Observation of a photochemical reaction on the TiO₂ (110) surface by atomic **force microscopy**

Phillip Sawunyama,*a* **Akira Fujishima****a,b* **and Kazuhito Hashimoto****a,c*

a Kanagawa Academy of Science and Technology, 1583 Iiyama, Atsugi, Kanagawa 243-0297, Japan

b Department of Applied Chemistry, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

c Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-Ku, Tokyo 153-8904, Japan.

E-mail: kazuhito@fchem.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

A photocatalytic surface reaction—the decomposition of a submonolayer of stearic acid mediated by a rutile TiO₂ (110) **single crystal—is examined by atomic force microscopy and the results reveal that the reaction occurs at substantially distinct rates at randomly distributed nanoscale surface sites.**

The photocatalytic properties of $TiO₂$ are very interesting and are utilised in many situations. For example, ultraviolet irradiation of $TiO₂$ produces an amphiphilic surface with both antifogging and self-cleaning properties.^{1–3} TiO₂ photocatalytic reactions, like many other surface reactions, have been studied for many years by macroscopic techniques such as vibrational spectroscopy. However, in the last decade, scanning probe techniques such as atomic force microscopy (AFM) have brought new insight on the morphology and molecular structure and periodicity of surfaces. In the present study, we examine the surface reactivity of rutile $TiO₂$ (110) during the photodecomposition of monolayer islands of stearic acid $(C_{17}H_{35}COOH)$ using the AFM.

The submonolayer film of stearic acid was deposited onto a clean and polished rutile $TiO₂$ (110) single crystal substrate by way of the Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) technique. Film transfer was performed at 15 mN m⁻¹ (area ≈ 0.201 nm² molecule⁻¹) at 20° C in a clean room. The obtained film was imaged by contact-mode AFM (Seiko Instruments: SPA300 AFM system with a SPI3700 controller) under ambient conditions ($T \approx$ 20 °C, relative humidity = 40%) using a commercially available triangular $Si₃N₄$ sharpened cantilever. The force between the tip and sample was typically 1 nN. The UV light source was a Hypercure 200 UV lamp (Yamashita Denso, long wave UV $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 365 \text{ nm}$) equipped with a light guide. Incident UV light intensity (measured using a UV radiometer: Topcon UVR-1) at the sample surface was ca . 2.5 mW cm⁻². In a typical experiment, the tip was withdrawn from the sample before UV light irradiation. After irradiating for a predetermined period, the light was switched off and the tip reengaged for image recording. A representative AFM image before irradiation is shown in Fig. $1(a)$. A notable feature is the perfectly circular nature of the islands. In two-dimensional phase separated systems, circular domains are thermodynamically favourable and are formed as a result of the minimisation of surface energy through a reduction in interfacial length and curvature.4,5

The surface morphological changes upon UV light irradiation are depicted in Fig. 1(b)–(e). The reactivity pattern and reaction trends are very intriguing. Fig. 1(b) imaged at 5 min reaction shows the inhomogeneous pitting or etching of the island as stearic acid molecules photodecomposed. Typical hole diameters were in the range of 24 nm to 100 nm, with an average depth of *ca*. 0.9 nm (hole-depth range $\approx 0.0-2.25$ nm, *cf.* a fully extended stearic acid molecule⁶ has a chain length of ≈ 2.5 nm). corresponding to an estimated 2250 to 40000 stearic acid molecules having undergone photodecomposition, respectively. It should be noted that at this stage of the reaction the organic film comprises a mixture of adsorbed stearic acid as well as possible oxygenated alkyl intermediate molecules.7 Likewise, we note that imaging of the island edges at higher resolutions revealed no clear trends in bulk island contraction. An island

Fig. 1 Sequential 3-D AFM images of the rutile $TiO₂$ (110) mediated photodecomposition of stearic acid islands. Image (a) is 4×4 µm in size whereas all the other images are 3×3 um. The images were obtained after: (a) 0, (b) 5, (c) 10, (d) 20, and (e) 60 min of UV light irradiation, respectively. Incident light intensity at the sample surface ≈ 2.5 $m\bar{W}$ cm⁻²

contraction scenario would arise if molecules at the edges photodecomposed at a faster rate than those in the interior. However, inhomogeneous decomposition at the edges as well as the interior suggests that the distribution of surface active sites governs surface reactivity. On analysing numerous other islands, we obtained the same randomisation phenomenon. With further irradiation, an even more peculiar reactivity pattern unfolds as depicted in Fig. 1(c) and (d). The surface morphology after irradiating for 10 min reveals a startling merging of holes to generate a mosaic, which eventually disappears with progress of reaction. At 20 min reaction [Fig. 1(d)], the island structure is no longer discernible. Prolonged irradiation resulted in the complete photodecomposition of the stearic acid molecules to CO_2 and $H_2O^{8,9}$ That the reaction goes to completion was corroborated by parallel FT-IR experiments. Further control experiments in which monolayers of stearic acid were deposited onto CaF2 substrates revealed that $TiO₂$ is essential for the photodecomposition of stearic acid.

The photodecomposition process is considered to proceed *via* two main oxidative routes, direct hole oxidation and · OH oxidation.10–12 The observed inhomogeneous reactivity pattern appears to mirror the momentary distribution of the surface active sites. Thus, the spatial localisation of the reaction appears to be largely influenced by the nature and composition of the photocatalyst. Spatially localised electrochemical reactions on $TiO₂$ surfaces have also been observed by scanning electrochemical microscopy.13,14 In conclusion, this study reveals that a $TiO₂$ photocatalytic reaction is spatially localised and occurs at different rates at randomly distributed surface sites.

P. S. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Science and Technology Agency. We thank Dr L. Jiang for assistance with the AFM measurements.

Notes and References

- 1 R. Wang, K. Hashimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimoshigoi and T. Watanabe, *Nature,* 1997, **388**, 431.
- 2 R. Wang, K. Hashimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimoshigoi and T. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 135.
- 3 A. Heller, *Acc. Chem. Res*., 1995, **28**, 503.
- 4 C. M. Knobler, *Science*, 1990, **249**, 870.
- 5 W. H. Mulder, *J. Phys. Chem. B,* 1997, **101**, 7744.
- 6 A. Ulman, *An Introduction to Ultrathin Organic Films from Langmuir– Blodgett to Self-Assembly*, Academic Press, Boston, 1991.
- 7 K. Ikeda, H. Sakai, R. Baba, K. Hashimoto and A. Fujishima, *J. Phys. Chem. B,* 1997, **101**, 2617.
- 8 S. Sitkiewitz and A. Heller, *New J. Chem*., 1996, **20**, 233.
- 9 P. Sawunyama, L. Jiang, A. Fujishima and K. Hashimoto, *J. Phys. Chem. B,* 1997, **101**, 11 000.
- 10 U. Stafford, K. A. Gray, P. V. Kamat and A. Varma, *Chem. Phys. Lett*., 1993, **205**, 55.
- 11 E. R. Carraway, A. J. Hoffmann and M. R. Hoffmann, *Environ. Sci. Technol*., 1994, **28**, 786.
- 12 K. Hashimoto, T. Kawai and T. Sakata, *J. Phys. Chem*., 1984, **88**, 4083.
- 13 N. Casillas, S. J. Charlebois, W. H. Smyrl and H. S. White, *J. Electrochem. Soc*., 1994, **141**, 636.
- 14 S. B. Basame and H. S. White, *J. Phys. Chem.,* 1995, **99**, 16 430.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 27th July 1998; 8/05848B