k_1 \approx k_{-1}$) containing the two starting compounds along with the products TolNH₂ and imine **4** after 8 hours at 30 °C. Similarly, starting with an equimolar mixture of pure TolNH2 and **4** also gave an equilibrium mixture of TolNH2, **4**, But NH2 and **5**. Since there is no thermodynamic driving force in eqn. (3) to account for the net quantitative reactions in eqns. (1) and (2), we infer that it is formation of the arylimide products **3** and **6** that causes these two reactions to go to completion. This hypothesis is supported by eqn. (4) which shows that addition of a stoichiometric

$$
(py)_3Cl_2Ti=NBu^t + ArNH_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} (py)_3Cl_2Ti=NAr + Bu^tNH_2 \qquad (4)
$$

3 or 6

$$
= 0.3332
$$

 $Ar = C₆H₂Me₂ - 2.6$ or Tol

amount of arylamine to $[Ti(NBu^t)Cl_2(py)_3]$ 1 gives quantitative formation of But NH2 and the corresponding arylimide **3** or **6**. 4*b* This process is (qualitatively) very much faster than any of the other three [*i*.*e*. eqns. (1)–(3)]. Further evidence for the role of **1** as an effective trap for ArNH₂ came from NMR experiments in which 1 was added to a pre-equilibrated mixture of Bu^tNH_2 , **5**, TolNH₂ and **4** [eqn. (3)]: **1** and TolNH₂ were consumed and there was quantitative conversion of **5** to **4**.

Examination of the kinetics of eqn. (3) under pseudo-first order conditions established that this reaction [from left to right as shown in eqn. (3)] is first order in both But NH2 and imine **5** and also allowed the direct deduction of *k*1. However, reaction of [Ti(NBut)Cl2(py)3] **1** with PhC(NTol)H **5** (10, 16.5 and 20 equivs.) under otherwise identical pseudo-first order conditions showed (Fig.1) that the rate for eqn. (2) is zero order with respect to **1** and has no simple dependence on [**5**]. These observations are clearly not consistent with the expected titanium imide-mediated metathesis mechanism of the type illustrated in Scheme 1, in which a diazametallacycle **7** is formed in the rate limiting step. For such a process a rate dependency on [**1**] is required.¶ Indeed, to our knowledge, all

*Chem. Commun***., 1998 1669**

Fig. 1 Linear plots of $[1]/[1]_0$ *versus* time for the reactions with an excess of PhC(NTol)H 5 in CDCl₃ showing clearly the zero order dependence of rate on [1]. Legend: \bullet 10 equivalents of 5; \blacktriangle , 16.5 equivalents of 5; \blacksquare , 20 equivalents of **5**.

kinetically characterised examples of alkene metathesis by homogeneous metal alkylidene (carbene) complexes (which proceed *via* metallacyclobutane intermediates) show a first order dependence on metal concentration.5 Furthermore, studies by Lee and Bergman of cycloaddition reactions of the imido zirconium complex $[Cp_2Zr(NR)(L)]$ ($R = Bu^t$ or $C_6H_3Me_2-2,6$, $L = THF$, OPPh₃ or 4-*tert*-butylpyridine) have shown them to be first order in imido complex.⁶ Previously reported zirconium-mediated imine metathesis reactions also follow a first order relationship between reaction rate and metal complex, although in this instance the resting state of the complex is a diazametallacycle.2*a*

The absence of a rate dependence on [**1**] implies that one or more alternative mechanisms (to that shown in Scheme 1) must be operating in eqns. (1) and (2). At first sight, one possibility appears to be a tandem combination of eqns. (3) and (4) where traces of But NH2 (in principle impossible to exclude for these very moisture sensitive systems, although we have no NMR evidence for its presence in mixtures of **1** and **5**) give rise to formation of imine 4, the liberated TolNH₂ being scavenged by **1** forming the imido product **6**. However, the kinetic results do not support this mechanism since the rate law in this case requires a first-order dependence on [**5**]. Furthermore there is no evidence for significant enough concentrations of Bu^tNH₂ in these NMR mixtures to account for the observed rate of formation of **4** and **6** in these reactions.**

In summary we have demonstrated that apparently straightforward transition metal imide/organic imine metathesis reactions do not necessarily involve metal imide participation in the rate determining step. This is in sharp contrast to the well known metal alkylidene/alkene metathesis reactions. Cases of metal imide/imine metathesis must clearly be subjected to mechanistic scrutiny before conclusions concerning either the role of the metal centre or any structure–activity relationships can be reached. Further investigations of these and other related reactions are currently under way.

We thank the EPSRC and Leverhulme Trust for support, Professors M. Bochmann, R. H. Grubbs and J. J. Turner for helpful comments and Dr P. Scott for a gift of $[Sc(OTf)₃]$.

Notes and References

† Email: Philip.Mountford@Nottingham.ac.uk,

www: http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/ ~ pczwww/Inorganic/PMount.html

‡ Philip Mountford is the Royal Society of Chemistry Sir Edward Frankland Fellow.

§ For all the rate determinations the disappearance of $[Ti(NBu^t)Cl_2(py)_3]$ 1 or Bu^tNH₂ was monitored over at least three half-lives under pseudo-firstorder conditions with *p*-dimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. Both before and after each experiment the temperature in the NMR probe was calibrated using ethylene glycol (80%) in DMSO. The temperature did not vary by more than 1 °C. The samples were prepared in a dry-box using Teflon valve (Young's) 5 mm tubes, which had been rigorously dried. $CDCl₃$ and $C₆D₆$ were dried over calcium hydride and potassium respectively, at rt, then distilled under reduced pressure. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 300 spectrometer at 30 °C.

 \blacksquare For the process shown in Scheme 1, rate = k_{obs} [metal imide][organic imine], where $k_{obs} = k_3k_4/(k_{-3} + k_4)$, assuming the k_{-4} step is not significant at low concentrations of product imine and a steady state approximation for [**7**]. In the reactions in eqns. (1) and (2), pyridine dissociation may be required before formation of hypothetical **7**. In this instance the rate should still be dependent on [metal imide], but k_{obs} would show a dependence on [pyridine]. However, we have found that the five-coordinate bis(pyridine) complex $[Ti(NBu^t)Cl₂(py)₂]$ also reacts with organic imines at a rate not significantly different from that of **1** under the same conditions and so the presence of the third pyridine molecule is not important.

∑ Combining eqns. (3) and (4) and assuming a steady state approximation of [TolNH₂] leads to the expression: rate = $k_1[\text{Bu}^t \text{NH}_2]$ [5] since, under the pseudo-first order conditions used here, $[5] \gg [4]$ and hence the k_{-1} backreaction is negligible, especially since **1** is an effective trap for TolNH2.

** From kinetic analysis of eqn. (3) we know independently the value of k_1 $(1.35 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$ under analogous reaction conditions. Therefore, if indeed rate = k_1 [Bu^tNH₂][5] then [Bu^tNH₂] has to be approximately 0.10 to 0.43 M for the experiments shown in Fig. 1. From the NMR spectra the maximum possible value of $[Bu^tNH_2]$ in these experiments is <0.005 M. However, it is possible that under the reaction conditions of eqns. (1) or (2), eqn. (3) is accelerated by Lewis and/or Brønsted acids. Indeed, tests of this hypothesis for eqn. (3) with added $[Sc(OTF)_3]$ demonstrated a rate acceleration suggesting that Lewis acids (*e.g*. **1** and/or its trace decomposition products) may make a tandem combination of eqns. (3) and (4) a possibility. Toluene*-p*-sulfonic acid had no measurable effect on the rate of eqn. (3). We cannot directly determine the influence of additional Bu^tNH₂ on the rate of reaction of 1 with 5 [eqn. (2)]: any Bu^tNH₂ added would be rapidly scavenged by **5** [eqn. (3)] since $t_{1/2}$ for the consumption of **5** in eqn. (3) is *ca.* 25 times shorter than for **5** in eqn. (2) under otherwise identical conditions.

- 1 D. E. Wigley, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **42**, 239; W. A. Nugent and J. M. Mayer, *Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988; R. H. Holm, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, **87**, 1401; E. W. Harlan and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 186; L. K. Woo, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 1125.
- 2 (*a*) K. E. Meyer, P. J. Walsh and R. G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 974; (*b*) G. K. Cantrell and T. Y. Meyer, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 5381; (*c*) G. K. Cantrell and T. Y. Meyer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1551; (*d*) G. K. Cantrell, T. Pontz and T. Y. Meyer, *Abstracts of Papers of the American Chemical Society*, 1997, **214**, 312-INOR.
- 3 For reviews see: V. C. Gibson, *Adv. Mater.*, 1994, **6**, 37; R. H. Grubbs and S. Chang, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 4413, and references therein.
- 4 (*a*) P. Mountford, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 2127 (Feature Article); (*b*) A. J. Blake, P. E. Collier, S. C. Dunn, W.-S. Li, P. Mountford and O. V. Shishkin., *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1997, 1549; (*c*) A. J. Blake, P. E. Collier, L. H. Gade, P. Mountford, M. Schubart and I. J. Scowen, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1555.
- 5 E. L. Dias, S. T. Nguyen and R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 3887 and references therein.
- 6 S. Y. Lee and R. G. Bergman, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 4255 and references therein.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 18th May 1998; 8/03719A

1670 *Chem. Commun***., 1998**