Polymers containing backbone acetylene, anthracene and tetra-, penta- or hexa-coordinate silicon units: UV–visible, photoluminescence and solution $\chi^{(3)}$ non-linear optical properties

William E. Douglas,*a[†] Daniel M. H. Guy,^a Ajoy K. Kar^{*b} and Changhai Wang^b

^a CNRS UMR 5637, Université Montpellier II, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

^b Department of Physics, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK EH14 4AS

Poly(aryleneethynylenesilylene)s of general structure $(C = CSiR_2C = CX)_n (X = 9,10$ -anthrylene) containing tetra-, penta- or hexa-coordinate silicon exhibit high $\chi^{(3)}$ values, the absorbance and emission spectra being red-shifted with respect to those for the corresponding monomers R_2Si - $(C = CAr)_2$ (Ar = 9-anthryl); these properties are consistent with extensive through-Si conjugation along the backbone.

As recently reviewed, conjugated poly(aryleneethynylene)s are of current interest on account of their photo- and electroluminescent properties and large third order harmonic generation, the $\chi^{(3)}$ values being similar to those for poly(diacetylene)s.1 The incorporation of electron-rich anthracene units into poly(aryleneethynylene)s has been found to have a marked influence on the luminescence properties,² and is particularly effective in π -electron delocalisation along the backbone of such polymers containing Pt.3 The silicon-containing analogues $(C = CSiR_2C = CX)_n$ [poly(aryleneethynylenesilylene)s] have been known for some years,4 those where X is phenylene exhibiting blue photoluminescence⁵ with a solution $\operatorname{Re}(\chi^{(3)})$ value of -9.3×10^{-13} esu.⁶ Few polymers containing hypercoordinate silicon are known although the latter exhibits very different chemical and electronic behaviour from tetracoordinate silicon.7 Recently prepared we poly(aryleneethynylenesilylene)s containing backbone hexacoordinate silicon groups,8 and the series has now been extended to the corresponding polymers with pentacoordinate silicon incorporating the 8-dimethylamino-1-naphthyl (NpN) ligand known to give stable hypercoordinate species.⁶

The pentacoordinate compounds were obtained from the previously characterized¹⁰ dichlorosilane **1** which was treated with monoethynyl Grignard reagent (Scheme 1) to give the new (4 + 1)-coordinate¹¹ diethynylsilane **2**.‡ Likewise, tetracoordinate monomer **5** with two 1-naphthyl groups was prepared from dichlorosilane **4** (Scheme 2), the spectral data being similar to those for Ph₂Si(C=CH)₂.^{4,12}

Monomers 2 and 5 undergo palladium-catalysed crosscoupling polymerization with 9,10-dibromoanthracene affording, respectively, 3 containing pentacoordinate Si (Scheme 1) and 6 with tetracoordinate $(1-Np)_2Si$ groups (Scheme 2), the latter being similar to the Ph₂Si analogue 7.¹³ Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed both materials to be low molecular weight polymers (Table 1) similar to previously





Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions*: i, excess MeSiCl₃, Et₂O, room temp., 5 h; ii, HC≡CMgBr, THF, −78 °C to room temp.; iii, 9,10-dibromoanthracene, (PPh₃)₂PdCl₂, CuI, PPh₃, Et₃N, PhMe, 4 h, 89 °C

reported polymer **8** containing hexacoordinate Si.⁸ Reflecting the change in the electronic environment, the SiC = C¹³C and ²⁹Si NMR resonances are shifted upfield with increasing coordination number, there being little effect on the $v_{C=C}$ IR absorbance (Table 1).

Model monomers **9** and **10** containing tetracoordinate Si were prepared by palladium-catalysed cross-coupling with 9-bromoanthracene (Scheme 2, Table 2). The spectral data are similar to those for the analogous polymers (Table 1), the second IR $v_{C=C}$ band in the polymers appearing in the monomers as an illdefined shoulder around 2150 cm⁻¹.



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, $HC \equiv CMgBr$, THF, -78 °C to room temp.; ii, 9,10-dibromoanthracene, (PPh₃)₂PdCl₂, CuI, PPh₃, Et₃N, PhMe, 16 h, 89 °C; iii, 9-bromoanthracene, (PPh₃)₂PdCl₂, CuI, PPh₃, Et₃N, PhMe, 4 d, 89 °C

								$\delta_{ m C}{}^d$		
Si Coord. no.	Polymer	Colour	$M_{ m w}{}^a$	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^a$	n^b	$v_{\rm C=C}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	δ_{Si^d}	$SiC \equiv C^e$	$SiC \equiv C^e$	${\pmb \Phi}_{{ m f}}^f$
4	7	red	23 500	3.2	56	2124	-47.4	102.2	106.1	0.15
4	6	orange	8 800	2.8	17	2130	-48.0	103.1	107.3	0.41
5	3	red	12 400	2.7	28	2129	-54.9	102.2	110.1	0.01
6	8	orange	20 000	3.2	34	2128	-61.0	90.8	103.1	0.02

^{*a*} Determined by SEC with reference to polystyrene standards. ^{*b*} Number of units calculated from value of M_w . ^{*c*} In Nujol mull. ^{*d*} In CDCl₃ solution. ^{*e*} Assigned by analogy with results of ¹³C–¹H coupling experiments on (1-Np)₂Si(C=CPh)₂. ^{*f*} Quantum yield, Φ_f , determined in THF solution with an excitation wavelength of 370 nm and with reference to 9,10-diphenylanthracene standard.

				δ_{C^b}		
Monomer	Colour	$v_{\rm C=C}/{\rm cm}^{-1} a$	δ_{Si^b}	$SiC \equiv C^c$	$SiC \equiv C^c$	${\pmb{\Phi}}_{\!\mathrm{f}}{}^d$
9 10	red orange–red	2122, 2142 2122, 2148	$-48.1 \\ -47.2$	101.1 100.1	107.7 106.6	0.65 0.88

^{*a*} In Nujol mull. ^{*b*} In CDCl₃ solution. ^{*c*} Assigned by analogy with results of ¹³C–¹H coupling experiments on (1-Np)₂Si(C=CPh)₂. ^{*d*} Quantum yield, $\Phi_{\rm f}$, determined in THF solution at an excitation wavelength of 370 nm with reference to 9,10-diphenylanthracene standard.

The UV–VIS spectra in CH₂Cl₂ solution [Fig. 1(A)] show intense absorption bands with fine structure most probably arising from transitions similar to those for anthracene. With respect to the bands in anthracene, those for **9** and **10** are redshifted with increased extinction coefficients, the bathochromic shift being greater than for 9-ethynylanthracene.^{14,15} The corresponding bands in the polymers are further red-shifted with respect to those in (i) **9** and **10**, (ii) 9,10-diethynylanthracene (λ_{max} , 356, 377, 423 nm),¹⁶ and (iii) 9,10-diethynyl-(trimethylsilylethynyl)anthracene (λ_{max} , 391, 414, 439 nm). In addition, the polymers (except **6**) show a new broad absorption peak around 520 nm tailing off towards 575 nm, corresponding to an optical gap of *ca.* 2.2 eV. Monomers **9** and **10** show a similar new absorption occurring at lower wavelength than for the polymers (*e.g.* **9**: λ_{max} 451 nm). These properties suggest the presence of through-Si conjugation.



Fig. 1 (A) UV–VIS spectra in CH_2Cl_2 solution and (B) fluorescence spectra in THF solution at an excitation wavelength of 370 nm of (*a*) anthracene, (*b*) **10**, (*c*) **9**, (*d*) **7**, (*e*) **6**, (*f*) **3** and (*g*) **8**

Likewise, the fluorescence spectra [Fig. 1(B)] show a redshift on going from anthracene to **9** and **10** and then a further such shift on going to the polymers, the apparent Stokes shifts¹⁷ lying in the range 8–13 nm (*cf.* anthracene 5 nm). For the polymers, the low energy band at *ca.* 540 nm is probably due to excimer formation since (i) the relative intensity is concentration dependent, and (ii) anthracene compounds are known to dimerize on absorbing 320–400 nm light.¹⁸ A picosecond timeresolved photoluminescence study of **7** (1 g l⁻¹ in THF) at 532 nm showed maximum emission at 470 nm and a decay time constant of *ca.* 6 ns. Unlike polymers **3**, **7**, **8** and poly(*p*phenyleneanthryleneethynylene)s,¹⁹ **9** and **10** are highly emissive (*cf.* anthracene: $\Phi_f = 0.32^{20}$).

The sign and the magnitude of the real and imaginary parts of $\chi^{(3)}$ were determined for polymers **3**, **7** and **8** by solution Z-scan measurements²¹ in the near-resonant region at 1064 nm (Table 3),§ the polymers being of sufficient chain length for the $\chi^{(3)}$ effects to be at a maximum.²² High activity was found [in particular Im($\chi^{(3)}$) for **3** containing pentacoordinate Si], the value of Re($\chi^{(3)}$) being comparable to that determined in the resonant region at 590 nm for poly(phenyleneethynylenesilyl-

Table 3 Solution $\chi^{(3)}$ properties^{*a*}

S	i
C	0.000

Coord. no.	Polymer	β/cm GW ⁻¹	$\operatorname{Im}(\chi^{(3)})/\operatorname{esu}$	$n_2/cm^2 W^{-1}$	$\operatorname{Re}(\chi^{(3)})/\operatorname{esu}$
4	7	0.27	1.8×10^{-13}	$5.0 imes 10^{-15}$	$4.0 imes 10^{-13}$
5	3	1.20	$8.0 imes10^{-13}$	_	_
6	8	0.27	1.8×10^{-13}	5.0×10^{-15}	4.0×10^{-13}

 a Z-scan measurements in CHCl₃ solution (50 g l^-1) at 1064 nm, pulse duration 140 ps, intensity 20 GW cm^-2.

ene).⁶ At 595 nm, a rather fast dominant relaxation time of *ca*. 20 ps was observed for the three polymers;¶ under non-resonant conditions the materials are expected to be considerably faster.

In conclusion, the UV–VIS, photoluminescence and high $\chi^{(3)}$ properties of the polymers are consistent with extensive through-Si conjugation along the backbone.

Notes and References

† E-mail: douglas@crit.univ-montp2.fr

[‡] Selected data for **2**: 76%; mp 102.4–104.3 °C; $\delta_{Si}(CDCl_3) - 56.6$; $\delta_C(CDCl_3)$ 91.3 (SiC=C), 93.0 (SiC=C); $v_{C=C}(Nujol)/cm^{-1}$ 2036, 2021. § The values of n_2 were extracted from the Z-scan results with large uncertainties since the data could not be fitted well (no satisfactory result could be obtained for **3**).

 \P Excite-probe experiments on dilute polymer solutions with 0.6 ps pulses at 595 nm showed appreciable linear absorption and bleaching nonlinearity or saturable absorption. The relaxation dynamics could not be described with a single excited-state lifetime.

- 1 R. Giesa, J. Macromol. Sci., Rev. Macromol. Chem. Phys., 1996, C36, 631.
- 2 K. Tada, M. Onoda, M. Hirohata, T. Kawai and K. Yoshino, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 1996, **35**, L251.
- 3 M. S. Khan, A. K. Kakkar, N. J. Long, J. Lewis, P. Raithby, P. Nguyen, T. B. Marder, F. Wittmann and R. H. Friend, J. Mater. Chem., 1994, 4, 1227.
- 4 R. J. P. Corriu, W. E. Douglas and Z.-X. Yang, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed., 1990, 28, 431.
- 5 S. P. Huang, S. Jeglinski, X. Wei, Z. V. Vardeny, Y. W. Ding and T. J. Barton, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 1994, 256, 513.
- 6 R. K. Meyer, R. E. Bender, Z. V. Vardeny, Y. Ding and T. Barton, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 1994, **256**, 597.
- 7 C. Chuit, R. J. P. Corriu, C. Reyé and J. C. Young, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 1371.
- 8 K. Boyer-Elma, F. H. Carré, R. J.-P. Corriu and W. E. Douglas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 725.
- 9 J. T. B. H. Jastrzebski, C. T. Knaap and G. van Koten, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 255, 287.
- 10 F. Carré, R. J. P. Corriu, A. Kpoton, M. Poirier, G. Royo and J. C. Young, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 470, 43.
- 11 F. Carré, C. Chuit, R. J. P. Corriu, A. Mehdi and C. Reyé, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1097.
- 12 C. S. Kraihanzel and M. L. Losee, J. Organomet. Chem., 1967, 10, 427.
- 13 R. J. P. Corriu, W. E. Douglas, Z.-X. Yang, Y. Karakus, G. H. Cross and D. Bloor, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 455, 69.
- 14 S. Akiyama, F. Ogura and M. Nakagawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1971, 44, 3443.
- 15 Z. Rappoport, P. Shulman and M. Thuval, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 7041.
- 16 W. Chodkiewicz, P. Cadiot and A. Willemart, *Compt. Rend.*, 1957, 245, 2061.
- 17 J.-L. Brédas, J. Cornil and A. J. Heeger, Adv. Mater., 1996, 8, 447.
- 18 H. Bouas-Laurent, A. Castellan and J. P. Desvergne, Pure Appl. Chem.,
- 1980, 52, 2633.
 19 T. M. Swager, C. J. Gil and M. S. Wrighton, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 4886.
- 20 S. Kyushin, M. Ikarugi, M. Goto, H. Hiratsuka and H. Matsumoto, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 1067.
- 21 M. Sheik Bahae, A. A. Said, T. H. Wei, D. J. Hagan and E. W. van Stryland, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1990, 26, 760.
- 22 I. D. W. Samuel, I. Ledoux, C. Delporte, D. L. Pearson and J. M. Tour, *Chem. Mater.*, 1996, 8, 819.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 16th July 1998; 8/05518A

2126 Chem. Commun., 1998