## **On the efficacy of propeller-shaped,** *C***3-symmetric triarylphosphines in asymmetric catalysis**

## **Mark T. Powell, Alexander M. Porte and Kevin Burgess\***

*Department of Chemistry, Texas A & M University, PO Box 300012, College Station, TX 77842-3012, USA. E-mail: burgess@chemvx.tamu.edu*

**Ligand sets 1 and 2 were prepared and examined for evidence of** *C***3-symmetric propeller-shaped conformations in solution, and for their ability to induce enantioselectivity in an allylation reaction.**

There are conflicting arguments with regard to the potential of optically pure, *C*3-symmetric triarylphosphines in asymmetric syntheses. Some researchers may correctly point to the value of  $C_2$ -ligands<sup>1</sup> and claim that application of  $C_3$ -ligands is a logical extrapolation of the field.  $C_3$ -Symmetric arrangements of three aromatic groups around a central atom can adopt stable enantiomeric propeller-shaped conformations that might provide chiral pockets to facilitate enantiodiscrimination.2 However, the contrary argument is also convincing. Asymmetric induction cannot increase indefinitely with the symmetry of the chiral directing group because a perfectly spherical object would be useless for inducing a chiral environment.

Experimentally, the value of optically active, propellershaped,  $C_3$ -symmetric phosphines is hard to assess. This is because of synthetic difficulties associated with obtaining the requisite ligands, and due to a lack of techniques to recognize rigid propeller conformations in solution. Sharpless and coworkers, for instance, prepared triarylphosphine cage structures (an example is shown below) by relatively difficult synthetic routes.3,4 They then found that in an optically active complex, this ligand stereomutates between enantiomeric propellershaped conformations at room temperature. This ligand design therefore did not facilitate a test of the efficacy of propellershaped  $C_3$ -symmetric ligands, hence their value remained questionable. The work described in this manuscript deals with attempts to address this issue using phosphines **1** and **2**. The tenet of this project is that a chiral substituent on the aromatic rings could be easily installed, and may lead to stable *C*3-symmetric propeller-shaped aryl arrays in the ligand.





**Scheme 1** *Reagents and conditions*: i, BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub>, 5 mol% 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1-methyl-3,3-diphenyl-1*H*,3*H*-pyrrolo[1,2]-[1,3,2]oxazaboroleborane (CBS),<sup>8</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-25$  °C, 12 h (94% and 96.2% ee for **1**; 85%, 99% ee for **2**); ii, alkylation (yields range from 70 to 99%, *e.g.* MeI, NaH, DMF for **1a**); iii, 'BuLi, Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-30$  °C, 1 h; iv, PCl<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $-30$  to 25 °C (yields typically 30–50% for steps iii and iv)

Scheme 1 outlines the route by which the ligand set **1a–1c** (and later **2a–2c**) was obtained. The route diverges from the common chiral alcohol intermediate **3** hence this strategy is more efficient than ones that rely on different starting materials for each phosphine prepared.

Evidence for preferred stereoisomeric propeller-shaped conformations in solution is hard to obtain. Crystallographic studies of derivatives such as complex **5a** (Fig. 1) indicated the desired conformations exist in the solid state, but these observations can give no indication of their dynamic behavior in solution. Consequently, a set of circular dichroism (CD) spectra was recorded to elucidate solution state conformations. Chiral ordering of the aromatic groups should be accompanied by



**Fig. 1** Comparison of normalized ellipticities (*i.e.* ellipticities per mole of aromatic ring) for compounds **4a**, **5a** and **6a**

*Chem. Commun***., 1998 2161**



**Fig. 2** Phosphines **1** and **2** in an allylation reaction (unoptimized yields, measured by GC using an internal standard: **1a**, 72%; **1b**, 33%; **1c**, 54%; **2a**, 50%; **2b**, 51%; **2c**, 47%)

increased molar ellipticities at wavelengths corresponding to aromatic absorptions for palladium complex **5a** relative to the aryl bromide starting material **4a**. 5 Fig. 1 shows that an increased ellipticity was not observed for complex **5a** derived from ligand **1a**. This led us to suppose that conformational rigidity could be increased by incorporation of a *para*substituent to disfavor free rotation about the bond to the *meta*chiral center. Consequently, ligand set **2** was prepared and selected derivatives were examined by CD. Fig. 1 indicates that complex **6a** derived from ligand **2a** does indeed show an enhanced ellipticity relative to intermediate **4a**.

High throughput parallel screens6 were used to test ligands **1** and **2** in the palladium mediated allylation reaction illustrated below. Thus reactions were run simultaneously in wells contained in a cooled aluminium block, then analyzed using an autosampler/chiral HPLC apparatus. Details of this approach applied in other studies from our group have been documented.7 Fig. 2 shows the data obtained. The enantioselectivities reached an optimum value of 82%. We think that this level of induction by the distal chiral *meta*-substituents would not be possible unless ordering of the aromatic rings were operative. Enhanced ellipticities when a *para*-substituent is present (*i.e.* **2a** *vs.* **1a**) correlates with dramatically increased enantioselectivities. On average, higher enantioselectivities tend to be observed for the ligands **2** than for series **1**.



The data presented here suggest that phosphines **2** can exist in conformations in which the aromatic groups are ordered in propeller-shaped arrays, and that these same phosphines give significant induction in an allylation reaction. However, it is unlikely that perfectly  $C_3$ -symmetric conformations predominate for ligand **2** in complexes because the *meta*-substituent can adopt orientations that are *exo* and *endo* with respect to the metal. Work now in progress concerns a ligand system for which this is not a possibility.

We thank Marcel Jaspars for some informative preliminary experiments. Support for this work was provided by The Petroleum Research Fund administered by The American Chemical Society, and by The Robert A. Welch Foundation. K. B. thanks the NIH Research Career Development Award, and The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship.

## **Notes and References**

- 1 J. K. Whitesell, *Chem. Rev*., 1989, **89**, 1581.
- 2 C. Moberg, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1998, **37**, 248.
- 3 C. Bolm and K. B. Sharpless, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, **29**, 5101.
- 4 C. Bolm, W. D. Davis, R. L. Haltermann and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, **27**, 835.
- 5 J. W. Canary, C. S. Allen, J. M. Castagnetto and Y. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 8484.
- 6 K. Burgess, D. Moye-Sherman and A. M. Porte, *Molecular Diversity and Combinatorial Chemistry*, American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1996, pp. 128–136.
- 7 A. M. Porte, J. Reibenspies and K. Burgess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* in press.
- 8 D. J. Mathre, A. S. Thompson, A. W. Douglas, K. Hoogsteen, J. D. Carroll, E. G. Corley and E. J. J. Grabowski, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1993, **58**, 2880.

*Received in Bloomington, IN, USA, 24th April 1998; 8/06811I*