Remarkable stabilization of the anionic semiquinone radical of 6-azaflavin by hydrogen bonding with a receptor in chloroform

Takeshi Kajiki,^a Hideki Moriya,^a Shin-ichi Kondo,^a Tatsuya Nabeshima^b and Yumihiko Yano^{*a}

^a Department of Chemistry, Gunma University, Kiryu, Gunma 376-8515, Japan. E-mail: yano@chem.gunma-u.ac.jp ^b Department of Chemistry, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 5th October 1998, Accepted 29th October 1998

An anionic semiquinone radical of 6-azaflavin (6-AzaFl) was found to be stabilized by hydrogen bonding of a melamine derivative bearing an *N*-phenylguanidinium ion in CHCl₃, but not by the correponding *N*-unsubstituted guanidinium ion.

Flavin conenzymes such as FMN and FAD exhibit diverse functions through interactions with apoproteins, in which hydrogen bondings play important roles in the regulation of redox prperties.¹ Flavin semiquinone radicals are known to be stable when bound to apoproteins, whereas non-bound semiquinone radicals are unstable due to disproportionation.² Yoneda *et al.* reported that the anionic semiquinone radical of flavin 6-carboxylate is stabilized by intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the 6-CO₂H group at the N(5) position even in aqueous solution.³ This suggests that the hydrogen bonding to the N(5) position is essential for stabilization of an anionic semiquinone radical of flavin. This was tested by employing 6-AzaFl and a melamine derivative bearing an *N*-phenyl-



guanidinium ion 1 in CHCl₃. We report herein that receptor 1 is able to stabilize the anionic semiquinone radical of 6-AzaFl in CHCl₃, whereas receptor 2 is unable to stabilize it.

Receptor **1** was prepared by reaction of 2-butylamino-4-diethylamino-6-(3-aminomethyl-benzylamino)-*s*-triazine⁴ with *S*-methyl-*N*-phenylisothiouronium iodide⁵ in EtOH, and **3** was prepared from dodecylamine and *S*-methyl-*N*-phenylisothiouronium iodide, followed by counteranion exchange with KPF₆.[†] The pK_a value of the guanidinium hydrogen of **1** was determined to be 10.7 by spectroscopic pH titration at 280 nm in buffer solutions containing 20% MeCN,[‡] which is considered to be lower than that of **2** by at least 1–2 pK_a units.⁶§ The binding constant of 6-AzaFl·**1** was determined spectrophotometrically [$K = (5.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$ dm³ mol⁻¹ in CHCl₃] as described previously.⁴ Despite of more acidic guanidinium hydrogen of **1**, the *K* value of 6-AzaFl·**1** is smaller than that of 6-AzaFl·**2** [$K = (1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$ dm³ mol⁻¹ in CHCl₃].⁴ This requires an explanation, since a more acidic H- donor is known to give a larger binding constant for H-bonded complexation.⁷ The thermodynamic parameters for the complex formation (ΔH and $T\Delta S_{298}$: -27 and -6.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for 6-AzaFl·1; -34 and -5.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for 6-AzaFl·2)¶ indicated that the complex formation is mainly controlled by the enthalpy term. The ¹H NMR study of the complexes implied steric hindrance for complexation of 6-AzaFl and 1. Namely, as shown in Fig. 1, the larger upfield shifts of C(7)-H of 6-AzaFl upon addition of 1 rather than 2 suggest that C(7)-H is situated in a position close enough to feel the ring current of the *N*-phenyl ring of 1 due to the steric hindrance between C(7)-H and the *ortho*-H of the *N*-phenyl ring.

Redox potentials of 6-AzaFI were determined by cyclic voltammetry in CH₂Cl₂.⁸|| In the absence of the receptors, 6-AzaFI showed a reversible redox couple ($E_{1/2} = -971$ mV vs. ferrocene/ferrcenium). Upon increasing the concentration of the receptors, the redox potentials shifted in a positive direction in both receptors, finally leading to fixed potentials; $E_{1/2} = -738$ mV for 1 (5 equiv.), and -767 mV for 2 (3 equiv.). The shifts of the potentials due to the receptors ($\Delta E_{1/2}$) are 233 mV for 1 and 204 mV for 2, corresponding to stabilization of the 6-AzaFI radical anion by 22 and 20 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. It should be noted that the cyclic voltammogram of 6-Aza-MeFI was not affected by addition of 1.

Formation of a semiquinone radical of 6-AzaFl was detected spectrophotometrically by employing the oxidation of dithiothreitol (DTT) in CHCl₃ under anaerobic conditions as shown in Fig. 2. In the presence of **2** or a mixture of **3** ($K = 180 \pm 2$ dm³ mol⁻¹) and **4** ($K = 150 \pm 6$ dm³ mol⁻¹),⁴ the absorption spectrum of 6-AzaFl [Fig. 2(*a*)] was changed to that of 2ereduced 6-AzaFl [Fig. 2(*b*)]. On the other hand, in the presence of **1**, the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(*c*) was observed, suggesting formation of the anionic semiquinone radical of 6-AzaFl,^{2,9} which was confirmed to be stable for at least 48 h. With a large



Fig. 1 Changes of chemical shifts of C(7)H in CDCl₃ upon addition of the receptors at 25 °C: (\bullet) 1, (\bigcirc) 2.



Fig. 2 Absorption spectra of 6-AzaFl in the reaction with DTT. [6-AzaFl] = $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, [DTT] = [Bu₃N] = $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ in the presence of **1** or **2** ($1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$) in CHCl₃ at 25 °C under N₂; (*a*) oxidized form, (*b*) reduced form, and (*c*) anionic semiquinone radical.



Fig. 3 Structure of 6-AzaFl⁻⁻ 1.

excess of DTT, the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(*c*) changed to that shown in Fig. 2(*b*). The spectrum shown in Fig. 2(*b*) was found to give that in Fig. 2(*c*) after O₂ bubbling only with the receptor **1**, suggesting formation of the radical by coproportionation of reduced 6-AzaFl and oxidized 6-AzaFl, or direct electron transfer from the reduced 6-AzaFl to O₂.^{2b} Plots of the amount of the anion radical (absorption at 525 nm) *vs*. [**1**] allowed us to calculate the binding constant as 7.7×10^5 dm³ mol⁻¹ which is much larger than that of 6-AzaFl **1** due to stronger hydrogen acceptability of the anionic radical (6-AzaFl⁻⁻) as shown in Fig. 3.

Formation of 6-AzaFl radical anion in the presence of **1** was also confirmed by EPR spectroscopy in $CHCl_3$ under anaerobic conditions (Fig. 4). Although hyperfine lines could not be obtained, a *g* value of 2.0040 is in reasonable agreement with those obtained for other flavin radicals.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the acidity of a Hdonor of a receptor molecule plays a crucial role in the stabilization of the anionic semiquinone radical of 6-azaflavin. This is the first example showing that intermolecular hydrogen bonds are able to stabilize the anionic semiquinone radical. The receptor molecule could be regarded as an apoprotein model. Furthermore the present results are of use for understanding the functional groups at the active sites of flavoenzymes which give a stable anionic semiquinone radical.

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.



Fig. 4 EPR spectrum of the radical generated by reaction of 6-AzaFl ($5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$) with DTT ($5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$) and Bu₃N ($5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$) in CHCl₃ at 25 °C under N₂.

Notes and references

[†] Compound 1: Yield 54%, mp 178–179 °C (EtOH–diethyl ether). Satisfactory elemental analyses and ¹H NMR data were obtained. Compound 3: Yield 70%, mp 63–65 °C. Receptors 2 and 4, and 6-azaflavins were supplied from our previous study (ref. 4).

‡ MeCN was added to improve the solubility of 1.

§ The pK_a for 2 could not be determined by spectroscopic pH titration because of the lack of noticeable absorption changes, but was estimated to be 12–13 (ref. 6).

¶ The thermodynamic parameters were calculated from the following data: 6-AzaFl·1; 9.1 × 10³ dm³ mol⁻¹ (10 °C), 4.2 × 10³ (20), 3.9 × 10³ (30), 2.8 × 10³ (40). 6-AzaFl·2; 1.5 × 10⁵ dm³ mol⁻¹(20 °C), 1.1 × 10⁵ (30), 7.2 × 10⁴ (40), 5.0 × 10⁴ (50).

|| To compare the potentials, we used conditions similar to those of ref. 8. [6-AzaFI] = 1.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³, [Bu₄N+ClO₄⁻] = 0.1 mol dm⁻³, 25 °C. Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹.

- R. M. Burnett, G. D. Darling, S. Kendal, M. E. LwQuesen, S. G. Mayhew, W. W. Smith and M. L. Ludig, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1974, **149**, 4383;
 V. Massey and P. Hemmerich, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 1980, **8**, 246; V. Massey, *FASEB.*, 1995, **9**, 473.
- 2 (a) D. E. Edmondson and G. Tollin, Top. Curr. Chem., 1983, 108, 109;
 (b) F. Müller, Chemistry and Biochemistry of Flavoenzymes, ed. F. Müller, CRC Press, Boston, 1991, vol. 1, p. 23.
- 3 T. Akiyama, F. Simeno, M. Murakami and F. Yoneda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 6613.
- 4 N. Tamura, T. Kajiki, T. Nabeshima and Y. Yano, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2583.
- 5 C. R. Rasmussen, F. J. Villani, Jr., L. E. Weaner, B. E. Reynolds, A. R. Hood, L. R. Hecker, S. O. Nortey, A. Hanslin, M. J. Costanzo, E. T. Powell and A. J. Molinari, *Synthesis*, 1988, 456; C. R. Rasmussen, F. J. Villani, Jr., B. E. Reynolds, J. N. Plampin, A. R. Hood, L. R. Hecker, S. O. Nortey, A. Hanslin, M. J. Costanzo, R. M. Howse Jr. and A. J. Molinari, *Synthesis*, 1988, 460.
- 6 C. H. Hannon and E. V. Anslyn, *Bioorganic Chemistry Frontiers*; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, Vol. 3, p. 193; D. D. Perrin, *Dissociation Constants of Organic Bases in Aqueous Solution*, Butterworths, London, 1965, p. 445.
- 7 C. S.Wilcox, E. Kim, D. Romanos, L. H. Kuo, A. L. Burt and D. P. Curran, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 621; J. DeFord, F. Chu and E. V. Anslyn, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 1925; C-T. Chu and J. S. Siegel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994,**116**, 5959; K. M. Neder and H. W. Whitlock, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 9412.
- 8 E. Breinlinger, A. Niemz and V. M. Rottelo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5379.
- 9 V. Massey and G. Palmer, *Biochemistry*, 1966, **10**, 3181; D. J. Steenkamp and M. Gallup, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1978, **253**, 4086.

Communication 8/07737A