Iron complexes of the N(CH2CH2S)3 32 **ligand; a paramagnetic, trigonal bipyramidal Fe(ii) CO complex as a chelate ligand**

Sian C. Davies, David L. Hughes, Raymond L. Richards and J. Roger Sanders

Nitrogen Fixation Laboratory, John Innes Centre, Colney Lane, Norwich, UK NR4 7UH. E-mail: ray.richards@bbsrc.ac.uk

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 1st October 1998, Accepted 6th November 1998

The paramagnetic complex anions $[Fe(NS_3)Cl]^ [NS_3$ = **N(CH2CH2S)3 ³**2**] and[Fe(***NS***3)(CO)]**² **have been prepared** and structurally characterised; with FeCl₂, [Fe(NS_3)(CO)]⁻ **gives the structurally characterised, linear, paramagnetic** cluster complex $[Fe(Fe(NS₃)(CO)-S, S']₂].$

A number of metal sites in enzymes carry three sulfur-donor ligands. These include the low-spin Fe centre of nitrile hydratase,¹ which is bound to three cysteine sulfur and two amide nitrogen atoms, and the cofactor of molybdenum nitrogenase, FeMoco, an MoFe₇S₉ cluster in which the six central iron atoms are each coordinated by three sulfides.2 The Mo atom in FeMoco is coordinated by three sulfides as well as two oxygens from homocitrate and one histidine nitrogen2 and it is thought that the vanadium atom in vanadium nitrogenase³ and one iron atom in the 'iron-only' nitrogenase⁴ are in similar environments. We are particularly interested in models for the trigonally S-coordinated Fe centres in the central portion of FeMoco and the S_3 -coordinated Mo, V or Fe centres at the histidine end of nitrogenase cofactor clusters. Following our investigation of the nitrogen chemistry of vanadium coordinating the tetradentate tripodal ligand NCH_2CH_2S)₃^{3–} (NS_3),⁵ we report iron chemistry of this ligand which, because its ligation involves three sulfur atoms, relates to the metal environments in the enzymes mentioned above. In the course of this work we have discovered a novel, paramagnetic carbonyl complex of iron which acts as a chelate ligand, as described below.

The starting point of our iron chemistry is the $Fe(III)$ complex anion $[FeCl(NS₃)]$ ⁻ 1, obtained as shown in Scheme 1, which on reduction under CO gives the Fe(II) anion [Fe(NS_3)(CO)]⁻2. The NEt₄ salts of 1 and 2 {also the vanadium complex $NEt_4[VCI(NS_3)]^5$ are isostructural; in both structures, the anion lies disordered about a crystallographic mirror plane.† Figs. 1 and 2 show the iron atoms to have trigonal bipyramidal geometry. In the solid state, both complexes are paramagnetic, The NEt₄ salt of 1 has $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 5.87 \mu_{\text{B}}$, $S = 5/2$. Mössbauer data at 77 K, (rel. natural Fe), are; isomer shift (IS) 0.37 mm s⁻¹ and quadrupole splitting (QS) 1.00 mm s^{-1} . The NEt₄ salt of 2 has $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.79 \mu_{\text{B}}$, $S = 1$; IS = 0.22, QS 0.99 mm s⁻¹. Comparison of the anions in Figs. 1 and 2 shows that in the carbonyl **2** the Fe–N distance $[2.035(8)$ Å] and the average Fe–S distance $[2.278(3)$ Å] are shorter than the corresponding distances in the chloride **1** [2.273(3) and 2.316(2) Å respectively], despite the higher formal oxidation state of the metal in **1**. This is probably a consequence of the higher spin state of **1** and electron withdrawal by CO in **2**, and results in a relative distortion of the trithiolate ligand; the mean S–Fe–N angle contracts from 87.8(1)° in **2** to 83.6(2)° in **1**.

Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions*: i, $N(SH)_{3}$ + Et₄NCl in MeCN; ii, Na/ CO in MeCN; iii, $FeCl₂$ (0.5 mol ratio) in MeCN or Me₂SO.

Paramagnetic CO complexes of iron are extremely rare and as far as we are aware only two other examples, $K[Fe\{N(CH_2-$ CONPrⁱ)₃}(CO)]⁶ **3** and Et₄N[Fe{P[C₆H₃S-2(Ph-3)]₃}(CO)]⁷ **4**, are known. Both have similar magnetic and Mössbauer properties to those of 2 but both have $v(CO)$ at 1940 cm⁻¹, a notably higher frequency than that of $2(1885 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Evidently the $FeNS₃$ ⁻ site in **2** is more electron releasing to CO than are the corresponding iron sites in **3** and **4**.

Fig. 1 The molecular structure of anion **1**. The disorder about the mirror symmetry plane is not shown; the atoms $C(13a')$, $C(13b)$ and $C(16)$ have half occupancy of the sites shown. Selected molecular dimensions: Fe– N(10) 2.273(3), Fe–Cl 2.3120(14), Fe–S(11) 2.3143(9), Fe–S(14) 2.3193(12) Å; N(10)–Fe–Cl 179.00(9), N(10)–Fe–S(average) 83.6(2)°.

Fig. 2 The molecular structure of anion **2** which has disorder similar to that described in Fig. 1. Selected molecular dimensions: Fe–N(10) 2.035(8), Fe– C(2) $1.720(14)$, C(2)–O(2) $1.154(14)$, Fe–S(11) $2.281(3)$, Fe–S(14) 2.272(2) Å; N(10)–Fe–C(2) 179.7(5), N(10)–Fe–S(av.) 87.8(1)°.

Fig. 3 The molecular structure of **5**, viewed down the twofold symmetry axis through Fe(2). Selected molecular dimensions: Fe(1)–N(10) 2.052(3), Fe(1)–C(1) 1.765(4), C(1)–O(1a) 1.13(1) (major component in disordered carbonyl group), C(1)-O(1b) 1.22(2), Fe(1)–S(1) 2.2677(10), Fe(1)–S(2) 2.2563(10), Fe(1)–S(3) 2.2139(9), Fe(2)–S(1) 2.2774(9), Fe(2)–S(2) 2.2928(10), Fe(1)···Fe(2) 2.6663(5) Å; N(10)–Fe(1)–C(1) 175.67(13), N(10)–Fe(1)–S(av.) 88.1(3), Fe(1)–S(1)–Fe(2) 71.84(3), Fe(1)–S(2)–Fe(2) 71.76(3), S(1)–Fe(1)–S(2) 107.79(3), S(1)–Fe(2)–S(2) 106.21(3), S(1)– Fe(2)–S(2') 105.93(3), S(1)–Fe(2)–S(1') 121.89(6), S(2)–Fe(2)–S(2') $110.50(6)$ °.

The latter sites are sterically hindered and **3** and **4** are made by CO addition to trigonal pyramidal iron-complex precursors. We have not been able to isolate the monomeric precursor to **2**, $[Fe(NS₃)]$ ⁻. Instead, we obtain as yet uncharacterised products which appear to be multinuclear, probably because sulfur acts as a bridging group in them. However, the bridging ability of its sulfur ligands allows **2** itself to function as a ligand in a controlled way. Thus treatment of 2 with $FeCl₂$ (Scheme 1) affords the novel green, paramagnetic, linear $Fe₃S₄$ carbonyl cluster $[Fe(FS_3)(CO)-S, S']_2]$ **5** $[v(CO) 1937 cm^{-1}$. Mössbauer consistent with two different iron(II) sites: $IS = 0.60$, QS $= 1.96$ (intensity 1); IS $= 0.22$, QS $= 1.17$ mm s⁻¹ (intensity 2)].

The structure of **5** (Fig. 3)† shows that this complex is made up from two trigonal bipyramidal Fe($NS₃$)(CO) units, each of which bridges through two of its sulfur atoms to a central Fe, which thus has distorted tetrahedral geometry and lies on a crystallographic twofold symmetry axis. Within the Fe(NS_3)-(CO) units, the Fe-S distances involving the four bridging S atoms are 2.256(1) and 2.268(1)Å, but those to the non-bridging S atoms are shorter [2.214(1) Å]. The Fe-S distances about the central Fe are $2.277(1)$ Å and $2.293(1)$ Å. All the iron atoms can be regarded as formally in oxidation state two, but solid **5** has μ_{eff} = 1.54 μ_{B} per molecule at 20 °C showing considerable electron coupling. The molecule is disordered with two distinct sites for the O atoms of the CO ligands; the C–O distances are 1.131(12) and 1.22(2) Å, with corresponding Fe–C–O angles of 168.8(6) and 161.4(9)°.

We note that the C–O stretching frequencies in the IR spectra of $2(1885 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and $5(1937 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ are in the region of several frequencies observed in stopped-flow FTIR studies of CO complexes of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* nitrogenase (1880, 1906, 1936 and 1958 cm⁻¹).⁸

We also point out that treatment of the NEt₄ salt of 1 with NaN₃ gives NEt₄[Fe(*NS*₃)N₃], which has relevance to azide inhibition of the iron site in nitrile hydratase.¹ This and other complexes of inhibitors of nitrile hydratase are under investigation, although MeCN appears to bind very weakly to the FeNS₃⁻ site.

The shape of our iron cluster is reminiscent of those of the linear trinuclear complexes $[Et_4N]_3[Fe_3S_4(SPh)_4]^9$ and $[Et_4N]_3[VFe_2S_4Cl_4]$.¹⁰ We anticipate in our future work that 2 and related complex anions such as $[Fe(NS₃)(CNR)]$ ⁻ will function as ligands to allow assembly of a range of multinuclear complexes of iron with other metals. Already, we have obtained the analogue of **5**, $[Fe₃(NS₃)₂(CNC₆H₁₁)₂]$, [from Fe(acac)₃, NS_3H_3 , and CNC_6H_{11} ,¹¹ and $[Fe_2Co(NS_3)_2(CO)_2]$ (by use of $CoCl₂$ in place of $FeCl₂$ in step iii of Scheme 1).

We thank the BBSRC for support of this work and Dr D. J. Evans for Mössbauer spectroscopic measurements.

Notes and references

† *Crystal data*: NEt4 salt of **1**: C14H32ClN2S3Fe, *M* = 415.9, orthorhombic, space group *Pbcm* (no. 57), $a = 8.7922(9)$, $b = 14.3189(11)$, *c* $15.9028(14)$ Å, $V = 2002.1(3)$ Å³. $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.38$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 884$, μ (Mo-K α) = 1.196 mm⁻¹, *T* = 293(2) K, λ (Mo-K α) = 0.71069 Å.

Crystals are black, irregular, hexagonal prisms. One, *ca*. $0.60 \times 0.45 \times$ 0.27 mm mounted on a glass fibre; photographic examination; Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer (with monochromated radiation) for accurate cell parameters (25 reflections, $\theta = 10-11^{\circ}$, each centred in four orientations) and diffraction intensities (2504 unique reflections to θ_{max} = 28°, 1870 'observed' with $I > 2\sigma_I$). Structure determined by automated Patterson routines;¹² refined (on F_0^2) by full-matrix least-squares methods¹³ to $wR_2 = 0.167$ and $R_1 = 0.064$ for all 2504 reflections weighted $w = 1$ / $\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1034P)^2 + 0.48P$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$.

For the NEt₄ salt of 2: $C_{15}H_{32}N_2OS_3Fe$, $M = 408.5$, orthorhombic, space group *Pbcm*, $a = 8.8530(7)$, $b = 14.1800(11)$, $c = 15.869(2)$ Å, $V =$ 1992.1(3) Å³. $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.36$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 872$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.07$ mm⁻¹, $T = 293$ K, λ (Mo-K α) = 0.71069 Å. The crystal is a deep bluishgreen needle, *ca*. $0.50 \times 0.12 \times 0.11$ mm; 1111 unique reflections to θ_{max} $= 21^{\circ}$, 678 'observed'. Structure refined to $wR_2 = 0.170$ and $R_1 = 0.089$ for all 1111 reflections weighted $w = 1 / [\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0919P)^2]$.

For **5**: $C_{14}H_{24}N_2O_2S_6Fe_3$, $M = 612.26$, tetragonal, space group $I4_1cd$, $(no.110)$ $a = b = 13.5324(4)$, $c = 24.499(2)$ \AA , $V = 4486.2(3)$ \AA ³. $Z = 8$, $D_c = 1.81$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 2496$, μ (Mo-K α) = 2.49 mm⁻¹, *T* = 293 K, $\lambda(Mo-K\alpha) = 0.71069$ Å. Crystal is a black, irregular dodecahedron, *ca*. $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.24$ mm; 1668 unique reflections to $\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^{\circ}$, 1453 'observed'. Structure refined to $wR_2 = 0.046$ and $R_1 = 0.029$ for all 1668 reflections weighted $w = 1 / [\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0066P)^2]$. CCDC 182/1083.

- 1 W. Huang, J. Jia, J. Cummings, M. Nelson, G. Schneider and Y. Lunqvist, *Structure*, 1997, **5**, 691; J. J. Ellison, A. Nienstedt, S. C. Shoner, D. Barnhart, J. A. Cowen and J. A. Kovacs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1998, **120**, 5691.
- 2 D. C. Rees, M. K. Chan and J. Kim, *Adv. Inorg. Chem*., 1993, **40**, 89.
- 3 R. R. Eady, *Adv. Inorg. Chem*., 1991, **36**, 77.
- 4 R. N. Pau, in '*Biology and Biochemistry of Nitrogen Fixation',* ed. M. J. Dilworth and A. R. Glenn, Elsevier, Oxford, 1991, p 37.
- 5 S. C. Davies, D. L. Hughes, Z. Janas, L. Jerzykiewicz, R. L. Richards, J. R. Sanders and P. Sobota, *Chem. Commun*.,1997, 1261.
- 6 M. Ray, A. P. Golombek, M. P. Hendrich, V. G. Young, Jr. and A. S. Borovik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1996, **118**, 6084.
- 7 D. H. Nguyen, H-F. Hsu, M. Millar, S. A. Koch, C. Achim, E. L. Bominaar and E. Münck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1996, **118**, 8963.
- 8 S. J. George, G. A. Ashby, C. W. Wharton and R. N. F. Thorneley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 6450.
- 9 K. S. Hagen, A. D. Watson and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1983, **105**, 3905; R. H. Holm, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.,* 1992, **38**, 1 and references therein.
- 10 Y. Do, E. D. Simhon and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem*., 1985, **24**, 4635.
- 11 J. R. Dilworth, L. Cooper, R. L. Richards and J. R. Sanders, unpublished work.
- 12 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A,* 1990 **46**, 467.
- 13 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL Program for crystal structure refinement, University of Göttingen, 1993.

Communication 8/07655C