Cs₂Al₂P₂O₉: an exception to Löwenstein's rule. Synthesis and characterization of a novel layered aluminophosphate containing linear Al–O–Al linkages

Qun Huang and Shiou-Jyh Hwu*

Department of Chemistry and Materials Science and Engineering Program, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-0973, USA. E-mail: shwu@clemson.edu

Received (in Bloomington, IN, USA) 6th September 1999, Accepted 7th October 1999

A caesium aluminophosphate compound synthesized *via* high-temperature, solid-state methods reveals a new composition for a layered AlPO structure; it exhibits a non-Löwensteinian framework which contains linear Al–O–Al linkages in six-rings of alternating Al_2O_7 and PO_4 groups.

In spite of the large number of aluminophosphates known (ca. 250 compounds), the only established compositions adopted by layered structures consisting of AlO₄ and PO₄ tetrahedra are $[Al_{3}P_{4}O_{16}]^{3-}$, $[AlP_{2}O_{8}]^{3-}$, $[Al_{2}P_{3}O_{12}H_{x}]^{(3-x)-}$ (x = 1,2), $[Al(HPO_{4})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}]^{-}$, and $[Al_{4}P_{5}O_{20}H]^{2-.1}$ Their frameworks are built up from either 4×6 , 4×8 , $4 \times 6 \times 8$, or $4 \times 6 \times 8$ 12 rings. The title compound exhibits a new framework formula, $[Al_2P_2O_9]^{2-}$, which contains an equal number of Al and P atoms. The single crystal structure reveals an unusual feature with respect to the direct Al-O-Al linkages and, in turn, a new type of 4×6 aluminophosphate (AlPO) network. All the above mentioned AlPOs, except the current compound, show frameworks avoiding direct Al-O-Al linkages between AlO₄ tetrahedral units; for zeolites (aluminosilicates) this is known as Löwenstein's rule.² According to the Löwensteinian structure, each Al is coordinated through oxygen to four Si (or P) and vice versa (the 4:0 ordering scheme). Theoretical calculations predict that the formation of Al-O-Al linkages (in the 3:1 ordering scheme, for example) is energetically unfavorable.³ The experimental findings on compounds prepared by hydrothermal routes, such as the organically templated AlPO synthesis, support this claim.¹ However, calculations based on the lattice energy of an ionic model by Bell, Jackson, and Catlow,^{3b} suggest that the energy loss due to the direct linkages could be overcome by thermal energies. This prediction is confirmed by some scattered examples of non-Löwensteinian frameworks prepared by high-temperature methods.⁴ Among these exceptions, there are about 45 out of over 2,450 reported aluminosilicates, but only two aluminophosphates. These include sodalite,^{4a} a common example for aluminosilicates, as well as metal-containing MAIPO₅ ($\hat{M} = Mg$, Fe).^{4b,c}

Crystals of Cs₂Al₂P₂O₉, **1**, were grown by high-temperature, solid-state methods using CsCl flux,⁵ and the structure was characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction methods.⁶ As shown in Fig. 1, **1** adopts a layered structure where the aluminophosphate slabs are held together by electropositive Cs⁺ cations. The extended AlPO slab consists of alternately arranged Al₂O₇ and PO₄ groups sharing corner oxygen atoms. Each slab adopts a mixed four- and six-ring (4 × 6) network [Fig. 2(a)]. The 4-ring (2Al + 2P) structure is made up from alternating $2 \times AlO_4$ and $2 \times PO_4$ groups while the 6-ring (4Al + 2P) is built of $2 \times Al_2O_7$ and $2 \times PO_4$ groups. The Al–O–Al linkages [highlighted by solid lines in Fig. 2(a)] exist in the form of Al₂O₇ units in between rows of fused 4-ring units. The latter form a corrugated Al₂P₂O₄ chain [Fig. 2(b)], in which the 4-ring units are in a chair configuration.

The aluminium powder may facilitate a chain 'zipping' process *via* oxidation intercalation *en route* to the formation of AIPO slabs.⁵ The 4-ring chain shown in [Fig. 2(b)] resembles the so-called precursor structure for extended lattices.^{1a} In our study, aluminium powder was employed along with an oxidant, potassium superoxide. This approach is unconventional com-

pared to commonly employed methods using aluminium oxide (or hydroxide) as starting material.⁵ For the mechanism, one can imagine the processes of bond breaking *via* dissociation of the Al–O–Al linkages of Al₂O₃, prepared *in situ* in this case,



Fig. 1 Projected view showing the layered structure of 1. The small filled and open circles, as for Fig. 2, represent Al^{3+} and P^{5+} cations, respectively, and the large open circles represent O^{2-} (bonded) and Cs^+ (non-bonded) ions.



Fig. 2 (a) A partial structure of the $[Al_2P_2O_9]^{2-}$ slab. The linear Al–O–Al linkages are highlighted with thick lines. (b) A side view of fused 4-ring units, see text. The terminal oxygen atoms are omitted for clarity.

followed by bond formation with phosphorus cations through bridging oxygens. While the idea of this reaction pathway is intuitively sound, the framework formation could bypass the formation of Al_2O_3 to undergo simultaneous layer formation *via* oxidative 'zipping' of partially oxidized AlPO chains. Direct heating of a mixture of Al_2O_3 or $Al(OH)_3$, P_2O_5 and CsOH at 800 °C in an open system does not, in fact, yield **1**. Addition of CsCl as a flux results in an as yet unidentified phase, whose PXRD patterns show a close resemblance to those of **1**. Further investigation into the role of aluminium powder is underway.

The title compound reveals a new type of 4×6 network and a fascinating framework of interconnected 4-ring chains. Prior to this research, four 4×6 network structures were known that adopt the above mentioned $[Al_3P_4O_{16}]^{3-}$ and $[HAl_2P_3O_{12}]^{2-}$ compositions.^{1c,7} Fig. 3 presents all the 4 \times 6 networks, including the current example, in which only Al³⁺ and P⁵⁺ cations are shown. The network structures are adopted by, for example, (NH₃CHMeCH₂NH₃)₃[Al₆P₈O₃₂]·H₂O for network type (a), Co(en)₃[Al₃P₄O₁₆]·3H₂O and trans-Co(dien)₂[Al₃- P_4O_{16}]·3H₂O for type (b), (2-BuNH₃)₂[HAl₂P₃O₁₂] for type (c), $(C_5N_2H_9)_2(NH_4)[Al_3P_4O_{16}]$ for type (d), and 1 for type (e). It should be noted that the major difference among the frameworks consisting of these networks concerns the tetrahedral units. The framework of 1 is comprised of Al₂O₇ units while the others consist of AlO₄ interlinked with PO₄ units only. The networks (a)-(d), therefore, possess the 4:0 ordering scheme while network (e) has the 3:1 scheme. In addition, the networks



Fig. 3 Five types, (a)–(e), of 4×6 Al–P–O frameworks. Aluminum atoms (\bigcirc) and phosphorus atoms (\bigcirc) are linked by oxygen bridges (not shown). In (a)–(d), strict alternation of Al and P is observed, whereas in (e), the 3:1 ordering scheme is shown. The fused 4-ring units in (a), (d), and (e) are highlighted, see text.

(a) and (d) consist of short, (3- and 5-, respectively) edgeshared, four-ring units while (e) contains parallel chains of fused four-rings.

The Al–O–Al linkage in **1** reveals two unusual features; namely, a linear bond angle and a fairly short Al–O^b (O^b:bridging oxygen in the Al₂O₇ unit) bond. The Al–O^b–Al angles are usually nonlinear and are much smaller than 180°. In the frameworks of two previously mentioned non-Löwensteinian compounds, MgAlPO₅ and FeAlPO₅, for instance, the Al–O^b–Al angles are 129.8(2)° and 131.8(2)°, respectively.^{4b,c} Also, the Al–O^b bond in **1**, (1.69 Å) is shorter than the three Al– O^t (O^t: terminal oxygen in the Al₂O₇ unit) bonds, (1.75–1.76 Å). Based on chemical intuition, the linear bond angle and short bridging oxygen to aluminium bond distance suggest possible π character. It would be interesting to look at the thermal behavior of the framework in relation to the Al–O^b–Al linkage.

Financial support for this research (DMR-9612148) and access to the single crystal X-ray diffractometer from the National Science Foundation is acknowledged. We thank Professor Myung-Hwan Whangbo for his insightful discussions.

Notes and references

- (a) S. Oliver, A. Kuperman and G. A. Ozin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, 37, 46; (b) L. Vidal, V. Gramlich, J. Patarin and Z. Gabelica, *Chem. Lett.*, 1999, 201; (c) J. Yu, J. Li, K. Sugiyama, N. Togashi, O. Terasaki, K. Hiraga, B. Zhou, S. Qiu and R. Xu, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, 7, 1727, and references cited therein.
- 2 W. Löwenstein, Am. Mineral., 1954, 39, 92.
- 3 (a) R. M. Barrer and J. Klinowski, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., London A.*, 1977, **285**, 636; (b) R. G. Bell, R. A. Jackson and C. R. A. Catlow, *Zeolites*, 1992, **12**, 870; (c) C. R. A. Catlow, A. R. George and C. M. Freeman, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1311.
- 4 (a) S. E. Tarling, P. Barnes and J. Klinowski, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1988, 44, 128; (b) K.-F. Hesse and L. Cemic, Z. Kristallogr., 1994, 209, 346; (c) K.-F. Hesse and L. Cemic, Z. Kristallogr., 1994, 209, 660.
- 5 0.0540 g of Al (2.0 mmol), 0.1422 g of KO₂ (2.0 mmol), 0.0795 g of CuO (1.0 mmol) and 0.1419 g of P_2O_5 (1.0 mmol) were ground and mixed with the flux CsCl. The charge to flux ratio was 1:2 by weight. The reaction was carried out in a carbon-coated quartz ampoule. The mixture was heated up to 500 °C over 24 h, and isothermed for 6 h, followed by heating to 800 °C, and isothermal treatment for an additional 4 d. The reaction was cooled slowly to 500 °C over 72 h, followed by furnace cooling to room temperature. Colorless columnar crystals of 1 (*ca.* 60%), as well as some other unidentified black and white polycrystalline phases (*ca.* 40%), were retrieved from the flux by washing the products with deionized water using suction filtration. EDAX shows no contamination from potassium, chlorine, and copper in this crystalline phase, as the presence of the latter is attributed to CuO used for the synthesis of Cu-containing AIPO. An alternative reaction of 2AI/2KO₂/P₂O₅/4CsCl without adding CuO resulted in a slightly lower yield of 1, *ca.* 50%.
- 6 *Crystal data* for 1: Cs₂Al₂P₂O₉, *M* = 525.7, triclinic, space group *P*I (No. 2), *a* = 4.925(2), *b* = 7.121(2), *c* = 8.066(2) Å, *α* = 96.51(2)°, *β* = 107.12 (2)°, *γ* = 108.68(2)°, *V* = 249.3(1) Å³, *Z* = 1, *D_c* = 3.502 g cm⁻³, μ(Mo-Kα) = 7.835 mm⁻¹, λ = 0.71073 Å, 3.50° < 2θ < 55.00°. Final *R* = 0.024, *R_w* = 0.035, GOF = 1.36 were obtained for all the data and 71 parameters. The final Fourier map had a minimum and maximum of -1.04 and 1.60 eÅ⁻³, respectively. CCDC 182/1446. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/2343/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.
- 7 (NH₃CHMeCH₂NH₃)₃[Al₆P₈O₃₂]·H₂O: I. D. Williams, Q. Gao, J. Chen, L. Y. Nagi, Z. Lin and R. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1781; Co(en)₃[Al₃P₄O₁₆]·3H₂O: K. Morgan, G. Gainsford and N. Milestone, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, 425; *trans*-Co(dien)₂-[Al₃P₄O₁₆]·3H₂O: D. A. Bruce, A. P. Wilkinson, M. G. White and J. A. Bertrand, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1996, **125**, 228; (2-BuNH₃⁺)₂-[HAl₂P₃O₁₂]: A. M. Chippindale, A. V. Powell, L. M. Bull, R. H. Jones, A. K. Cheetham, J. M. Thomas and R. Xu, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 199.

Communication 9/07194F